NEURAL CELL BIOLOGY
Preface

Because of the complexity and temporal features of the manifestation of function and structure in the nervous system, neural cells in the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral nervous system (PNS), especially in developing brains, are typically thought to be more susceptible to toxic insults than cells in mature systems. The study of neural cell biology has great potential for helping to advance the understanding of brain-related biological processes, including neuronal plasticity, neurodegeneration/regeneration, toxicity and the efficacy of therapeutic or other interventions.

This book delineates how neural cells, systems biology, pharmacogenomic and pathophysiological approaches, as applied to neural cell biology, provide a structure around which to arrange information in a biological platform. Specific attention has been directed to some of the more recent methodologies, including genomics, proteomics and metabolomics, applied in the evolving field of neurobiology. This book also presents cross-cutting research tools and models and discusses applications involving neural stem cell system and 3D cultures that closely mimic native cellular environments. In addition, the topics of developmental neurotoxicity and risk assessment, along with the challenges and achievements in the field of neural cell biology are discussed. This book is a valuable addition to the current literature and an important reference for students, neurobiologists, pathologists, pharmacologists, and toxicologists in academia, industry and government settings.

This book provides the reader with technical background and knowledge of current test systems and models recently introduced and utilized in the study of neuronal development and neural cell biology.

Achieving the goals set forth for this book was most challenging and we wish to thank the contributing authors for conveying the excitement of recent findings and for identifying remaining knowledge gaps. We would appreciate any comments you wish to offer about Neural Cell Biology.

Cheng Wang, M.D., Ph.D.
William Slikker, Jr., Ph.D.
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Introduction

The nervous system is composed of two major types of cells—nerve cells, or neurons, which process information and rapidly convey information; and—glial cells which serve as supporting cells of the nervous system. The human nervous system has approximately 100 billion neurons, as many as 10 times the number of glial cells. Neurons are the key components of the nervous system, which is composed of the central nervous system (CNS)—and the peripheral nervous system (PNS). Neurons create neural networks by connecting to each other to facilitate communication.

Each neuron is an independent unit in terms of its anatomy and function. Morphologically, a neuron generally consists of 3 parts: the cell body (soma), dendrites, and an axon. The cell body provides nutrition to the entire cell and is responsive to stimuli. The dendrites are processes that receive information from various sources, such as the sensory epithelial cells, or other neurons. The axon is a single process that generates and spreads electrochemical changes used to communicate with other cells (nerves, muscles, and glands). Because the distal portion of the axon is branched, it is called the terminal arborization. Each branch of this arborization communicates with adjacent cells via synapses on button-like end bulbs (boutons).
Neurons and their processes are extremely variable in size and shape. The dynamic depolarization of the cell with concomitant transmission of a nerve impulse (action potential) depends on highly specialized structures called synapses, which are classically defined as the contacts of one axon with the dendrites or perikaryon and, rarely, the axon of other neurons. Thereby, neurons usually receive information through their dendrites and cell bodies and transmit it via their axons to other neurons via their synapses. The vast majority of vertebrate synapses are variations on a common theme. Typically, an enlargement (the presynaptic element of the synapse) of a distal axonal branch abuts part of another neuron (the postsynaptic element), separated from it by a synaptic cleft 10 to 20 nm wide. The presynaptic ending contains membrane-bound packets (synaptic vesicles) containing neurotransmitter molecules (Figure 1.2); some vesicles release their contents into the synaptic cleft in response to cellular electrical activity (action potentials). The neurotransmitter diffuses across the synaptic cleft, binds to receptor molecules in the postsynaptic membrane, and causes electrical and/or chemical signaling in the postsynaptic neurons (Figure 1.3). These can be either excitatory or inhibitory in nature. Most synapses have an axonal ending as the presynaptic element and part of a dendrite as the postsynaptic element, but in fact any part of a neuron can be presynaptic or postsynaptic to any part of another neuron (or sometimes even to itself).

Neurons are in the business of conveying information. They do so by a combination of electrical and chemical signaling mechanisms: electrical signals are used to convey information rapidly from one part of a neuron to another (Figure 1.4) (Yu et al., 2002).

Figure 1.1. An electron micrograph of a representative pyramidal neuron from the frontal cortex of a six day old rhesus monkey shows intact cytoplasmic, mitochondrial and nuclear membranes. The nucleus [Nu] and prominent nucleolus are apparent, as are other organelles typical of healthy neuronal cell bodies—Golgi cisternae, rough endoplasmic reticulum [ER] with interspersed clusters of free ribosomes, and mitochondria [mit].
whereas chemical messengers are typically used to carry information between neurons. Hence, there are anatomically specialized zones for collecting, integrating, conducting, and transmitting information.

Most neurons fall into 3 categories based upon the characteristics of their processes: multipolar neurons, which have one axon and multiple dendrites; bipolar neurons, which have one dendrite and one axon; and pseudo-unipolar neurons which have only one process that divides into two axonal branches. Neurons can also be classified according to their connections and functions. Sensory neurons are involved

Figure 1.2. An electron micrograph of the neuropil in layer II or III of the frontal cortex of a 6 day old rhesus monkey. In this field, the presynaptic elements are axonal terminals \[\text{[At]},\] filled with round synaptic vesicles and abutting postsynaptic elements, such as dendrite spines \[\text{[D]}\] of an adjacent neuron. The two elements are separated by a synaptic cleft and the postsynaptic membrane is thickened, an indication of the presence of specialized molecules in and near the membrane at this site. Both axons and dendrites can contain mitochondria.

Figure 1.3. Western blot analysis of synapsin expression in neuronal cell cultures of frontal cortical cells after (1) vehicle or PCP (phencyclidine; NMDA-type glutamate receptor antagonist)-exposure (2), and from cultures of cells from a striatum-nucleus accumbens complex after vehicle (3) or PCP exposure (4). Bands of 80 and 77 kDa were identified as synapsin.
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in the reception of sensory stimuli by being either directly responsive to stimuli such as touch or temperature changes, or receiving direct connections from non-neuronal receptor cells. Motor neurons control effector organs and synapse directly on muscles, glands, or other neurons or ganglia in the peripheral nervous system (PNS). Interneurons establish relationships among neurons, forming complex functional chains or circuits. In general, most of the neurons in the CNS can be classified by their morphology, position, synaptic inputs and/or targets, axonal projections, molecular requirements and electrophysiology.

Neurons utilize special mechanisms to manage their electrical and chemical signaling functions. Neurons can be localized and identified using a variety of techniques including those that label specific neurotransmitters, their cellular synthesizing enzymes or their surface receptors. By using these approaches, the majority of neurons in the central nervous system (CNS) can be characterized in vivo or in vitro by the neurotransmitters they use such as: glutamatergic, GABAergic (γ-aminobutyric acid), dopaminergic, serotoninergic, etc. Additionally, cellular function, behavior, electrical activity, ion channel activity and receptor type can be used to characterize neurons. Approaches used in the characterization of neurons that provide high content, high impact and high value will also be discussed in this chapter.

Glutamatergic Neurons

Glutamatergic neurons are neurons that use glutamic acid, glutamate, as a neurotransmitter. Glutamate is a non-essential amino acid which also serves as arguably the most important excitatory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system (CNS) (Orrego and Villanueva, 1993). The majority of excitatory neurons in the CNS are glutamatergic and over half of all brain synapses release glutamate. Glutamate

Figure 1.4. Synaptic transmission measured as glutamate ionotropic receptor-mediated excitatory post synaptic current (EPSC) and GABA receptor-mediated inhibitory post synaptic currents (IPSCs). Fast (f) or slow (s) currents are altered in neurons from rats treated chronically with PCP compared with those from control rats. Top (darker tracing) vs. bottom (lighter tracing): triphasic synaptic currents after chronic treatment with PCP (C-PCP) results in an enhanced (downward) EPSC (after the stimulus artifact), with diminished f-IPSCs and s-IPSCs (upward traces). Both recordings are at –56 mV (No drugs were present in the superfusion medium during the recording of these responses).
receptors are located mainly on the neuronal membrane. There is plenty of glutamate (glutamic acid) in the human body and it is particularly abundant in the nervous system, especially in the brain. Glutamate is also a precursor of GABA, the brain’s main inhibitory neurotransmitter. Glutamate receptors can be ionotropic, forming an ion channel that is activated upon neurotransmitter binding, or metabotropic, which when activated trigger a G-protein-coupled signaling cascade. Ionotropic receptors are classified according to the affinity of specific agonists: N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA), α-amino acid-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazol (AMPA) and kainic acid (KA). Prolonged neuronal excitation by glutamate with its associated ion influx can be toxic to neurons. Such excitotoxicity is the main cause of neuronal death in stroke and other forms of CNS trauma. Glutamate receptors are also involved in various diseases of the CNS. Glutamate receptor-mediated excitotoxicity is thought to contribute to the expression of a variety of neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease.

NMDA-type glutamate receptors are excitatory in neurons that play key roles in many physiological and pathological processes. NMDA receptors are densely localized on neurons in most major brain areas and are physically connected to proteins involved in cell-signaling cascades (Arundine et al., 2003; Arundine and Tymianski, 2003; Liu et al., 2013). These receptors are well-known mediators of neuronal cell death in numerous neuropathological conditions (Bubenikova-Valesova et al., 2008; Kari et al., 1978; Liu et al., 2013; Medeiros et al., 2011; Wakschlag et al., 2010). NMDA receptors are heteromeric complexes composed of obligatory NR1 subunits as well as subunits from the NR2 subfamily (NR2A, NR2B, NR2C, NR2D) or the NR3 subfamily (NR3A or NR3B) (Furukawa et al., 2005; Laube et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2013; Premkumar and Auerbach, 1997; Ulbrich and Isacoff, 2007). Various combinations of subunits generate a large number of NMDA receptor subtypes with differing pharmacological and biological properties. NMDA receptors are a class of ion channel-forming receptors that are highly permeable to calcium. Cytosolic calcium is an important mediator of neuronal signal transduction, participating in diverse biochemical reactions that elicit changes in synaptic function, metabolic rate, and gene transcription (Liu et al., 2013). Up-regulation of NMDA receptors can result in an excessive entry of endogenous calcium, triggering a series of cytoplasmic and nuclear processes such as loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, which ultimately results in neuronal cell death (Figure 1.5) (Liu et al., 2013).

Since NMDA receptor-regulated ion channels are known to be highly permeable to calcium, it is important to determine whether intracellular calcium concentrations are altered by NMDA receptor subunit dysregulation that results from toxicant exposure (such as prolonged general anesthesia caused by NMDA receptor blockade). The application of NMDA to typical neurons (Figures 1.6A–C) in the presence of glycine (100 µM) and absence of Mg2+ produces an immediate increase in intracellular free Ca2+ ([Ca2+]i) in both control and ketamine (an agent commonly used as a pediatric general anesthetic)-exposed neurons (Liu et al., 2013). In these studies, ethylene glycol tetra acetic acid (EGTA), a chelating agent with a high affinity for calcium, was used to sequester extracellular calcium (Liu et al., 2013). To dissect the underlying mechanisms, NMDA was applied in the absence of extracellular Ca2+ (i.e., after chelation with EGTA; Figures 1.6A–B). Under these conditions no increase in NMDA-
evoked $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ was observed. As a control, 25 µM glutamate, a concentration known to preferentially stimulate NMDA receptors, was used on the same cells without EGTA and produced an increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ similar to that observed previously with NMDA (Figures 1.6A–B) (Liu et al., 2013). In addition, the ketamine-exposed neurons showed a significantly greater increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ than that seen in neurons in control cultures. The change in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$, resulting from NMDA stimulation was approximately 40% higher in the neurons from ketamine-exposed cultures (Liu et al., 2013). Taken together, these observations are consistent with the view that prolonged/continuous exposure of developing neurons to NMDA antagonists such as ketamine causes a compensatory up-regulation of NMDA receptors (Liu et al., 2013; Slikker et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006), and activation of these upregulated NMDA receptors generates $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$, elevations that arise primarily from $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ influx, not from release of intracellular stores (Liu et al., 2013).
In general, glutamatergic neuronal transmission, glutamate receptor expression levels and signal transduction (e.g., Ca²⁺ influx) play critical roles in normal neuronal functions in the CNS as well as in toxicant-induced neurotoxicity. Such information will be essential in order to increase the likelihood of the clinical success of attempts to develop effective rescue and prevention strategies (Wang, 2013).

GABAergic Neurons

γ-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) is the chief inhibitory neurotransmitter of the mammalian central nervous system. It plays a principal role in reducing neuronal excitability, and the inhibitory action of GABAergic neurons regulates synaptic integration. Impaired inhibitory function is thought to be one of the major underlying causes behind neuropathies characterized by neuronal hyper-excitability, such as epilepsy.

Notably, GABA is an inhibitory transmitter in the mature brain, but it may work as an excitatory transmitter in the developing brain (Ben-Ari et al., 2007; Li and Xu, 2008). In immature neurons, the intracellular concentration of chloride is higher than that in extracellular environment, which is opposite to that in mature neurons (Wang et al., 2015b). Activated GABA-A receptors drive Chloride ions out of the cell, resulting in a depolarizing current (Wang et al., 2015b). During development, GABA is believed to contribute to the maturation of ion pumps (Ganguly et al., 2001).

Previous work has demonstrated that GABAergic cell bodies can be found in the forebrain and all cortical regions by embryonic day 16 in rodents (Henschel
et al., 2008; Sanchez et al., 2011). In vivo neural stem cells express GABA as well as other types of receptors. However, many of them including, N-methyl–D-aspartic acid receptors, are not yet functional at this stage of development (Boscolo et al., 2012; Ge et al., 2007; LoTurco et al., 1995; Maher and LoTurco, 2009). In contrast to their inhibitory effect in adulthood (Ge et al., 2007; Maher and LoTurco, 2009), stimulation of immature GABA receptors is excitatory early in development. GABA is also tonically released by neural stem cells and acts as a trophic factor, regulating their key developmental processes including proliferation and differentiation (Ben-Ari et al., 2007; Ge et al., 2007).

During development, for example, exposure of cultured neural cells to propofol (a commonly used general anesthetic agent which is thought to act primarily via stimulation of GABA\(_A\) receptors), may enhance the excitatory action of endogenous GABA and lead to toxicity. Recent immunocytochemical data have shown that neural stem cells (identified using nestin staining) do not express GABA\(_A\) receptors, as evidenced by a lack of receptor immuno-staining. However, strong immunoreactive staining for the GABA\(_A\) receptor was observed on neurons that had been differentiated from the same neural stem cells that previously lacked GABA receptors (Figure 1.7) (Liu et al., 2014). Therefore, the enhanced neuronal damage seen in vivo after propofol exposure is likely due to its actions on young neurons that have become more vulnerable to GABA stimulation because of newly expressed GABA receptors: more receptors allow more Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, followed by increased ROS generation and cell death. These findings are consistent with recent in vitro studies (Kahraman et al., 2008; Pearn et al., 2012) which have also demonstrated significant elevations in intracellular calcium levels in association with propofol-induced cell death.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 1.7.** Representative photograph of immuno-staining for GABA\(_A\) receptors on neurons that are differentiated from neural stem cells. Strong immuno-reactivity was detected on these immature neurons. Nuclei are counter-stained with DAPI (nuclear dye).
Dopaminergic Neurons

Dopamine has been extensively studied due to its involvement in mental and neurological disorders. The major sources of dopamine in the mammalian CNS are the dopaminergic neurons located at midbrain. Dopaminergic neurons in brain can be identified and located using the Falck-Hillarp histofluorescence method (Falck et al., 1982), which makes dopamine cells visible through fluorescence after formaldehyde treatment. Dopaminergic neurons can be found in different regions of brain (diencephalon, mesencephalon, and the olfactory bulb) and have various functions (Bjorklund and Dunnett, 2007). The most remarkable cluster of dopaminergic neurons is in the ventral part of mesencephalon, which accounts for almost 90% of the dopaminergic neurons in brain. The dopaminergic neurons have been further nominally categorized into several sub-groups, and nigrostriatal system mainly mediates voluntary motor movement of the body.

The dopaminergic neurons located in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) extend their fibers mainly into the nucleus accumbens and olfactory tubercles, in addition to the septum, amygdala and hippocampus. This bundle of projections is known as the mesolimbic dopaminergic system. Projections from dopaminergic neurons of the medial VTA to the prefrontal, cingulate and perirhinal cortex represent the mesocortical dopaminergic system. There is a lot of overlap of projections from the VTA cells to their targets, which results in them being described as the mesocorticolimbic system (Wise, 2004) in reference to the two systems together. Thus, various groups of dopaminergic neurons in the CNS are located in different brain locations, have different projections, and play different crucial roles in support of specific functions. These neurons have obvious distinctions but all have the ability to synthesize the neurotransmitter dopamine (Chinta and Andersen, 2005).

Serotonergic Neurons

Serotonin or 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) is another monoamine neurotransmitter. The feelings of well-being and happiness are generally attributed to serotonin (Young, 2007). Many antidepressants are thought to exert their therapeutic action by adjusting the secretion and uptake of serotonin. The enterochromaffin cells in the GI tract are the biggest source of serotonin, accounting for 90% of serotonin in the human body. Here, serotonin functions as a regulator of intestinal movement (Berger et al., 2009). Another source of serotonin is, of course, the central nervous system where it is generated by serotonergic neurons and contributes to regulating mood, appetite and sleep. Serotonin also plays roles in cognition and wound healing (Mukherjee et al., 2013).

Neurons in Primary, Neural Stem Cell-Derived and Organotypic Cultures

The CNS is one of the earliest systems to differentiate during embryogenesis and this process can be modeled to a great extent using cell cultures in vitro (Wang, 2015b). Advances in our understanding of cell biology and neuroscience have enabled us to mimic the differentiation process ex vivo, opening up new avenues of research for
understanding stress-induced developmental neurotoxicity and for the development of protective strategies against toxicant-induced neurotoxicity (Wang, 2015a). The utilization of primary neuronal cell cultures (Figure 1.8) and recently developed neural stem cell cultures, especially neural stem cells of embryonic origin (both from animals and more recently, humans), has provided valuable tools for evaluating developmental neurotoxic effects of xenobiotics in vitro (Bai et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Wang, 2015b). This capability is due to the following attributes of neural stem cells: (1) neural stem cells can be obtained directly from humans, therefore the data obtained does not need interspecies translation; (2) with the capability of differentiation, a more extensive evaluation on xenobiotics is possible: from evaluating effects on neural stem cells, to differentiated neurons, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes; (3) utilization of neural stem cell cultures reduces animal use and shortens time needed for study completion; (4) neural stem cell cultures can facilitate the assessment of regeneration capacity after exposure to toxicants; (5) neural stem cell cultures have the potential to influence best clinical practices, such as pediatric general anesthesia (Wang et al., 2013); and (6) neural stem cells can mimic particular developmental stages of CNS in animals and humans (Wang, 2015b). Thus, application of these advanced in vitro models combined with biological approaches can provide better capabilities for understanding mechanisms underlying the etiology of developmental neurotoxicity, leading to improved strategies for ameliorating adverse effects (Wang, 2015b).

Although neural stem cells in vitro can mimic CNS developmental process in vivo and provide an unlimited supply of cells for a variety of uses such as studying

**Specific Neuronal Marker PSA-NCAM**
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*Figure 1.8. Immunofluorescence micrograph showing PSA-NCAM (polysialic acid neural cell adhesion molecule) staining on neurons from control cultures. PSA-NCAM is a specific marker for neurons. This slide illustrates intense immuno-staining of PSA-NCAM on the surface of neuronal cell bodies and processes.*
the mechanisms underlying neurological diseases and evaluating developmental neurotoxicity (Bai et al., 2013), it remains difficult to make direct comparisons between the developing brain with its three-dimensional aspects and neural stem cells in culture. Organotypic cell culture systems, which maintain the three-dimensional structure of CNS, do provide more relevant platforms to extrapolate preclinical findings to the human condition (Wang, 2015a). Organotypic cell cultures can be obtained from developing rodents and many other animals. Organotypic cell culture models can maintain critical anatomical structure and synaptic connectivity, in addition to providing the convenience of in vitro preparations (Figure 1.9). Using such systems, cell growth, migration and connectivity can be studied under environments that closely mimic in vivo conditions; and naturalistic brain structure can be maintained (Wang, 2015a). Organotypic cell cultures are also well suited for the rapid screening of the neurotoxic effects of drugs and other chemical in systems designed to model the most sensitive periods of brain development. Recently developed functional high-throughput three-dimensional model platforms and micro-fluidic cell culture chips

3D In Vitro Model - Organotypic Culture

(Frontal Cortical Slice from PND-7 Rat Pups)

Figure 1.9. Representation of neuropil from an organotypic slice culture (400 µm) obtained from the frontal cortex of a PND 7 rat pup brain. (A) General view of 3D in vitro organotypic culture system. (B) The neural network, viability of neurons (PSA-NCAM positive), and synaptic connections are well preserved in organotypic slices after 7 days of culture in control conditions. (C) Representative sodium current spikes in an organotypic rat brain slice. The sodium current spikes were evoked by applying a depolarizing voltage (200 ms, shown in the lower trace) when the neuron potential was held at –60 mV. (D) Electron photomicrograph showing axonal terminals [At] (presynaptic elements containing round synaptic vesicles) abutting a dendritic spine (postsynaptic element of another neuron).

Modified from Wang & Slikker, 2008
will help improve studies in revealing the effects of chemical exposures using both embryonic and adult nervous systems.
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Astrocytes
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Introduction

The nervous system contains only two principal types of cells—nerve cells, or neurons, which are the information-processing and signalling elements, and glial cells, which play a variety of supporting roles. Several cell types found in the central nervous system (CNS) in association with neurons are classified as neuroglia, or glial cells. Cell-cell interactions—neuron-glia and glia-glia—are involved in initiating and orchestrating critical developmental steps (Stipursky et al., 2012; White and Kramer-Albers, 2014).

There are several types of neuroglia, each with their own morphological and functional characteristics. Astrocytes are a kind of glial cells that are derived from neural stem cells (Kriegstein and Alvarez-Buylla, 2009; Levitt and Rakic, 1980; Merkle et al., 2004; Powell and Geller, 1999). Astrocytes (Astro from the Greek astron = star and cyte from Greek “kyttaron” = cell) physically interact with neurons and blood vessels via a variety of cellular processes that generally give them a starlike morphology.
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During development, however, astrocytes are derived from heterogeneous progenitor cells with diverse functions (Kimelberg, 2004). The proportion of astrocytes in the CNS increases as the interactions between glial cells and neurons become more sophisticated. In the rodent cortex, for example, the average astrocyte/neuron ratio is around 0.4:1, whereas in the human cortex it is about 1.4:1 (Nedergaard et al., 2003). It is thought that, in addition to supporting neurons, astrocytes also modulate and control local neural networks.

Astrocytes have a well-developed cytoskeleton that is dominated by intermediate filaments but also includes microtubules and actin filaments, consistent with a role as structural support elements. Astrocytes are the largest of the neuroglia and elaborate numerous long processes and have spherical, centrally located nuclei. Many of their processes terminate in expanded pedicles (enlarged end-feet) which attach to the walls of blood capillaries (the outer surface of CNS capillaries). These pedicles, termed “vascular feet”, completely surround and ensheath all vessels of the nourishing vascular network (Abbott et al., 2006). Processes of the astrocytes also abut neurons, dendrites, synaptic endings, and nodes of Ranvier, and at the periphery of the brain and spinal cord form a layer under the pia mater. This layer, which also contains processes of other neuroglia, separates the connective tissue of the pia mater from CNS nerve cells. This carpeting of otherwise exposed surfaces with astrocytic processes suggests that these cells play a role in CNS metabolism and the regulation of extracellular ionic concentrations. Astrocytes are diverse in their origin, morphology, distribution, and function (Sovrea and Bosca, 2013). For example, the protoplasmic astrocytes mainly
differentiate from the ventricular radial glia during development, whereas the fibrous astrocytes originate primarily from neonatal glial progenitors in the subventricular zone (Wang and Bordey, 2008). Classically, protoplasmic astrocytes are grey matter astrocytes, characterized by a bushy appearance owing to their numerous branched, short processes. In contrast, fibrous astrocytes are located primarily in the white matter and usually appear as elongated cells with long processes (Nag, 2011). More recently, the diversity of astrocytes has been classified according to their anatomy and include cerebellar Bergmann glia, retinal Müller cells, pituicytes of the neurohypophysis, etc. (Sofroniew and Vinters, 2010). In electron micrographs, astrocytes are typified by their relatively organelle-free, microfibrill-rich cytoplasm (Peters et al., 1991).

Astrocytes have long been regarded as the primary supportive cells of the CNS (Kimelberg, 2007): they maintain a viable milieu for neurons via their housekeeping functions. By active transport, astrocytes take up extrasynaptic neurotransmitters, including glutamate and GABA (Kimelberg, 2007). They also provide potassium ion buffering of the extracellular space and, thus, maintain neuronal excitability (Gerschenfeld et al., 1959). The growth factors released by astrocytes are important for neuronal survival and maturation during development and the presence of astrocytes and the trophic factors they secrete promote synaptogenesis (Christopherson et al., 2005; Mauch et al., 2001; Pfrieger and Barres, 1997).

It has long been postulated that astrocytes provide nourishment to neurons since astrocytes project endfeet onto blood vessel walls. Glucose from blood vessels is, thus, thought to be provided to neurons directly to support their metabolism (Nehlig and Coles, 2007). In addition, low concentrations of glycogen, along with enzymes that synthesize and metabolize glycogen are present in astrocytes (Pfeiffer-Guglielmi et al., 2005). Astrocytic glycogen provides energy for neurons under hypoglycemic conditions or when tissue energy demand is increased. Lactate is produced during aerobic glycolysis in astrocytes during the glutamate-glutamine cycle that is critical for neurotransmitter balance and maintenance (Pellerin and Magistretti, 1994). Lactate utilization is preferred over glucose in neurons during glutamatergic stimulation (Porras et al., 2004). Importantly, astrocytes are a major part of the limited armamentarium available to the CNS in response to injury: they multiply, increase their production of intermediate filaments, and form dense, gliotic scars.

The Astrocyte Specific Biomarker—Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (GFAP)

Initially, GFAP was purified tissue from the brains of persons with Multiple Sclerosis that had been fixed in absolute ethanol (Bignami et al., 1972; Eng et al., 1971; Uyeda et al., 1972). The amino acid composition of purified GFAP was first determined in the Neurochemistry Laboratory of Dr. Eric Shooter at the Stanford Medical Center. The biochemical properties of GFAP that have impeded its characterization are its insolubility in aqueous solvents, tendency to aggregate or polymerize, susceptibility to neutral proteases, highly specific and antigenic epitopes, and wide distribution of GFAP-containing astrocytes. It is now well established that GFAP is the principal 8–9 nm intermediate filament found in mature astrocytes of the CNS.
The *in vivo* functions of astrocytic intermediate filaments have been examined using gene knockout animal models (Galou *et al.*, 1997). Using gene targeting techniques, the GFAP gene in embryonic stem cells can be disrupted. In homozygous mice completely devoid of GFAP, the development of the CNS appeared normal in anatomy, and exhibited typical neuropathological changes following inoculation with scrapie prions (Gomi *et al.*, 1995). However, the data from further studies demonstrated the importance of GFAP in mediating astrocyte-neuron interactions and modulating synaptic activities via astrocytic processes (McCall *et al.*, 1996). In a mouse model of spinal cord injury induced by percussive impact on head, the GFAP-null mice were significantly more vulnerable to cervical cord contusion and subpial haemorrhage in comparison with wild type controls, suggesting the important role of GFAP in structural stability (Nawashiro *et al.*, 1998). In addition, in the mice lacking both GFAP and vimentin, the formation of intermediate filaments was severely impaired, suggesting some functional overlap between the intermediate filament proteins.

Prior studies had suggested that protein filament from neurons shared similar chemical and immunologic properties with GFAP and glial filaments (Dahl and Bignami, 1976; Davison, 1975; Davison and Hong, 1977; Day, 1977; Goldman *et al.*, 1978; Lee *et al.*, 1977; Yen *et al.*, 1976). However, other reports (De Vries *et al.*, 1976; Eng *et al.*, 1976) indicated that GFAP from astrocytes was not related to neurofilaments, providing interpretations that were initially at odds with earlier reports but that were subsequently confirmed by others (Bignami and Dahl, 1977; Chiu *et al.*, 1980; Liem *et al.*, 1978; Schachner *et al.*, 1978; Schlaepfer *et al.*, 1979).

Only a very small pool of aqueous soluble GFAP can be detected throughout early development of the rat brain (Malloch *et al.*, 1987), in cultured astrocytes (Chiu and Goldman, 1984), and in the rat spinal cord (Aquino *et al.*, 1988). Early reports of
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*Astrocytes Derived from Human Embryonic Neural Stem Cells*

![Image of astrocyte](image)

**Figure 2.2.** Cytoskeletal elements and immunochemical characterization of astrocyte. These astrocytic cells were differentiated from human embryonic neural stem cells collected from gestation week 19 fetuses, grown in defined neural differentiation medium for two weeks, and stained with an antibody directed against a specific protein present in astrocyte intermediate filaments (glial fibrillary acidic protein, or GFAP). The GFAP protein is present in large amounts in the intermediate filaments (red) of these cells.
detectable soluble GFAP found in human post-mortem and animal tissue were from studies in which the time between death and tissue analysis was not controlled. The low MW soluble forms of GFAP observed in these cases probably resulted from a calcium-activated neural proteinase, which has high substrate specificity for vimentin and desmin (Bigbee et al., 1983; DeArmond et al., 1983; Nelson and Traub, 1983; Schlaepfer and Zimmerman, 1981). Age-related increases in GFAP levels have been observed in astrocytes from rodents, nonhuman primates, and humans (Eng and Lee, 1998; Haley et al., 2010; Nichols et al., 1993). Astrocytic responses following CNS injuries can be assessed using quantitative immunocytochemical assays for GFAP (Dlugos and Pentney, 2001; Fix et al., 1995a). Considering the age-related increases in GFAP and variations in sample collection procedures and tissue processing, treatment to inhibit protease activities of the aldehyde-fixed samples and the inclusion of age-matched controls had to be included in the staining protocol (Eng and Ghirnikar, 1994).

Astrocytes in Reactive Gliosis

It is now well known that GFAP is the major constituent of the intermediate filament proteins of the cytoskeleton in mature astrocytes (Pekny and Pekna, 2004). In many pathological CNS conditions including trauma, ischemia, and neurodegeneration, astrocytes respond by shifting from a quiescent to a reactive state characterized by an increased expression of GFAP (Pekny and Pekna, 2004). This astrocytic response that occurs with nearly all forms of CNS insult is defined as reactive astrogliosis and is a common pathological feature of CNS damages (Pekny and Pekna, 2014). Reactive astrocytes are characterized by enhanced expression of genes encoding intermediate filaments including GFAP, vimentin, and nestin, along with enlargement of cellular processes (Middeldorp and Hol, 2011).

Astrogliosis is an accepted universal marker of neurotoxicity but it is not unique to neurotoxicity. Astrogliosis results from an increase in the number of astrocytes and typically occurs in response to the destruction of nearby neurons, such as that which occurs in cases of hypoxia. Astrogliosis can also occur in response to infection and drug-induced neurotoxicity (Johnson et al., 1998).

The pathological events that occur during astrocyte activation and the consequential influences of reactive astrogliosis for the neighboring neural tissue have been under extensive investigation. Reactive astrogliosis has been associated with neurodegenerative alteration induced by exposures to MK-801 or phencyclidine (a.k.a. PCP) in the cortico-limbic regions (Fix et al., 1995b; Johnson et al., 1998). PCP, a non-competitive NMDA receptor antagonist which was initially developed as a general anesthetic, is a commonly abused drug which causes schizophrenia-like symptoms in addition to euphoria (Allen and Young, 1978; Ban et al., 1961; Cohen et al., 1962; Lahti et al., 1995). The association of PCP-induced neuronal cell death with surrounding reactive astrogliosis has been demonstrated using TUNEL-positive staining (indicating cell death, likely due to apoptosis) and GFAP immunoreactivity (Johnson et al., 1998). Chronic PCP exposure leads to severe neuronal degeneration in the piriform cortex and olfactory tubercle (an area around the lateral olfactory tract), accompanied by a remarkable expansion of GFAP-stained cells indicating a proliferation of reactive astrocytes.
The influence of reactive astrogliosis on the outcome of brain lesions can occur at multiple levels. For example, during ischemic brain damage, astrocytes supply lactate from glycolysis in order to meet the metabolic demands of nearby neurons, a function also performed during periods of enhanced neural activity or glucose deprivation (Sorg and Magistretti, 1992; Wender et al., 2000). During ischemic conditions, reactive astrocytes deliver metabolic substrate(s) to neurons to fuel their energy requirements. Astrocytes affected themselves by ischemia fail to support the energy requirements of neurons and, thus, contribute to neuronal degeneration (Rossi et al., 2007; Takano et al., 2009). Reactive astrogliosis contributes to the formation of distinct demarcations around CNS lesions and regulate the infiltration of inflammatory cells into the affected area (Li et al., 2008; Okada et al., 2006). In response to cerebral injury such as ischemic stroke, a fraction of the mature astrocytes surrounding the lesion proliferate, compensating for the loss of astrocytes at the lesion site (Barreto et al., 2011). Stroke may also induce neurogenesis from periventricular neural progenitor cells that express GFAP (Ohab et al., 2006). These GFAP-expressing neural progenitor cells proliferate as neuroblasts and migrate toward the lesions. The proliferation and migration of the neural progenitor cells are guided by environmental cues from neurovascular units and molecular mediators released by activated astroglia and infiltrating microglia (Kojima et al., 2010; Marlier et al., 2015). Functional recovery after an infarction also depends upon post-stroke neurovascular remodeling (Ardelt et al., 2012). Therapeutic strategies of either employing exogenous neural progenitor cells or motivating endogenous neural progenitor cells have been investigated with the aim of replacing neuronal loss resulting from infarction (Marlier et al., 2015).

Astrocytes are equipped with molecular sensors (i.e., pattern recognition receptors, PRRs) on their cellular surface and in their cytosolic compartment (Furr and Marriott, 2012). Astrocytes, along with microglia, respond to bacterial or viral CNS infections by generating inflammatory responses (Furr and Marriott, 2012; Kielian, 2004; Liu and Kielian, 2011). During acute infection, intercellular communications via glia-glia intercellular gap junctions are remarkably decreased among astrocytes immediately surrounding the abscessed areas induced by Staphylococcus aureus (Karpuk et al., 2011). Such observations demonstrate the importance of astrocyte coupling and electrical communication during CNS inflammation. Astrocyte syncytial networks communicate via gap junctions and act as protective barriers to the adjacent normal parenchyma by sequestering dysregulated inflamed tissue and microbial pathogens (Sofroniew, 2005; Sofroniew, 2009).

There is a normal process of reactive astrogliosis and glial scar formation that provides a variety of benefits including the protection of neural cells and their function, restricting the spread of inflammation and infection, and promoting tissue repair. It is important to distinguish this normal process from those carried out by dysfunctional reactive astrocytes that contribute to CNS disorders.

**Astrocytes in Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB)**

The exchange of molecules between the blood and brain parenchyma is tightly controlled at the blood-brain interface. The endothelial cells lining the cerebral capillary vessels are the primary components of the all-important blood-brain barrier (BBB).
These capillary vessels are encircled by a basal lamina and astrocytic perivascular endfeet (Abbott et al., 2006; Ballabh et al., 2004). The physical barrier property of the BBB is bestowed by tight junctions formed between neighboring endothelial cells. Nevertheless, the endothelium provides several mechanisms by which substances can cross the BBB, including transcellular diffusion of small lipid-soluble molecules, transporter-mediated movement of specific compounds, and receptor-mediated transcytosis of some proteins (Abbott and Romero, 1996). Astrocytes provide the cellular bridge between neurons and associated microvessels. The close proximity of neural cells, including astrocytes, neurons, and microglia, to cerebral capillaries suggests possible roles for neural cells in the induction and maintenance of BBB properties (Abbott et al., 2006). Co-cultures of brain capillary endothelial cells and astrocytes have shown that astrocytes promote tight junction formation (Dehouck et al., 1990) and expression of specialized transporters and enzymes that regulate the permeability of the cerebrovascular endothelium (Abbott, 2002; Abbott et al., 2006; Ballabh et al., 2004; Beek et al., 1984; Savidge et al., 2007). The factors released by astrocytes which produce and maintain the barrier properties of the capillary endothelium include transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) and angiopoietin 1 (Garcia-Segura and McCarthy, 2004; Igarashi et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2003). Other cells in the vicinity of the BBB, including pericytes, neurons, and perivascular macrophages, contribute to the production and health of the barrier (Ramsauer et al., 2002; Schiera et al., 2003; Zenker et al., 2003). It had been demonstrated in co-culture studies that neural progenitor cells can affect the production of barrier properties in brain microvascular endothelial cells (Weidenfeller et al., 2007).

The integrity of the BBB is affected in many CNS pathologies, including stroke (Tomas-Camardiel et al., 2005; Tran et al., 1999), trauma (Schwaninger et al., 1999), infectious diseases (Gaillard et al., 2003), multiple sclerosis (Minagar and Alexander, 2003), brain tumors (Davies, 2002), Alzheimer’s disease (Berzin et al., 2000), Parkinson’s disease (Kortekaas et al., 2005), and epilepsy (Abbott et al., 2006; Marroni et al., 2003). In conditions involving infection or inflammation, the normal interaction between endothelial cells and astrocytes is disturbed, resulting in an opening of BBB. For example, bradykinin, an inflammatory mediator released in cases of stroke or trauma, acts on endothelial cells and astrocytes via bradykinin receptors to cause the production of interleukin-6 (IL-6) in astrocytes, which leads to an increase in permeability of the BBB.

Normally, the BBB regulates ion transportation and fluid movement between the cerebral vascular space and the cerebral interstitial space, maintaining a steady environment for normal neurological functioning. Evidence of an early involvement of BBB dysfunction in a range of neurological diseases has been provided (Kamphuis et al., 2015; Lee and Bendayan, 2004) and therapeutic strategies that improve BBB barrier functions can reduce neuronal damage secondary to, or aggravated by, loss of BBB integrity (Bauer et al., 2005; Cucullo et al., 2004). The interaction of astrocytic endfeet and cerebrovascular endothelial cells is a known requirement for maintenance of BBB function (Broux et al., 2015; Lien et al., 2012; Park et al., 2015; Watkins et al., 2014). Therefore, a gliocentric perspective would be valuable for studies of factors contributing to the maintenance or restoration of BBB health.
Summary

Astrocytes account for the majority of glial cells in the CNS. Physiologically, they are responsible for a variety of important functions, from constituting the architectural framework of neural tissue and maintaining the appropriate environmental conditions for healthy neurons, to modulating neurovascular activities. In response to a wide range of pathological conditions, astrocytes are activated by various molecular signals and transformed from quiescent to reactive astrocytes (Sofroniew and Vinters, 2010). Reactive astrocytes are involved in a number of important cellular events, including the secretion of neurotrophic factors, the buffering of neurotoxic agents, the restoration and maintenance of the BBB, and combaling infections, all of which are greatly beneficial to the health of the CNS (Sofroniew and Vinters, 2010). The dysregulation of astrocytic activation contributes to the pathologies seen in a wide spectrum of CNS disorders from trauma and ischemia to neurodegenerative diseases (Bosch and Kielian, 2015; Rama Rao and Kielian, 2015).

Comprehensive knowledge of the roles that astrocytes play in the CNS under either normal or diseased states will clearly benefit the development of therapeutic strategies for a variety of neurological disorders. Modulation of reactive astrogliosis will likely be critical for the management of diverse CNS diseases with an aim toward achieving restoration of normal neurological function and attenuation of neural damage.
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Oligodendrocytes [Myelin-related Glial Cells in the Central Nervous System (CNS)]
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Introduction

In the central nervous system (CNS), oligodendrocytes are the glial cells which myelinate axons (Bunge et al., 1962). In addition, oligodendrocytes provide trophic factors for neuronal survival and axonal growth (Du and Dreyfus, 2002). Oligodendrocytes are the end products of oligodendrocyte lineage cells that arise through complex developmental processes under meticulous regulation.
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The oligodendrocyte lineage cells proliferate, differentiate, migrate, and produce mature oligodendrocytes in a precisely controlled manner (Miller, 2002; Orentas and Miller, 1998; Raff et al., 1998). At different developmental stages the oligodendrocyte lineage cells are characterized by their capacities to proliferate and migrate and by their cellular morphology and biochemical markers (Miller and Ono, 1998; Rogister et al., 1999). The development of oligodendrocyte lineage cells is regulated by both extracellular signals and intracellular factors (Nguyen et al., 2001). Disruption to the normal development of oligodendrocyte lineage cells is of significant consequence to the myelination or remyelination in the CNS (Casaccia-Bonnefil et al., 1997; Deng and Poretz, 2003). The exposure of oligodendrocytes to environmental neurotoxicants including drugs may result in serious consequences from disruption of migration to cell death (Creeley et al., 2014; Creeley et al., 2013; Deng and Poretz, 2001).

**Origin of Oligodendrocytes**

Similar to precursor cells for neurons and astrocytes, the precursor cells for oligodendrocytes initially derive from the neuroepithelial cells of the neural tube. During development the increase in oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) in the CNS is closely related to that of motor neurons and astrocytes. The oligodendrocyte precursors arise from distinct regions of the ventricular zones of the neural tube (Miller, 2002). In the spinal cord, the majority of oligodendrocytes originate from the OPCs residing in ventral ventricular zone, characterized by their expression of the Oligodendrocyte Transcription Factor 2 (Olig2), which is encoded by the Olig2 gene (Lu et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2000). A pool of OPCs arising later from dorsal region contributes 10–15% of the final oligodendrocyte population additionally (Fogarty et al., 2005; Vallstedt et al., 2005). The emergence of the oligodendrocyte precursors from the ventral ventricular zone depends on local signals which direct cells to assume the fate of the oligodendrocytes. For example, the notochord provides local signals for its neighboring cells, leading to the appearance of oligodendrocyte precursors in the spinal cord (Orentas and Miller, 1996). Sonic Hedgehog proteins, which are encoded by the shh gene, are known local signal molecules that promote the appearance of oligodendrocyte precursors through the induction of cell-type specific transcription factors. Blockade of all sonic hedgehog dependent signaling is reported to eliminate oligodendrocyte development (Tekki-Kessaris et al., 2001). The bone morphogenetic proteins, members of the transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) superfamily, induce astroglial cell development with concurrent suppression of oligodendrocyte lineage cell development (Mabie et al., 1997; Sussman et al., 2000).

**Development of Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells**

The number of committed oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) increases greatly to accommodate the number of axons that need to be myelinated. The specification and proliferation of the oligodendrocyte precursors is dependent on a variety of signal molecules. Platelet-derived growth factor A (PDGF-A) is the major mitogen for immature OPCs which are characterized by the expression of PDGF receptor alpha.
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(PDGF-αR) (Richardson et al., 1988). In addition, the homeodomain transcriptional factors, the regulatory DNA binding proteins encoded by the homeobox genes, are critical participants in directing the progenitor cells’ specification to the oligodendrocyte lineage (Kim and Nirenberg, 1989). The arising of OPCs in forebrain takes place first in the ventricular and subventricular zones in the early stages and then mostly, in the developing white matter in later stages (Kessaris et al., 2006; Yue et al., 2006). In the mouse, the earliest OPCs are generated from PDGF-αR-positive precursors expressing Nirenberg-Kim homeobox 2.1 (Nkx2.1) (Kim and Nirenberg, 1989) in the median ganglionic eminence (MGE) and anterior entopeduncular region (AEP) at embryonic day 12 (E12). The second wave of PDGF-αR-positive cells derives from Glutathione Synthetase Homeobox 2 (Gsx2)-positive cells in the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE), which proliferate after E16.5, eventually replacing the Nkx2.1-positive cells. The third wave of PDGF-αR-positive cells originates from the cortical Empty spiracles homeobox 1 (EMx1)-positive cells and produces oligodendrocytes in the neocortex and corpus callosum after birth (Kessaris et al., 2006). The proliferation of immature OPCs is synergistically regulated by other factors including basic fibroblastic growth factor (bFGF) and the chemokine C-X-C Motif Ligand 1 (CXCL1) (McKinnon et al., 1991; Robinson et al., 1998). In the early stages of proliferation in the ventricular zone the immature oligodendrocyte precursors are bipolar and highly migratory and express a surface antigen specific to monoclonal antibodies A2B5 (A2B5-positive), which is considered as a marker for immature glial cell precursors (Dietrich et al., 2002). As the cells mature the expression of antigens recognized by the monoclonal antibody O4 also increases (A2B5-positive/O4-positive). The differentiation of oligodendrocyte precursor cells into postmitotic premyelinating oligodendrocytes is accompanied by the expression of galactocerebroside (GalC), which is a major glycolipid in myelin and serves as a marker in the differentiation of myelin-producing cells. Mature oligodendrocytes express the major myelin proteins, including myelin basic protein (MBP) and proteolipid protein (PLP) and eventually assemble myelin (Miller, 2002).

In vivo, the proliferation, survival, and differentiation of oligodendrocyte lineage cells are dependent on growth factors, hormones, cytokines and neurotransmitters secreted by adjacent cells. In vitro, a combination of growth factors is needed to supplement the culture medium to direct and support the development of neural progenitor cells (Canoll et al., 1999; McTigue et al., 1998). Oligodendrocyte lineage cells cultured in growth factor-enriched medium retain their developmental characteristics observed in vivo. Cultured oligodendrocyte lineage cells have been used as well-defined systems in toxicological studies to determine the effects of neurotoxicants on cellular development (Davies and Ross, 1991; Deng and Poretz, 2001). Embryonic neural stem cells are pluripotent and can differentiate into neurons, astrocytes or oligodendrocytes in differentiation media supplemented with growth factors (Figure 3.1 and 3.2).

OPCs express a surface marker known as neural/glial antigen 2 (NG2). The NG2 proteoglycan is a type-I transmembrane protein which belongs to the protein family of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs) (Sakry and Trotter, 2016). Sequential cleavage of NG2 by α- and γ-secretases occurs constitutively, and can be increased by neuronal activity acting on OPCs (Sakry et al., 2014). The ectodomain
and the intracellular domain released by cleavage exert multiple effects on migration, cytoskeleton interaction and target gene regulation of the OPCs (Sakry et al., 2014). In both the developing and adult CNS up to 5–10% of the total glial population consists of NG2-positive cells. However, NG2 is not a specific marker for OPCs, since NG2-positive cells give rise not only to oligodendrocytes but also protoplasmic astrocytes as well as neurons under some circumstances during development (Trotter et al., 2010). NG2 is expressed in immature Schwann cells in the peripheral nervous system as well. NG2-positive cells express different types of receptors for the neurotransmitters γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) (class A receptor, GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)) and glutamate (including α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) and kainate subtype receptors). In the hippocampus, cortex, and other brain regions, NG2-positive cells were found to have intimate contact with neurons and form synaptic-like formations with the axons to be myelinated. Direct stimulation upon OPCs by the release of the neurotransmitters glutamate or GABA has been observed. Morphologically, mature oligodendrocytes are characterized by a multiprocessed appearance.

*Oligodendrocytes, #Astrocytes and ^Neurons Differentiated from Human Embryonic Neural Stem Cells

Figure 3.1. Oligodendrocyte (*), astrocyte (#), neurons (^) differentiated from human embryonic neural stem cells (PhoenixSongs Biologicals, Inc. Branford, CT). The human embryonic neural stem cells were from hippocampus of gestation 19-week fetus brain, cultured in differentiation medium for 2 weeks. Neural differentiation medium were supplemented with glutamine, laminin, brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), and glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) (PhoenixSongs Biologicals, CT).
Regulation of Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells Differentiation

Extrinsic mechanisms

A multitude of mechanisms which either promote or inhibit the differentiation of oligodendrocyte precursors orchestrate the development of myelin. Extrinsic signaling mediated by axon surface ligands plays an important role in directing oligodendrocyte differentiation and myelination. Inhibitory or permissive signals from axon(s) contribute to the myelination of specific axons, rather than the indiscriminate myelination of all nearby axons. Inhibitory ligands expressed on axonal surfaces include Jagged-1, polysialylated neuronal cell adhesion molecule (PSA-NCAM), and leucine rich repeat and Immunoglobin-like domain-containing protein 1 (LINGO-1). During CNS development, Jagged-1 expressed on axons inhibits OPC differentiation via Notch receptors on OPCs (Wang et al., 1998). In contrast to developmental myelination, the Notch and Jagged genes expressed following injury in adult animal did not have similar inhibitory effect on remyelination, suggesting that upregulation of the developmentally expressed genes per se do not imply similar function (Stidworthy et al., 2004). The permissive signaling of axonal neuregulins in the CNS is not as essential as it is for myelination by Schwann cells in the periphery (Bozzali and Wrabetz, 2004).

Axonal electrical activity has been shown to modulate developing oligodendrocytes with respect to their proliferation, survival, terminal differentiation, and myelogenesis (Barres and Raff, 1993; Demerens et al., 1996). Neuronal electrical activity may regulate the expression of the axonal surface ligands mentioned above. In addition, activated axons release adenosine which acts on purinergic receptors on oligodendrocyte precursors and promotes their differentiation and myelination (Stevens et al., 2002). The axonal release of ATP promotes myelination via stimulating
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Astrocytes which release the promyelinating cytokine leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) (Ishibashi et al., 2006). OPCs are equipped with a large repertoire of neurotransmitter receptors, including the AMPA/kainate-type glutamate receptor. Exposure of OPCs to ligands, including kainate, AMPA, or L-glutamate, can elicit inward currents which may be reversibly blocked by the antagonist 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX) (Borges et al., 1994). In vivo, OPCs in the CA1 region of the hippocampus affect membrane potentials in neurons in the CA3 of the hippocampus via release of L-glutamate (Bergles et al., 2000). The generation of postsynaptic potentials by OPCs in response to glutamate or GABA activation via synaptic-like structures with neurons or interneurons has been found in both white matter and grey matter (Lin and Bergles, 2002). The expression of voltage-gated sodium channels and ionotropic glutamate receptors and synapses with glutamatergic neurons are common in OPCs in different brain regions. Nevertheless, during the transition to premyelinating oligodendrocytes, the expression of these channels and receptors are down regulated (De Biase et al., 2010). In the adult CNS glutamatergic synaptic formations onto OPCs are transient and down-regulated in mature oligodendrocytes during the process of remyelination following demyelination (Etxeberria et al., 2010). The physiological effects of neuronal inputs onto OPCs via synaptic-like structures remain to be explored in vivo. In vitro, however, treatment of OPCs with selective glutamate receptor agonists has been shown to inhibit their proliferation and their progression from the oligodendrocyte-type2 astrocyte (O-2A)-positive stage to the O4-positive stage when cultured with mitogens (Gallo et al., 1996); this suggests that the role of glutamatergic signaling to OPCs may be to limit, rather than promote, myelination possibly maintaining a pool of non-dividing NG2-positive cells in the adult CNS.

Intrinsic mechanisms

It has been observed that OPCs grown in culture in the absence of neurons are regulated by a number of mechanisms intrinsic to the OPCs which limit the number of cell divisions (Temple and Raff, 1986). Wnt (a blend name of Int (Integration 1 gene) and Wg (Wingless gene)) signaling via a canonical pathway was transiently activated in OPCs during the initiation of terminal differentiation (Nusse, 2005). Subsequently, the expression of transcription factor 4 (Tcf4), a mediator in the Wnt pathway, and β-catenin activity was down-regulated in mature oligodendrocytes (Fancy et al., 2009). In addition, extracellular ligands that modulate CNS myelination have been observed. For example, the orphan (which means natural ligands remain to be identified) G protein–coupled receptor (GPCR) Gpr17 is transiently expressed during oligodendrocyte differentiation. Overexpression of Gpr17 causes severe dysmyelination and suspension of oligodendrocyte differentiation at an early stage (Chen et al., 2009).

At the level of transcription, the commitment of multipotent progenitors to the oligodendrocyte lineage cells is reliant on the transcription factor Olig2. Ventrally derived oligodendrocytes (and lower motor neurons) are derived from Olig2-expressing subventricular zone progenitors and the oligodendrocyte lineage is absent in Olig2-null mice (Rowitch et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2001). Subsequently, the downstream induction
of a number of transcription factors, most notably Oligodendrocyte Transcription Factor 1 (Olig1), Achaete-Scute Family BHLH Transcription Factor 1 (Ascl1), Nkx2.2, sex determining region Y box 10 (Sox10), Yin and Yang 1 (YY1), and Tcf4, is required for the generation of mature, postmitotic oligodendrocytes (Wegner, 2008). All these factors are present in OPCs as well as in postmitotic oligodendrocytes, with the exception of Tcf4, which is transiently expressed during differentiation. In addition, a number of transcription factors, most notably inhibitor of DNA binding 2 (Id2), Id4, Hes Family BHLH Transcription Factor 5 (Hes5), and Sox6, have been identified that are active in maintaining OPCs in their undifferentiated state and repressing myelin gene expression. The dramatic advances in gene expression analysis—including acquiring the transcriptomes database (Cahoy et al., 2008) and transcriptional profiling by polysomal RNA isolation (Heiman et al., 2008)—have facilitated the identification of many oligodendrocyte-specific or regulated genes having likely roles in regulating the myelination process. This capability has enabled the identification of myelin gene regulatory factor (MRF), which is expressed when OPCs differentiate into postmitotic oligodendrocytes in the CNS. Inactivation of MRF by RNA interference within the oligodendrocyte lineage causes the oligodendrocyte lineage cells’ failure to express myelin genes or to produce myelin (Emery et al., 2009). These results demonstrate that the transition from an OPC into a myelinating oligodendrocyte requires the induction of promyelination factors, such as MRF, as well as the down-regulation of inhibitory factors.

Because gene expression is also controlled by accessibility of genomic DNA to regulatory transcription machinery proteins, chromatin remodeling, the dynamic modification of chromatin architecture, thus affects oligodendrocyte differentiation. Chromatin remodeling is dependent on the enzymatic activities of histone deacetylases (HDACs). Specific inhibition of HDAC activity by valproic acid in the early postnatal period in rats results in a delay in oligodendrocyte differentiation and a reversible hypomyelination (Shen et al., 2005). More specifically, the oligodendrocyte lineage cells from mice with mutations in HDAC1 and HDAC2 suffer a loss of both OPCs and oligodendrocytes, suggesting that HDAC activity is required at multiple stages of the transition to oligodendrocytes (Ye et al., 2009).

Post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression, including RNA interference by microRNAs (miRNAs), plays a pivotal role in regulating CNS myelination by arresting OPCs proliferation and promoting oligodendrocyte differentiating. The endoribonuclease Dicer, or Dicer, cleaves double-stranded RNA into short double-stranded RNA fragments, called microRNA. RNA interference occurs as a single miRNA or a miRNA complex represses translation of the complementary messenger RNA (mRNA) or promotes its degradation (Wu and Belasco, 2008). Mature miRNAs are required for the normal differentiation and function of neuron (Davis et al., 2008). Mice which bear disrupted Dicer1 allele in oligodendrocyte lineage cells demonstrate massive disruption of myelination (Dugas et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2010). The interruption of the Dicer gene expression results in abnormal miRNAs processing, leading to a severe disruption of the postmitotic stage of the oligodendrocyte lineage cells. Several miRNAs, most notably miR-219 and miR-338, are induced concurrent with oligodendrocyte differentiation. Those key miRNAs which are induced early in
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differentiation act to inhibit the expression of genes that promote OPC maintenance, thus further inhibiting proliferation and promoting differentiation.

Migration of Oligodendrocyte Precursors

OPCs originate from the restricted ventricular zone of the neural tube during early development, whereas in adulthood, oligodendrocytes are widely distributed throughout the CNS. The spatial separation between the location of the origin of oligodendrocyte precursors and their final destination suggests that normal myelination is associated with the long distance migration of oligodendrocyte precursors. Oligodendrocyte precursors are, in fact, highly mobile and capable of long distance migration, whereas mature oligodendrocytes have lost this capacity. Studies have revealed that the oligodendrocytes which myelinate the optic nerve originate in the floor of the third ventricle and subsequently migrate along the length of the nerve (Ono et al., 1997; Small et al., 1987). In the spinal cord the generation of most of the oligodendrocytes in dorsal spinal cord is secondary to the arrival of ventrally-derived precursors (Ono et al., 1995). In the forebrain oligodendrocyte precursors migrate from the basal forebrain into the overlying dorsal forebrain during the embryonic period (He et al., 2001). The oligodendrocyte precursors in the subventricular zone (SVZ) migrate radially and tangentially after migration into white matter, cortex, and striatum (Kakita and Goldman, 1999). The cellular substrates and the molecular mechanisms mediating oligodendrocyte precursor migration have been described to some degree. In the optic nerve, the migrating oligodendrocyte precursors move to a close association with retinal ganglion cell axons and it has been suggested that this migration is axophilic (Ono et al., 1997). In the optic axonal tracts, the migration and distribution of OPCs are controlled by the interactions between axons and OPCs mediated by eph receptors (for its first member was isolated from erythropoietin-producing human hepatocellular carcinoma line) and their ligands—ephrins (Prestoz et al., 2004). For the ventral to dorsal migration in the spinal cord, pre-existing axon tracts formed earlier in development are utilized by migrating OPCs as pathways. Cell surface components, including adhesion molecules and extracellular matrix receptors also have been proposed to play a role in regulating migration (Kiernan et al., 1996; Muller et al., 1996; Wang et al., 1994). Specific removal of polysialic acid (PSA) associated with neural cell adhesion molecules (NCAM) from the surface of O-2A progenitor cells completely blocks the dispersion of O-2A lineage population from the model explant (Wang et al., 1994). In more recent studies, different factors and cellular substrates have been demonstrated to modulate OPC migration and differentiation (Biname et al., 2013; Juliet et al., 2009; Ortega et al., 2012; Paez et al., 2009a; Paez et al., 2010). Voltage-operated Ca²⁺ channels play an important role in the development of OPCs. Increases in voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ influx may enhance OPC motility, which is believed to be essential for OPC migration. Protein kinase C (PKC) and tyrosine kinase receptors (TKrs) enhance Ca²⁺ influx induced by depolarization in OPCs, whereas protein kinase A (PKA) has an inhibitory effect. These kinases modulate voltage-operated Ca²⁺ uptake in OPCs and participate in the modulation of process extension and migration (Paez et al., 2009b; Paez et al., 2010). In experiments using optic nerve from the developing mouse embryo, neuregulin
Nrg1 was shown to be a potent chemoattractant for the OPCs and this effect was mediated via the membrane associated receptor tyrosine kinase, ERBB4 (erb-b2 receptor tyrosine kinase 4). In contrast, OPCs colonizing the optic nerve postnatally do not respond to Nrg1-chemoattraction (Ortega et al., 2012). It was also found that mouse embryos lacking ERBB4 display deficits in early OPC migration away from different oligodendrogiogenic regions in vivo (Ortega et al., 2012). The sequential cleavage of the extracellular NG2 protein by α- and γ-secretases generated biologically active fragments which act as soluble extracellular matrix components with neural modulatory properties (Sakry and Trotter, 2016). NG2 stimulates small GTPases, Ras homolog family member A (RhoA) or Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate (Rac) at the cell periphery, which favors formation of the bipolar shape of migrating OPCs and, thus, regulate cell migration (Biname et al., 2013). Soluble blood components, particularly thrombin, have an adverse effect on maturing subventricular zone cells and OPCs derived from newborn rat brain (Juliet et al., 2009).

The migration of OPCs in the developing CNS is also directed by secreted cues (de Castro and Bribian, 2005). Both chemoattractant and chemorepulsive signals guide the unidirectional migration of OPCs. For example, in the optic nerve the majority of the cell migration occurs from the optic chiasm to the retina, while the directional cues are released from the optic chiasm (Sugimoto et al., 2001). The growth factors—including PDGF, bFGF, epidermal growth factor (EGF), and hepatocytic growth factor (HGF)—which promote their proliferation and survival, have chemotactic properties and direct the migration of OPCs in a gradient-related manner (Fruttiger et al., 1999).

A number of chemotactic molecules, including the secreted netrins and semaphorins, influence the migration of OPCs (de Castro, 2003). The expression of the receptors for netrins and semaphorins mediate their effects upon cell migration. Cell surface receptors DCC (“deleted in colorectal cancer”) and Unc5H (name derived from the “Uncoordinated mutants” induced in C. elegans (Brenner, 1973)), mediate netrins’ chemoattractant or chemorepulsive effects on the OPCs, respectively, in a time-dependent manner (Spassky et al., 2002). Neuropilin-1 and -2 serve as co-receptors for semaphoring family members which play diverse roles in guiding cell migration. In the spinal cord, Netrin-1 exerts a chemorepulsive effect during the initial dispersion of OPCs from the ventral ventricular zone (Tsai et al., 2003). In the early stages of optic nerve development Netrin-1 acts as an attractant, for OPCs expressing only DCC, the main receptor for these molecules involved in attraction of OPCs for growing axons or migrating neurons. After birth, when OPCs begin to express Unc5H, Netrin-1 acts as a repellent. Such a switch in receptor expression could serve as a stop signal for OPCs in the optic nerve, keeping them from migrating further across the nerve papilla. In addition, the chemokine CXCL1 secreted in the CNS participated in the regulation of oligodendrocyte precursors’ migration. Signaling via its receptor CXCR2, CXCL1 inhibited the migration of oligodendrocyte precursors in the white matter of embryonic spinal cord (Tsai et al., 2002). CXCR2, rather than other chemokine receptors, was detected in most of the A2B5+ or O4+ cells in white matter of developing spinal cord. In postnatal white matter of the spinal cord from CXCR2−/− mice, the density of differentiated oligodendrocyte was reduced and pattern of distribution altered, indicating the disturbance of oligodendrocyte migration. Vascular endothelial growth
factor (VEGF)-A is known as a versatile growth factor in the CNS. VEGF-A treatment promotes the migration of OPCs cultured from rat neonatal cortex. The leading edge of the migration was associated with actin cytoskeleton reorganization. The stimulatory effect of VEGF-A upon OPC migration was demonstrated to be reactive oxygen species (ROS)- and focal adhesion kinase (FAK)-dependent, and did not affect OPCs’ proliferation or differentiation (Hayakawa et al., 2011).

**Oligodendrocyte Maturation**

The size of the population of oligodendrocyte precursors is controlled by several mechanisms. The proliferation of oligodendrocyte precursors is regulated, in part, by the availability of mitogen (Calver et al., 1997). PDGF is a strong survival factor for oligodendrocyte lineage cells (Barres et al., 1992) and oligodendrocytes survive through the competition for local survival factors including PDGF. Cell density-dependent regulation of cell proliferation has been observed in cultures of oligodendrocyte lineage cells. Increasing the cell density of oligodendrocyte precursors is associated with changes in the expression of cell cycle factors, including the upregulation of cell cycle inhibitor p27kip-1 and the reduction of cyclin A expression. Alterations in the expression of these cell cycle proteins could induce the progenitor cells to cease cell cycling and, thus, reduce proliferation (Nakatsuji and Miller, 2001). The proliferation and differentiation of the oligodendrocyte precursors are regulated in a coordinated fashion. Clonally-related cells derived from a single precursor cease cell proliferation and begin differentiating toward oligodendrocytes almost at the same time (Barres and Raff, 1994). The number of oligodendrocytes in any particular region of the CNS is the product of precursor differentiation and survival and becomes matched to the number of axons needing myelination.

The development of OPCs into a mature myelinating phenotype of oligodendrocyte is regulated by local axonal signals. Soluble signals derived from adjacent axons that drive this development include FGFs, and thyroid hormones. Thyroid hormone and its derivatives facilitate the differentiation of OPCs into myelinating phenotype oligodendrocytes (Baas et al., 1997; Barres et al., 1994; Calza et al., 2002). Thyroid hormones act on their nuclear hormone receptors and promote the expression of oligodendrocyte-specific genes, producing myelin basic protein (MBP), myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG), proteolipid protein (PLP) and cyclic nucleotide 3’-phosphodiesterase (CNP) (Baxi et al., 2014; Calza et al., 2002). In addition, the redox state of a particular glial precursor cell can affect the cell’s propensity to proliferate or differentiate (Smith et al., 2000). Axonal cell surface molecules, including L1 cell adhesion molecule (L1), MAG, NCAM and N-cadherin, participate in the regulation of myelin sheath formation. Neuronal signals also regulate the process of oligodendroglial plasma membrane rearrangement into the myelin sheath (Simons and Trajkovic, 2006). In myelinating culture prepared from embryonic cerebral hemispheres, the myelination of axons was inhibited when the firing of neuron action potential had been selectively blocked by tetrodotoxin. In contrast, the number of myelinated fibers increased following the incubation with α-scorpion toxin, which enhanced the neuronal electrical activity (Demerens et al., 1996).
OPCs in CNS at Adulthood

OPCs are present in the grey and white matter, making up around 5% of the glial population in adult CNS (Levine et al., 2001a). In mammalian CNS, myelination proceeds well beyond the postnatal stages into the adulthood, indicating the role of adult OPCs in maintaining the oligodendrocyte population and myelination (Young et al., 2013). OPCs are well positioned to detect the alterations of CNS homeostasis, given their uniform distribution and constant surveillance via processes (Hughes et al., 2013). In health, the OPCs abide in the adult CNS are remarkably different from their counterparts in the prenatal stages in cell differentiation and division. The O-2A-positive progenitor cells recovered from optic nerve of adult rats do not express any intermediate filament proteins, while their counterparts in perinatal animal do. The adult O-2A-positive progenitor cells were distinguished from the perinatal O-2A-positive progenitor cells by their morphology, antigenic phenotype, significantly longer cell cycle time and slower migration rate (Wolswijk and Noble, 1989). In vitro, exposure of adult cells to PDGF and bFGF together will bestow upon them characteristics of perinatal cells (Wolswijk and Noble, 1992). Following the contusive spinal cord injury to adult mouse, NG2+ OPCs were activated to proliferate and differentiate to oligodendrocytes (Lytle et al., 2009). The ability of adult progenitors to remyelinate in a number of disease conditions, such as multiple sclerosis, appears to be relatively limited, although they may be capable of myelin repair under certain conditions (Chang et al., 2000; Levine et al., 2001b). Further understanding of the biology of oligodendrocyte precursors in the adult CNS should allow manipulation of them to effectively repair injured or diseased adult CNS tissue.

Oligodendrocyte Pathology

Oligodendrocytes form and maintain the myelin wrapping the adjacent axons. The lipid-rich myelin membrane is formed by extension of cell processes at a distance from the perikaryon. An oligodendrocyte usually elaborates and supports the myelin membrane enough to myelinate up to 50 internodes, which can be up to 100 times of its weight (Ludwin, 1997). These features of oligodendrocytes make them vulnerable to a wide spectrum of detrimental factors. During the peak of myelination, oligodendrocytes must maintain an extremely high metabolic rate to properly myelinate the axons. This need leads to the consumption of large amounts of oxygen and ATP and, thus, to the formation of a greater amount of hydrogen peroxide and ROS than in other neural cells. If anti-oxidant capabilities are overwhelmed, these reactive oxidants can—as has been shown in vitro—cause DNA degradation and apoptosis of oligodendrocytes (Bradl and Lassmann, 2010; Ladiwala et al., 1999). In addition, the requirement of iron as a co-factor for the enzymes involved in myelin formation is greater than for other cells in the CNS. Larger intracellular iron storage could contribute to increased free radical formation and lipid peroxidation under pathological conditions (Braughler et al., 1986). In concert, the content of glutathione in anti-oxidative enzymes in oligodendrocytes is low. Oligodendrocyte damage and loss attributed to oxidative stress have been noted in various pathological conditions including multiple
sclerosis, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), spinal cord injury, and CNS hypoxia and ischemia suggesting oxidative injury is a common cause of oligodendrocyte death or dysfunction (Bradl and Lassmann, 2010; McTigue and Tripathi, 2008).

Oligodendrocytes also exhibit an inventory of attributes rendering them vulnerable to excitotoxic cell death (Bradl and Lassmann, 2010; Domercq et al., 2007). Oligodendrocytes carry AMPA (Tanaka et al., 2000), kainate (Alberdi et al., 2006), and NMDA (Salter and Fern, 2005) receptors which make them susceptible to glutamate excitotoxicity. In many CNS pathologies, including traumatic injury, stroke, AD, Parkinson’s disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, increased extracellular glutamate levels have been detected (McTigue and Tripathi, 2008). During the last two decades, there has been accumulating evidence from animal studies indicating that prolonged exposures (> 3 hours) to general anesthetic agents (including ketamine, isoflurane, propofol, etc.) at clinical concentrations during the brain growth spurt period can induce widespread neuronal apoptosis (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003; Slikker et al., 2007; Zou et al., 2008). It has been observed in fetal and neonatal monkeys that exposure to isoflurane or propofol causes apoptosis of oligodendrocytes which were beginning to myelinate axons (Brambrink et al., 2012; Creeley et al., 2014; Creeley et al., 2013). Another excitotoxic pathway associated with oligodendrocyte death in spinal cord injury is mediated by the APT receptor P2X7 expressed by oligodendrocytes and myelin (Matute et al., 2007).

Several genetic mutations have been identified that affect the oligodendrocyte and result in CNS pathologies. The mutations of GLAC gene which encoding the enzyme galactosylceramidase underlie Krabbe disease, an inherited leukodystrophy. In the lysosome, galactosylceramidase hydrolyze galactosylceramide and psychosine. Without the enzymatic cleavage of the myelin metabolites, the toxic psychosine accumulate within the lysosome, resulting in demyelination and oligodendrocyte loss (Giri et al., 2006; Haq et al., 2003). In Pelizaeus–Merzbacher disease, mutations in PLP1 gene encoding myelin PLP cause accumulation of misfolded PLP protein in the endoplasmic reticulum and eventually lead to dysmyelination and oligodendrocyte death (Gow et al., 1994). The mutations in glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) gene cause Alexander disease, another rare form of leukodystrophy. The abnormal glial fibrillary acidic protein adds up in astroglial cells, leading to the formation of Rosenthal fibers. The abnormal astrocyte to oligodendrocyte communication and the lack of astrocytic uptake of glutamate are thought to contribute to the disorder (Mignot et al., 2004). X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy primarily occurs in male. It is caused by mutations in the ATP binding cassette subfamily D member 1 (ABCD1) gene encoding the adrenoleukodystrophy protein (ALDP), which is involved in transporting the very long-chain fatty acids (VLCFAs) into peroxisomes. Defective ALDP leads to the accumulation of VLCFAs, which make oligodendrocytes vulnerable to cell death (Moser et al., 2004).
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Oligodendrocytes [Myelin-related Glial Cells in the Central Nervous System (CNS)]


Introduction

In the nervous system there are two main classes of cells: nerve cells (neurons) and glial cells. In the vertebrate nervous system, glial cells include microglia, oligodendrocytes, astrocytes and Schwann cells. As principal glial cells in the peripheral nervous system (PNS), Schwann cells, so named in honor of the German physiologist Theodor Schwann, carry out the important jobs of nurturing the development, maturation, regulation and regeneration of neurons. In addition, Schwann cells provide insulation for axons and, thus, facilitate axonal transduction of nervous impulses. They also provide neurotrophic support for neurons and guide the regrowth of damaged PNS axons and modulation of neuromuscular synaptic activity. Impairment of hemostasis in the PNS caused by abnormalities in Schwann cells and their interactions with neurons may lead to various neuropathies such as Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT), Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS), amyloid polyneuropathy and immune-mediated neuropathy.
Schwann Cells, their Development and Maturation

Schwann cells (SCs) are the most common supporting cells in the PNS. During development, most Schwann cells are derived from the neural crest. In the mature nervous system, Schwann cells can be further divided into four classes based on their morphology, biochemical contents, and associated neuronal types: myelinating Schwann cells, non-myelinating Schwann cells, perisynaptic Schwann cells and satellite cells (Armati and Mathey, 2013; Arroyo, 2007; Corfas et al., 2004; Griffin and Thompson, 2008; Ko, 2007; Yamazaki et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 1999). Prior to their development and maturation into Schwann cells from the neural crest, these cells exist as two intermediate cell types: the Schwann-cell precursor (SCP), that is usually present in rat nerves at embryonic-days 14 (E14) and 15, and the immature Schwann cell, which can be found from E17 to the time of birth. Therefore, the development of Schwann cells can be divided into three stages: the transition of crest cells to SCPs, the transition of SCPs to immature Schwann cells and the reversible formation of mature Schwann cells (Jessen and Mirsky, 1999b; Jessen and Mirsky, 1999c; Jessen and Mirsky, 2005; Mirsky et al., 2002).

The neural crest and SCPs

The neural crest is a group of multipotent cells that originates during development from the dorsal surface of the neural tube. The crest cells migrate in a ventral direction to give rise to peripheral glia including Schwann cells (Jessen and Mirsky, 1999b; Jessen and Mirsky, 2005). During this transition, signaling mechanisms instruct the highly migratory neural crest cells (NCCs) to become Schwann cells. In vitro studies using cultured rat and mouse NCCs have demonstrated that neuron-derived neuregulin-1 (NRG-1) may guide the transformation of multipotent NCCs towards a glial fate (Lawson and Biscoe, 1979; Lobsiger et al., 2002; Shah et al., 1994). SCP survival is mainly regulated by axonal survival signals, such as β neuregulin. At the transcriptional level, transcription factor Sox 10 plays a key role in the generation of SCP (Britsch, 2001; Jessen et al., 2008; Kidd, 2013; Lobsiger et al., 2002; Mirsky et al., 2008; Paratore et al., 2001). Sox 10 also regulates the express of ErbB3 in NCCs and may crosstalk with the NRG signaling pathway (Britsch, 2001; Lobsiger et al., 2002; Paratore et al., 2001).

Using rats or mice as examples, a population of proliferating neural crest cells migrates from the neural tubes to ganglia during the middle to later stages of embryogenesis. Two days after the migration of neural crest cells (E14-15 for the rat, E12-13 for the mouse), SCPs will start to proliferate while migrating toward the periphery and associating with axons that are growing towards their target tissues (Dong et al., 1999; Jessen et al., 1994; Jessen and Mirsky, 1991; Jessen and Mirsky, 1999b; Lobsiger et al., 2002; Miyamoto and Yamauchi, 2014). During this migration, SCPs serve to separate the axon bundles into smaller units, form normal nerve fascicules and build up Schwann cell-axon units (Garratt et al., 2000a; Jessen and Mirsky, 2005; Weinstein, 1999). As intermediate precursors between neural crest cells and Schwann cells, SCPs play essential roles in the trophic support of neurons (Garratt et al., 2000a;
In addition to Schwann cells, some fibroblasts that are present in peripheral nerves and some neurons in the CNS may derive from SCPs as well (Doetsch, 2003; Gotz, 2003; Jessen and Mirsky, 2005; Joseph et al., 2004).

Similar to NCCs, SCPs have low affinity for the neurotrophin receptor p75, the neural adhesion protein L1 and the intermediate filament nestin (Jessen et al., 1994; Lobsiger et al., 2000; Lobsiger et al., 2002). However, SCPs do locate along the growing sensory and motor axons in peripheral nerves (Dong et al., 1999; Jessen and Mirsky, 1991; Lobsiger et al., 2002) and are distinguished from NCCs by different molecular expressions, morphologies, and cell-cell interactions. Compared to NCCs, SCPs have a higher expression of the growth associated protein 43 (GAP43) and a lower expression of the myelin gene protein zero (P0), the peripheral myelin protein 22 (PMP22) and Oct-6 (Blanchard et al., 1996; Jessen et al., 1994; Jessen and Mirsky, 1999b; Lobsiger et al., 2002). SCPs present an epithelial type morphology, are greatly motile and have extensive cell-cell contacts with nearby cells (Jessen et al., 1994; Kidd, 2013; Lobsiger et al., 2002).

**Early Schwann cells**

Around E17 in rats (E15 in mice), early Schwann cells are generated from SCPs through rapid differentiation (Jessen and Mirsky, 1999c; Jessen and Mirsky, 2005; Lobsiger et al., 2002; Mirsky and Jessen, 1999). The generation of early Schwann cells follows a rostrocaudal and mediolateral trajectory starting in the spinal roots and emanating to the ends of the nerves. Compared with SCPs, early Schwann cells present with increased levels of the sulfated galactocerebroside O4 antigen and have high levels of expression of the small calcium binding protein S100 and the intermediate filament protein glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (Jessen et al., 1994; Jessen and Mirsky, 1999b; Jessen et al., 1990; Lobsiger et al., 2002; Mirsky et al., 1990; Mirsky and Jessen, 1999). Studies have also shown that transcription factors, such as Oct-6 and Krox20 are up-regulated in early Schwann cells whereas Krox24 is down-regulated (Arroyo et al., 1998; Lobsiger et al., 2002). The transition from SCPs to early Schwann cells is believed to be irreversible, whereas the other two stages of development are thought to be plastic and readily reversible (Jessen and Mirsky, 2005). β neuregulin supports conversion of SCPs to early Schwann cells and also acts as an axonal mitogen and survival factor for early Schwann cells (Grinspan et al., 1996; Jessen and Mirsky, 1999a; Mirsky and Jessen, 1999; Morrissey et al., 1995; Syroid et al., 1996; Trachtenberg and Thompson, 1996).

During differentiation, the proliferation of early Schwann cells increases and reaches a peak around E20 in the rat, declining quickly after birth as final differentiations are finished (Lobsiger et al., 2002; Stewart et al., 1993). The differentiation of early Schwann cells into mature myelinating or non-myelinating Schwann cells usually takes place from E15 until the end of the first postnatal week. During this period, the number of Schwann cells is limited by target-derived trophic support and the apoptotic death of Schwann cells in nerves can be detected by the first postnatal week (Grinspan et al., 1996; Jessen and Mirsky, 1999a; Lobsiger et al., 2002).
Myelinating Schwann Cells (MSCs)

Shortly after birth, MSCs and non-myelinating Schwann Cells (NMSCs) can be differentiated in peripheral nerves. MSCs wrap around individual large-diameter axons of all motor neurons and some sensory neurons, forming a myelin sheath. The myelin sheath insulates axons and significantly increases the velocity of nerve conduction. MSCs are also critical for the formation of the nodes of Ranvier, thin areas of myelin along the axon that support the saltatory conduction of action potentials. Additionally, the myelin sheath helps to protect axons from various physical stresses, infections and inappropriate immune reactions (Corfas et al., 2004; Jessen and Mirsky, 1999a; Lobsiger et al., 2002; Miyamoto and Yamauchi, 2014). Compared with MSCs, NMSCs associate with the smaller-diameter axons of C-fibers originating from most sensory and all postganglionic sympathetic neurons. Remak bundles consist of several sensory axons that are engulfed by one NMSC: the individual axons in the bundle are separated by thin extensions of the cytoplasm of NMSC (Corfas et al., 2004; Lobsiger et al., 2002). The diameter of associated axons determines the genetic separation of the Schwann cells into the two mature cell types, MSCs and NMSCs. Studies have demonstrated that regeneration of large-diameter axons can induce myelination by NMSCs (Aguayo et al., 1976a; Aguayo et al., 1976b; Lobsiger et al., 2002; Weinberg et al., 1975). These differentiation processes are strictly regulated by only a couple of transcription factors, such as Oct-6, Krox20 and Sox10 (Wegner, 2000a; Wegner, 2000b).

MSCs that form myelin sheaths are very large cells that express myelin proteins including myelin basic protein (MBP), peripheral myelin protein 22 (PMP22), myelin gene protein zero (P0), myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG) and myelin and lymphocyte protein (MAL). These myelin proteins are essential for the formation and function of myelin sheaths which are critical for rapid nerve conduction. The myelination processes can be divided into three phases: cell migration; cell elongation along axons, and myelination. The pre-myelinating process includes MSC migration and elongation (Miyamoto and Yamauchi, 2014) and these processes are modulated by specific transcription factors and protein factors. Among them, neuregulin-1 (Nrg 1), provides pivotal axonal signals that regulate SC development (Corfas et al., 2004; Garratt et al., 2000a; Lemke, 1996; Lemke, 2006; Lobsiger et al., 2002).

As a growth factor, Nrg 1 is also known as a potent mitogen for SCs that regulates SC proliferation, migration and myelination through binding to its receptors, the Erb B2/3 receptors. During development, SCs elongate along and keep continuous contact with their axons. The axon-SC interaction through Nrg1 and ErbB binding not only promotes and induces the glial differentiation of neural crest cells while decreasing neuronal differentiation, but also promotes the maturation, survival and migration of SCPs (Jessen and Mirsky, 2005; Lai, 2005; Riethmacher et al., 1997; Woldeyesus et al., 1999). Additionally, Nrg 1 serves as a key modulator of the physiological properties of SCs by inducing the expression of sodium channels and upregulating gap junction communication between adjacent SCs (Aquino et al., 2006; Chandross et al., 1996; Corfas et al., 2004; Dong et al., 1999; Mahanthappa et al., 1996; Newbern and Birchmeier, 2010; Shah et al., 1994; Syroid et al., 1996; Taveggia et al., 2005; Wilson and Chiu, 1993).
As triggers to induce myelination, Nrg1 and Erb B signaling determine whether SCs will myelinate and how much myelin is produced (Leimeroth et al., 2002; Lemke, 2006; Newbern and Birchmeier, 2010; Taveggia et al., 2005). A variety of transcription factors that control myelination process are modulated by Nrg1. For example, the expression of Oct6/SCIP and Egr2/Krox-20 are upregulated by Nrg1 (Leimeroth et al., 2002; Lemke, 2006; Murphy et al., 1996; Newbern and Birchmeier, 2010). Knock-outs of NRG1 type III resulted in poorly ensheathed sensory neurons in mice and the failure of myelination. It is suggested that axonal NRG1 controls the initiation of the myelination process (Lemke, 2006; Taveggia et al., 2005). In a study using a mouse model, reduced expression of ErbB2 leads to a widespread peripheral neuropathy characterized by abnormally thin myelin sheaths and fewer myelin layers (Garratt, 2000b). Another study using mutant and transgenic mice demonstrated that reduced Nrg1 expression causes hypomyelination and decreased nerve conduction velocity, whereas the overexpression of Nrg1 induces hypermyelination (Michailov et al., 2004).

**Non-Myelinating Schwann Cells (NMSCs)**

Small axons with 0.5–1.5 µm diameters, such as the C fiber nociceptive neurons, the postganglionic sympathetic fibers and some preganglionic sympathetic and parasympathetic fibers, are defined as Remak fibers and are surrounded by non-myelinating Schwann cells. In peripheral nerves, the majority of nerve fibers are unmyelinated with an approximately four-fold larger number of unmyelinated axons than myelinated axons (Armati and Mathey, 2013; Griffin and Thompson, 2008). Each NMSC ensheaths nerve fiber by embedding the axon within their plasma membrane grooves without forming compact myelin. Compared to MSCs, NMSCs have a high expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), express low-affinity neurotrophin receptor p75 and the cell adhesion molecule L1, no expression of myelin basic protein (MBP) (Corfas et al., 2004; Faissner et al., 1984; Jessen et al., 1990), and have much smaller intercellular spaces along individual axons (Armati and Mathey, 2013; Griffin and Thompson, 2008).

Nerve fibers can be only partially myelinated. The beginnings and ends of axons are usually unmyelinated, such as in the initial and the terminal parts of Aδ and Aβ sensory nerves and motor fibers (Griffin and Thompson, 2008). According to a study in monkeys, Aδ nociceptors in skin lack myelination about 10 cm from the termini in the skin and continue un-myelinated distally (Griffin and Thompson, 2008; Peng et al., 1999). Therefore, the distinction between myelinated and unmyelinated fibers is not absolute and appropriate neuronal signals can initiate myelination by all of NMSCs (Griffin and Thompson, 2008; Taveggia et al., 2005).

**Perisynaptic Schwann Cells (PSCs)**

In 1960, Birks et al. visualized the structures of neuromuscular junctions (NMJ) using electron microscopy (Birks et al., 1960). Compared with MSCs, which wrap around individual axons to form myelin sheaths, the somata of PSCs extend processes...
Schwann Cells

that cover the terminal of the presynaptic motor nerve without wrapping, and the postsynaptic acetylcholine receptors (AchRs) are aligned in turn (Corfas et al., 2004; Griffin and Thompson, 2008)

As a model for evaluating the function of PSCs at NMJs, frog NMJs have served well. Markers that have been useful in the investigation of PSC behavior include peanut agglutinin (PNA), which marks the extracellular matrix around the frog PSCs, and the monoclonal antibody (mAb)2A12, which labels the surface of the PSC membrane (Astrow et al., 1998; Corfas et al., 2004; Griffin and Thompson, 2008; Ko, 1987). Although PSCs are not required for the initial formation of NMJs, they present after the formation of nerve-muscle contact and extend their processes onto the nerve terminals. The excessive sprouting of PSCs seems to enhance nerve terminal growth: ablation of PSC with mAb 2A12 causes reduction of nerve synapse growth, retraction of existing synapses and decreases in nerve-evoked muscle tension (Reddy et al., 2003).

PSCs play important roles not only in synaptogenesis but also in synaptic transmission and connectivity. Possessing neurotransmitter receptors, such as purinergic and muscarinic receptors, PSCs can be stimulated by impulses from motor nerves. The binding of purines and acetylcholine to their receptors leads to the release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores. These Ca²⁺ transients are specific to the synapse, having been found only in the PSCs at NMJs and not in MSCs along axons (Jahromi et al., 1992; Reist and Smith, 1992). Todd and colleagues have shown that the calcium response can be modulated by the neurotrophins BDNF and NT3 when applied to the synapse (Todd et al., 2007). These results indicate that synaptic transmissions can be detected by PSCs and that a Ca²⁺ dependent signal cascade can be triggered. Activation of muscarinic receptors on PSCs down-regulates the expression of GFAP via a cAMP pathway, whereas the blockade of this signaling enhances the level of GFAP and promotes PSC sprouting (Georgiou and Charlton, 1999; Georgiou et al., 1994). In response to neuronal and synaptic activities, PSCs can feed back to the nerve terminal to modulate neuronal activities as well. Blockade of G protein signaling in PSCs with GDPbetaS, reduced synaptic depression induced by high frequency neuronal stimuli, and activation of G proteins in PSCs enhanced the depression in neurotransmitter release (Robitaille, 1998). Using immunohistochemical labelling, Pinard and colleagues showed that glutamate-aspartate transporters (GLASTs) are widely expressed on PSCs and the feedback from PSCs caused release of glutamate from nerve terminals (Pinard et al., 2003).

Satellite Glial Cells (SGC)

Satellite cells completely wrap around the cell bodies of most sensory ganglia, such as the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and sympathetic and parasympathetic ganglia, without forming myelin (Armati and Mathey, 2013; Hanani, 2005). As a functional unit, each sensory neuron has its own SGC sheath, which usually includes several SGCs. SGCs express low levels of GFAP, which significantly increases after axonal damage. SGCs also express S100 proteins and glutamine synthetase (GS) is the most useful marker for SGCs (Gonzalez-Martinez et al., 2003; Ichikawa et al., 1997; Sandelin et al., 2004; Vega et al., 1991). SGCs around sensory neurons are connected by gap junctions and
exhibit transporters for glutamate and GABA. It has been postulated that SGCs support neurons by supplying nutrients and modulating extracellular ion and neurotransmitter levels (Hanani, 2005; Ren and Dubner, 2010; Scholz, 1974; Schon, 1974).

Ren and colleagues reviewed the modulation of sensory nerve activity in DRG by SGCs through paracrine-type signaling (Ren, 2010; Ren and Dubner, 2010). The injection of complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) into the hind paw of mice and CFA-induced sciatic nerve neuritis lead to increases in the number of gap junctions between SGCs, a phenomenon that is consistent with peripheral sensitization (Dublin and Hanani, 2007; Ledda et al., 2009). Thus, during chronic inflammatory pain, noxious stimuli can enhance the communication between SGCs and increase neuronal excitability. Using in situ hybridization and immunohistochemical techniques, Zhou and colleagues demonstrated that after nerve injury, the expression of nerve growth factor (NGF) and neurotrophin-3 (NT3) was upregulated in SGCs surrounding neurons in damaged DRG. The presence of p75-immunoreactive satellite cells indicated that the noradrenergic terminals sprouting around the lesioned DRG were enhanced by NT3 (Zhou et al., 1999). SGCs may also regulate the excitability of sensory ganglion by buffering extracellular potassium (K⁺) concentrations. In a rat model, alteration in the expression of inward rectifying K⁺ channel Kir4.1 in SGCs around trigeminal ganglion caused neuropathic pain (Tang et al., 2010; Vit et al., 2008). Feedback between SGCs and neurons is also involved in pain hypersensitivity. Neuronal release of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) may initiate the production of IL-1β in SGCs and, in turn, induce the production of prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) through the cyclooxygenase-2 (COX2) pathway. Release of PGE₂ from SGCs may potentiate the production of CGRP in trigeminal ganglion neurons (Capuano et al., 2009).

On the other hand, SGCs can also down-regulate chronic pain by releasing ATP, which will bind to P2Y receptors on neurons. Neuronal P2X receptors can be downregulated by the ATP effect and, in turn, reduce nociceptor signaling (Chen et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2008).

**Schwann Cells in Peripheral Nerve Repair and Regeneration**

Unlike nerves in the CNS, injured peripheral nerves can regenerate and re-innervate their appropriate targets. SCs within the PNS promote nerve regeneration. Peripheral nerve injury causes neuron damage and myelin breakdown. After injury, SCs switch to a distinct phenotype and provide help by clearing the myelin debris, recruiting macrophages into the lesions, protecting damaged neurons and initiating axonal regeneration and re-myelination (Susuki, 2014). Activated SCs proliferate and phagocytize the myelin debris and damaged axons along with macrophages and stimulate axonal regrowth (Chen et al., 2007; Susuki, 2014).

Following injury, damaged axons can regenerate and the affected neurons will respond to enhance axonal regeneration (Chen et al., 2007; Susuki, 2014). Usually, the regrowth of the axon starts within a couple of weeks. During the repair process, SCs make contact with the regrowing axons and initiate re-myelination. Growth-related genes, such as those encoding intrinsic neurotrophic factors and key transcription factors are up-regulated by SCs (Rodrigues et al., 2012). In a mouse model, in which the
The sciatic nerve was crushed, increased axonal excitability was present during peripheral nerve regeneration. This increased axonal excitability resulted from increases in the number and conductance of Na⁺ channels. Initially, the regenerated myelin segment was thin and short, after which it became thicker. These regenerated segments lead to a reduced velocity of nerve conduction (Nakata et al., 2008). At the same time, Nodes of Ranvier need to be reformed and the clustering of Na⁺ channels at the nodes of Ranvier is required for saltatory conduction. During the process of myelin regeneration and Nodes of Ranvier reformation, SCs play an important role in the accumulation of these channels along the axon (Eshed et al., 2005).

In demyelinating neuropathies and peripheral nerve injury, in order to provide support for axonal regeneration, SCs need to transit to the repair phenotype, proliferate and re-differentiate. Multiple players are involved in this process, such as transcription factors, extracellular matrix proteins, neurotrophic factors and hormones (Chen et al., 2007; Hoke et al., 2002; Jessen and Mirsky, 2008; Rahmatullah et al., 1998; Susuki, 2014; You et al., 1997). SC plasticity is modulated by negative and positive transcriptional regulators of myelination. Among these factors, the transcription factor c-Jun is a key molecule that is up-regulated after nerve injury and it triggers SC dedifferentiation. In c-Jun mutant mice, the regenerative ability is lost in SCs, axonal outgrowth and target re-innervation fail and neuronal death is increased (Arthur-Farrar et al., 2012; Jessen and Mirsky, 2008; Scheib and Hoke, 2013). These results indicate that SCs promote neuronal survival and axon outgrowth after injury.

Remyelination along regrown axons is required for functional recovery. SCs encapsulate regenerated axons with a new myelin sheath. During this process, the signaling cascade modulated by axonal NRG1 plays a crucial role. During development, axonally released NRG1 molecules activate ErbB2 and ErbB3 receptors that are expressed on SCs and modulate SC differentiation, proliferation, migration, axon encapsulation and myelination (Fricker et al., 2011). Following sciatic nerve transection in rats, the expression of NRG1 and erbB2 and erbB3 receptors in SCs significantly increases and the expression of phosphorylated ErbB2 and ErbB3 increases acutely and transiently (Fricker and Bennett, 2011; Guertin et al., 2005; Kwon et al., 1997). The increased expression of ErbB2 and ErbB3 presents about 3 days after peripheral nerve injury, a time at which SCs begin injury-induced proliferation. In sciatic nerve crush injury in Nrg1 mutant mice, remyelination of axons was significantly reduced (Fricker et al., 2011). In mice that overexpress NRG1 in neurons, remyelination was more apparent than that in wild type. Target ablation of NRG1 in SCs severely impaired re-myelination after crush injury of sciatic nerve (Stassart et al., 2013).

Re-myelination after peripheral nerve injury requires close contact between axons and SCs. The molecular mechanisms underlying this process include the involvement of cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) from the nectin and nectin-like (Necl, also known as SynCAM or Cadm) family (Maurel et al., 2007; Spiegel et al., 2007; Susuki et al., 2011; Zelano et al., 2009). During re-myelination, Nec14 plays a crucial role in Schwann cell–axon interaction. Nec14 is highly expressed on myelinated Schwann cells and is mainly located along the internodes in direct contact with Nec1, which is localized mainly on axons. Therefore, the interaction between these two CAMs mediates Schwann cell adhesion. The disruption of the interaction between Nec1 and Nec14 will interfere with axonal re-myelination (Spiegel et al., 2007). In an
In vivo study, 7–10 mm segments from sciatic nerves that were resected from rats were investigated. In situ hybridization (ISH) demonstrated decreases in necl-1 mRNA signal in the injured nerve and increases in the signals for necl-4 and necl-5 mRNA. Alterations in necl immunoreactivity show close relationships between axon and SC markers (Spiegel et al., 2007; Zelano et al., 2009).

Summary

Schwann cells are recognized as principle glial cells in the peripheral nervous system and play important role in development and maturity. The abnormalities of Schwann cells and their signaling with surrounded neurons result in various peripheral nerve disorders. Schwann cells are primarily discussed in the context of their ability in axonal myelination, nerve regeneration and remyelination after injury and various neuropathies. However, they are further categorized into four groups and all these cells are derived from neural crest cells. Based on the rapid progress of molecular biological techniques and extend research results, these Schwann cell subsets have key functions in keeping homeostasis of normal nervous system, synapse formation, perineuronal organization and immune modulation.
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Introduction

The concept of “neuroendocrine cells”

A new era of prominence for neuroendocrine cells (NECs) is beginning: heretofore, the concept or systematic acknowledgement of NECs has not received much attention. It is challenging within a single chapter to describe a coherent nomenclature for NECs in the absence of consistency in the literature. Terms such as “neurosecretory cells” and “NECs” are used to describe the same cell types and there is growing acknowledgment that these cells can be “healthy”, “precancerous” or “in various pathological/pathophysiological states” (see later discussions). There currently appears to be a dichotomous or dual-standard in play when defining NECs (see also later comments). Thus, it seems worthy to highlight the state of knowledge concerning NECs and to propose terminology with the hope that it will become more commonly used in discussions of NECs.
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Here, NECs are defined as those cells that interact with the nervous system and hormones of the endocrine glands or that release hormones into blood in response to a neural stimulus [http://medical-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Neuroendocrine+cell]. The term NEC can thus cover a wide variety of cells. Developmentally, neurons and endocrine cells are derived from different embryonic tissues: the former arising from the ectoderm/neuroectoderm, and which receive and directly transmit signals to neurons or other types of cells to affect specific biological functions; the latter arising from nonectodermal tissue, and indirectly and diffusely controlling their specific biological functions by releasing hormones into the blood stream. For the present discussion those conventional endocrine cells will not be considered as NECs. Here, we consider cells to be NECs in a somewhat arbitrary fashion. Cells, wherever they may reside, that are in physical contact with neurons or their projections and release hormones are taken to be NECs. In addition, there is an increasing trend in the literature to call cells bearing both neuronal and endocrine markers—such as those identified using immunohistochemical approaches—NECs (see later discussion), even if it cannot be verified that they are physically connected to neuronal cells or processes. Accordingly, both of the above classifications of NECs will be utilized in this chapter.

NECs can be located throughout the body both outside and within the central nervous system (CNS) and we will identify them as such. NECs release hormones in response to signals sent by other cells, usually neurons, and the hormones are typically released into the blood, at least locally, where they generally act to maintain homeostasis. Like other cell types, NECs may malfunction due to various pathological and/or pathophysiological states, such as disease, trauma, infection and intoxication. NECs are, thus, clinically relevant since they can be involved in pathogenic processes and participate in the etiology in a variety of diseases. Abnormal responses by adrenal NECs, for example, are related to the many clinical events. Insulin shock can be elicited by the interruption of splanchnic nerve-adrenal synaptic connections or functions (Hamelink et al., 2002). Sporadic hypoxic events can occur during episodes of sleep apnea and lead to the occurrence of hypertension due to inappropriate adrenal catecholamine secretion (Fletcher, 1997; Dick et al., 2007; EC, 1997; Prabhakar and Peng, 2004). Factors involved with diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome symptoms are thought to be attributable to improper adrenal epinephrine secretion in response to changes in blood glucose levels (Ziegler et al., 2012). Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) may develop from NECs, and it has been proposed that pheochromocytoma, a rare catecholamine-producing neuroendocrine tumor, is derived from the chromaffin NECs in the adrenal medulla. A gradual accumulation of pro-oxidants due to metabolic oxidative stress is thought to lead to proto-oncogene activation, tumor suppressor gene inactivation, DNA damage, and genomic instability leading to pheochromocytoma (Pacak, 2011).

**Neuroendocrine system and NECs**

In general, the conventional concept of a neuroendocrine system combines aspects of the nervous system with aspects of the endocrine system, highlighting the integration and cooperation between the two. In a broad sense, reference to the neuroendocrine
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system implies that its fundamental components are the endocrine organs, tissue and cells that respond to nervous system input or activity. The hypothalamus, or at least some subsets of it, and the pineal gland (see below) can be thought of as neuroendocrine organs, while NECs are present throughout the body. As shown in Figure 5.1, NECs both outside and within the CNS should be considered conceptually distinct from the other major types of nerve and endocrine cells.

Figure 5.1. Neuroendocrine system & neuroendocrine cells (NECs). The red highlighted text indicates that no NEC has been currently identified within the human kidney.
Cells in the anterior lobe of the pituitary gland that produce thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), prolactin (PRL), growth hormone (GH) and adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) are not considered NECs when they are not in physical contact with any neurons in the CNS. Parvocellular neurosecretory cells (NECs) in the hypothalamus control endocrine cells of the anterior pituitary by releasing regulatory hormones via a vascular route called the hypothalamo-hypophyseal portal system (the hypophyseal portal circulation): the hypothalamic capillaries leading to infundibular blood vessels drain into a second capillary bed in the anterior pituitary. The hypothalamic releasing hormones govern the release of pituitary hormones by binding to anterior pituitary endocrine cells after diffusing out of the second capillary bed.

On the other hand, cells in the innermost (medullary) part of the adrenal gland can be referred to as NECs because direct connections exist between sympathetic nerve fibers and those cells. Sympathetic neurons of the autonomic nervous system send descending nerve fibers directly to NECs in the adrenal medullae and stimulate those cells to release adrenal medullary hormones via synaptic input. In this way, the two systems function together, effectively integrating the nervous system and endocrine activation.

Hypothalamic NECs play a pivotal role in balancing the body’s hormonal status. As an example, NECs in the hypothalamus produce and release corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), which in turn stimulates the anterior lobe of the pituitary gland to produce and secrete ACTH. Thereafter, ACTH activates the adrenal cortex to yield and discharge cortisol. As a negative feedback mechanism, cortisol inhibits the release of both ACTH and CRH. Accordingly, the NECs receive the inhibitory signal from cortisol then act to decrease the subsequent release of hormone from the pituitary endocrine cells. In reality, most control mechanisms are far more complicated. In response to a given stimulus, many types of NECs over a wide area can be simultaneously activated. For example, a meal can result in the simultaneous increase in serum insulin, testosterone, androstenedione and dehydroepiandrosterone, along with reductions in cortisol and 17 alpha hydroxyprogesterone (Parra et al., 1995), resulting in the activation of multiple NECs as well as multiple hypothalamic-pituitary-endocrine glands. In addition, a given NEC can receive control signals from multiple sources. For example, with respect to the lactotrophic axis, prolactin induces electric current in two separate components in the hypothalamic dopamine-NECs, each of which appears to be mediated through distinct signaling pathways (Lyons et al., 2012). To control lactation and other biological functions such as sexual drive, fertility and body weight, dopamine is released from NECs to inhibit prolactin production when serum prolactin increases.

**Defining NECs**

As mentioned in Section The concept of “neuroendocrine cells”, NECs can be defined by their biological functions and/or their expression of both neuronal and endocrine markers, in addition to their physical location and intercellular connections. NECs vary considerably in size, morphology and fine structure, even if they are the same type of cells synthesizing identical hormones (Ekengren et al., 1978). Similar characteristics
of these NECs can be classified as discussed below in terms of common cellular and subcellular features.

**Morphology of the neuron-NEC connection**

Hormone-immunoreactive (-ir) NECs may have rough or smooth surfaces, with or without somatic and dendritic appendages. Both dendrites and perikarya of NECs may form synaptic connections with axons that do not exhibit hormone immunoreactivity.

**Electrical properties of NECs**

NECs appear to have electrical membrane properties that are similar to those of typical neurons (Kandel, 1964). Analysis of postsynaptic potentials indicates the presence of recurrent collaterals and thus, the concept of the NEC as a cell type whose axons only form contacts with blood vessels (and not neurons or other effector cells) is not supported (Kandel, 1964): the physiological and morphological evidence show that NECs can have multiple axonal branches (Hayward, 1974). There is also a differential expression of AMPA receptors (AMPARs) and NMDA receptors in different types of NECs, and these differences can contribute to differential firing patterns of the NECs in response to physiological stimuli (Stern et al., 1999).

**Subcellular structures**

One of the essential biological functions of NECs is to manufacture, transport and release cell-specific hormone(s). Accordingly, the organelles involved in the synthesis, packaging and transportation of a specific hormone are generally well-developed in NECs. Critical and pathway-specific molecules involved in the synthesis, packaging and transportation of cell-specific hormones are associated with cellular organelles and can be visualized immunohistochemically or morphologically using light or electron microscopy. Typical ultrastructural signals may include immunolabeling of the synthesized hormone in association with rough endoplasmic reticulum, free ribosomes and hormone-secretory granules. Prohormones are typically synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), a type of subcellular organelle characterized by flattened, membrane-enclosed sacs or tubes or cisternae. These ER products move to the Golgi complex (GC), another subcellular organelle consisting of stacks of cisternae connected by tubules and attached to a variety of transporting vehicles. In the GC, prohormones are sorted and packed into secretory granules, which are then sent to their destination. Modern technology makes possible 3-dimensional viewing of these structures (Ladinsky et al., 1999; Rambourg et al., 1974), as living images (Polishchuk et al., 2000; van Rijnsoever et al., 2008) and allows for a determination of the precise location of key molecular components and their association with the subcellular organelles (Rabouille and Klumperman, 2005; Zeuschner et al., 2006). In parallel with morphological approaches, biochemical and genetic analyses have described in detail the relevant molecular machineries that form the secretory and endocytic pathways (Martinez-Alonso et al., 2013).
**Immunohistochemical characteristics**

Double or triple immunohistochemical labeling approaches can be used to define aspects of the physical connections between presynaptic nerves and hormone-synthesizing NECs. For example, it has been shown by visualizing dopamine-beta-hydroxylase (DBH)- or phenylethanolamine-N-methyltransferase (PNMT)-ir axons that catecholaminergic fibers establish contacts with the dendrites and cell bodies of TRH-ir cells (Liposits et al., 1987).

**Pitfalls in defining neuroendocrine cells**

In reality, many hormones released by NECs can serve as neurotransmitters. Dopamine is a well-known neurotransmitter that can be secreted into the blood stream where it exerts a hormonal biological function. Conversely, thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH), by definition as a hormone, may also function as a neurotransmitter: it has been shown that TRH-ir cells form en passant type or terminal synapses on central epinephrine neurons (Liposits et al., 1987). Thus, distinguishing NECs from neurons may depend upon whether the released molecules enter the circulation and how those molecules affect down-stream effectors. In addition, the time-course over which the biological effects are induced by the released molecules can help defining the cell type: immediate effects suggest neuron-like qualities whereas slow or delayed effects suggest NEC-like qualities.

**NECs Outside the CNS**

The concept of “NECs outside the CNS” is proposed here in order to distinguish them from “NECs within the CNS”, highlighting some substantial differences between them which are still under investigation. Relative to NECs within the CNS, NECs outside the CNS typically display the following features. First, evidence of direct physical connections (synapses) between peripheral nerves and NECs outside the CNS can be elusive. Thus, a NEC outside the CNS may be defined exclusively by its characteristic neuroendocrine marker(s) [see later for citation/evidence]. Second, the embryonic tissue from which NECs outside the CNS derive may be unknown or unidentifiable. Third, NECs outside the CNS, as distinguished from neuroendocrine tumor cells (NETCs), may also reside within pathophysiological sites (Christensen et al., 1988; Miller and Muller, 1995; Oba et al., 2013), highlighting their potential clinical significance in some diseases.

**APUD cell series**

The acronym “APUD” derives from the words Amine Precursor Uptake and Decarboxylase. In the early 1960s, scientist A. G. E. Pearse coined the term “APUD” to describe a group of cells that share the common function of secreting a low molecular weight polypeptide hormone (Carvalheira et al., 1968; Pearse, 1969) and then expanded the term to include central (hypothalamic and pineal) NECs and pituitary
endocrine cells (Pearse, 1975). Nevertheless, some cells in the APUD series can be categorized as NECs. While being primarily utilized in the clinic to detect NETCs, current positron emission tomography (PET) approaches allow for the molecular imaging of cells with APUD features: \(^{18}\)F-dihydroxyphenylalanine (\(^{18}\)F-DOPA) and \(^{11}\)C-5-hydroxytryptophan (\(^{11}\)C-5-HTP) are PET tracers that can be used to define cells with APUD features because they can identify the uptake of these monoamine precursors (Oberg, 2012; Sundin et al., 2007). In addition, it has been shown that the following peptides with hormone or hormone-like effects are expressed in specific cells in the gastrointestinal tract: somatostatin, pancreatic polypeptide (PP), peptide YY, glucagon, secretin, vasoactive intestinal peptide, gastrin, cholecystokinin (CCK), neurotensin, motilin, gastrin-releasing peptide, substance P, enkephalin and serotonin (Rawdon and Andrew, 1999). Neuron specific enolase is also a common marker for both gastrointestinal endocrine cells and enteric nerves (Bishop et al., 1982), suggesting that at least some of these cells can be categorized as NECs as defined earlier [see Section Introduction]. To follow the logic that well-known hormone-producing cells should not be re-classified and also to embrace the idea that cells meeting the definition of “NECs” should be re-scrutinized, Table 5.1 lists the individual hormones and hormone-like peptides for which specific cell types can be screened for possible categorization as peripheral NECs. Alternatively, a cell bearing a hormone-like peptide (serving as a biomarker) which also expresses a neuron-specific protein may be “exclusively” coined as a “NEC” after ruling out the possibility of NETCs.

**Thyroid NECs**

Parafollicular cells in the thyroid (also called C cells) are NECs that secrete calcitonin. They reside in the connective tissue adjacent to the thyroid follicles. While they are not homogenously distributed (Rink et al., 2001), they are typically situated basally in the epithelium with no direct contact to the follicular lumen. These cells are large and stain much more weakly than the follicular cells or colloid in conventional histological preparations. There are a limited number of those cells in the thyroid (Rink et al., 2001) and embryologically, they are derived from neural crest cells. Defining these cells using conventional methods involves double labeling of calcitonin- and chromogranin-immunoreactive deposits and their location. These parafollicular cells can also secrete serotonin and several neuroendocrine peptides such as somatostatin and CGRP, suggesting their neuroectoderm origin. Because these cells also express TRH, they may also have a paracrine role in regulating thyroid hormone production. The parafollicular cells may become cancerous, leading to medullary carcinoma of the thyroid.

**Pulmonary NECs**

Pulmonary NECs are regarded to be components of the pulmonary neuroendocrine system that consist of a specific group of airway epithelial cells (Van Lommel et al., 1999). Pulmonary NECs can be solitary or clustered to form neuroepithelial bodies (NEBs). Having oxygen sensitive chemoreceptors, they serve local and reflex-
mediated regulatory functions as well as regulate airway growth and development. Pulmonary NECs have been heavily investigated for over half a century and their existence has been well accepted (Van Lommel et al., 1999). All pulmonary NECs, whether solitary or clustered, are defined by their characteristic core granules that contain one or more bioactive amines and/or neuropeptides (Van Lommel et al., 1999). Identified peptides include, but are not limited to: cholecystokinin, endothelin, peptide YY, helodermin and pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating protein, bombesin (also known as neuromedin B and gastrin-releasing peptide), synaptophysin, chromogranin A, and calcitonin (Van Lommel et al., 1999). Accordingly, the morphological and histochemical characteristics of the pulmonary NECs include immunoreactivities to those molecules in association with the cellular organelles. It is worth noting that the biological functions of pulmonary NECs include serving as

Table 5.1. Regular hormones and hormone-like peptides found in NECs located outside of the CNS.

A) Regular hormones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hormone</th>
<th>Where produced</th>
<th>Primary/known biological role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid Stimulating Hormone (TSH)</td>
<td>Pituitary gland (anterior lobe)</td>
<td>Stimulate production of thyroxine (T4) and triiodothyronine (T3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicle-Stimulating Hormone (FSH)</td>
<td>Pituitary gland (anterior lobe)</td>
<td>Regulate development and pubertal maturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luteinizing Hormone (LH)</td>
<td>Pituitary gland (anterior lobe)</td>
<td>Trigger ovulation and development of the corpus luteum in females or production of testosterone in males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolactin (PRL)</td>
<td>Pituitary gland (anterior lobe)</td>
<td>Stimulate milk production in female mammals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Hormone (GH)</td>
<td>Pituitary gland (anterior lobe)</td>
<td>Stimulate growth, cell reproduction and regeneration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH)</td>
<td>Pituitary gland (anterior lobe)</td>
<td>Increase production and release of corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melatonin</td>
<td>Pineal gland</td>
<td>Govern biological and sleep rhythms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroxine</td>
<td>Thyroid gland</td>
<td>Regulate metabolic rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcitonin</td>
<td>Thyroid gland</td>
<td>Reduce blood calcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parathyroid hormone (PTH)</td>
<td>Parathyroid glands</td>
<td>Increase blood calcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>Control of blood sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol, Norepinephrine, Epinephrine, Adrenaline</td>
<td>Adrenal glands</td>
<td>Regulate biological functions in response to emotional changes and stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androgens</td>
<td>Testes, ovaries and adrenal glands</td>
<td>Control male secondary sexual features, sexual arousal in males and females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogens</td>
<td>Testes, ovaries and adrenal glands</td>
<td>Involved in breast development and menarche in females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone</td>
<td>Testes, ovaries and adrenal glands</td>
<td>Involved in preparation of uterus for implantation of fertilized egg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calcitonin is highlighted indicating that this is considered as a regular hormone but it may serve as a biomarker for labeling a NEC.

Table 5.1. contd....
chemoreceptors that react to local/micro-environmental changes. Pulmonary NECs have ultrastructures capable of sensing changes in the airway lumen (Van Lommel et al., 1999) and they also have synaptic structures with surrounding peripheral nervous system projections (Van Lommel et al., 1999). The ultrastructural evidence suggests that pulmonary NECs have the potential to secrete hormones in response to either airway luminal stimulation, neural input or both. Accordingly, the pulmonary NECs, or at least a subset of them, qualify as NECs according to the definition. It has been recommended that the solitary and clustered pulmonary NECs be called “pulmonary NECs” and “NEBs” respectively, to avoid confusion. NEB-associated pulmonary NECs are distinguished from isolated pulmonary NECs by their innervation and by their specific patterns of gene expression. The origin of pulmonary NECs remains unknown but may likely be the neuroectoderm and/or endodermis.

**Cardiac NECs**

The topic of cardiac NECs was reviewed nearly three decades ago with the literature indicating that these cells likely participate in both local and distant regulation of cardiac metabolism and function (Galoyan, 1986). The term “cardioactive neurohormones of the hypothalamus” was coined in reference to two coronary dilatory compounds from the rat hypothalamus because: (a) when injected intravenously in...
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minute doses they cause a dilation of coronary vessels in cats; (b) they are produced by the neurosecretory cells of the hypothalamus; and (c) the heart is the target organ (Galoyan, 1986). The immunoreactivity of neurohormone “G” (NHG) was found around cardiac ganglion cells and in close topographical contact with coronary vessels and capillaries (Abrahamyan et al., 2002) indicating that there is the potential that NHG may serve as a hormone that could be secreted directly into the local or systemic circulation. In addition, cardiac ganglion cells may be characterized as having both neuronal and neuroendocrine properties (Galoyan, 1986).

Pancreatic NECs

Chromogranin A, a neuroendocrine cell marker, is present in early human fetuses when the number of chromogranin A-immunoreactive cells surpasses that of insulin- and glucagon-containing cells (Krivova et al., 2014). In addition, the appearance of chromogranin A-ir cells precedes the appearance of insulin- and glucagon-producing cells in the pancreatic islets and ducts during all periods of gestation (Krivova et al., 2014). Thus, the timing of the appearance of chromogranin A suggests a role in the development of the endocrine part of the pancreas as well as the pancreatic NECs. In contrast to adrenal NECs that originate from the neuroectoderm, the pancreas arises from a dorsal and a ventral bud from the endoderm which fuse together to form the single organ (Slack, 1995). In reality, pancreatic β-cells have a neuroendocrine function to release insulin and GABA (γ-aminobutyric acid, a well-known inhibitory neurotransmitter) (Cuttitta et al., 2013; L’Amoreaux et al., 2010), suggesting that a subset of the β-cells are candidate NECs. Other pancreatic NECs can be defined using immunoreactivity to ghrelin and somatostatin (Kasacka et al., 2012), both of which function as either neuropeptides or hormones and are considered to be NEC biomarkers. In adult humans, cells expressing ghrelin are very scarce (approximately 1%) (Wierup et al., 2002), and this scarcity is a potential obstacle to their detection and identification using morphological, immunohistochemical and ultrastructural criteria.

Adrenal medullar NECs

In humans, chromaffinoblasts begin to migrate into the cortex of the adrenal in the second embryonic month. Per definition, mature chromaffin cells (ECs)—or at least a subset of them in the innermost part of the medulla—fully qualify as NECs. On the basis of embryonic origin and physiological, biochemical and morphological characteristics, the definition of NEC includes neuroectodermal origin, pre-ganglionic sympathetic innervation, and the capability to synthesize, store and release catecholamines (Benedeczky, 1983). The polygonal chromaffin cells form rounded islands as well as shorter or longer cords alongside sinusoidal vessels (Benedeczky, 1983), suggesting a vascular exit for their secretory products. The best and most extensively used method to identify ECs is the “chromate staining” method which produces colored chromaffin reaction-products within the cytoplasm (Benedeczky, 1983). Under the electronic microscope the adenoh-medullary cells display chromaffin granules of various sizes (Koval et al., 2001), in addition to a well-developed Golgi apparatus...
Neural Cell Biology

and abundant rough-surfaced endoplasmic reticulum. These cells receive synaptic input from the splanchnic nerve and release epinephrine and norepinephrine into the circulation. In studies using $^{14}\text{C}$-labeled tyrosine, tyrosine is converted to dopamine, then norepinephrine and thereafter epinephrine (Goodall and Kirshner, 1958). Concentrations of the catecholamines epinephrine and norepinephrine surge in the serum in response to stress (Davis et al., 2000; Gerlo et al., 1991; Gonzalez-Trapaga et al., 2000). The adrenal medulla is a major source for circulating norepinephrine and the sole source for peripheral epinephrine (Goldstein et al., 1983; Vollmer, 1996), highlighting the importance of these NECs.

Pheochromocytoma cell lines are available from both human (Pfragner et al., 1998) and rat adrenal origins (PC-12). Ironically, these cells express all of the necessary biomarkers to qualify as NECs including the presence of neuroendocrine granules, positive immunoreactivity to chromogranin and related peptides, neuron specific enolase and vasoactive intestinal peptide (Pfragner et al., 1998). Furthermore, while some of these NETCs may grow aggressively (poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma), a great majority of these NETCs are relatively slow growing and remain well differentiated (Grozinsky-Glasberg et al., 2008), suggesting a future research need for the additional proof required to distinguish NECs from NETCs.

Renal NECs

The kidney is an organ representative of those in which there has been no unequivocal demonstration of the presence of intrinsic NECs, even though there have been significant efforts into how renal neuroendocrine tumors develop (Guy et al., 1999). Using an immunohistochemical approach for two NEC biomarkers, chromogranin and serotonin, the presence of NECs in the kidneys of fetuses, infants, children and adults could not be demonstrated within the renal parenchyma or hilum.

Gastrointestinal NECs

Enterochromaffin (EC) cells are candidate NECs that are nearly omnipresent throughout the gastrointestinal epithelium (Sjolund et al., 1983). Other potential NECs cells that have been identified in this location include enterochromaffin/peptide YY (PYY) (EG/L cells), neurotensin (N cells), somatostatin (SST; D cells), gastrin (CCK cells), and pro-melanocyte stimulating hormone (MSH) cells (Sjolund et al., 1983). EC cells can be found at the base of intestinal crypts (Sjolund et al., 1983); these cells have specific identifying characteristics including: immunoreactivity to tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH-ir) and chromogranin A (CgA-ir); and the presence of pleomorphic granules (oblong, ovoid, kidney shaped, triangular, or U shaped) that can be ultrastructurally identified (Kidd et al., 2006). These cells display infrabasal extensions suggesting a neural nature and/or potential innervation by peripheral nerves (Challacombe and Wheeler, 1983).
**Prostatic NECs**

NECs in the prostate gland are scattered within the grandular epithelium and can be defined by triple immunoreactivity to chromogranin A & B and secretogranin II (Schmid et al., 1994) and their geographical and morphologic features. In humans, differentiation diagnostic tests can separate normal NECs from cancerous cells because prostatic neuroendocrine tumor cells may also express all of the aforementioned proteins NEC markers. Interestingly, expression of chromogranin B is predominant in prostate cancer cells, noticeably in poorly differentiated tumors (Schmid et al., 1994).

**Dermal NECs**

Attempts to define NECs in skin can be tracked over half a century when McGavran (McGavran, 1964) reported chromaffin cells in the human dermis. Dermal chromaffin cells contain membrane-limited, electron-opaque granules that are similar to those in the medullary cells of the human adrenal that are concentrated at one pole of the cell and adjacent to this pole there are three unmyelinated axons within a Schwann cell, suggesting direct innervation from peripheral nerves.

**Other peripheral NECs**

Using the key words “neuroendocrine cell” and the names of specific organs, hundreds of publications can be found, most related to neoplasms. One of the reasons for this is that neoplastic neuroendocrine cells can develop in almost all tissues and organs, indicating their clinical significance and prevalence. On the other hand, most peripheral NECs are very sparsely distributed throughout a host organ, highlighting the difficulty in identifying them using the aforementioned qualification criteria. Nevertheless, given the continuous advances being made in science and technology, it is expected that an ever increasing number of peripheral NECs will be identified.

**NECs within the CNS**

**Hypothalamic NECs**

The hypothalamus and the pituitary gland are the major centers of neuroendocrine integration in the body. Hypothalamic NECs release hormones into the blood to control the secretion of pituitary hormones. These hormones include thyrotropin-releasing hormone (TRH), gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH), growth hormone-releasing hormone (GHRH), corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), somatostatin and dopamine. All are released into capillaries and travel immediately—via portal veins—to a second capillary bed in the anterior lobe of the pituitary where they exert their biological effects (Table 5.2). Instead of being released into the hypothalamic portal vein, two other hypothalamic hormones (vasopressin and oxytocin) are transported in neurons to the posterior lobe of the pituitary where they are released into the circulation. Hypothetically, these NECs are vulnerable to axonal damage that originates from the
vasculature such as that caused by chemical toxicants as there is no effective blood-brain barrier in the areas where the NECs secrete their hormones (McKinley et al., 1983; Simpson, 1981). For example, TRH-ir NECs sustain extensive axonal damage following development of experimental allergic encephalomyelitis, the damage being attributable to mechanical or chemical factors in association with inflammatory foci (White et al., 1990).

Table 5.2. Hormones produced by NECs within the CNS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hormone</th>
<th>Where produced</th>
<th>Primary biological role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRH</td>
<td>Hypothalamic PVN</td>
<td>Stimulate release of TSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GnRH</td>
<td>The medial preoptic area of hypothalamus</td>
<td>Stimulate release of FSH &amp; LH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHRH</td>
<td>Hypothalamic ARN</td>
<td>Stimulate release of GH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRH</td>
<td>Hypothalamic PVN and SCN</td>
<td>Stimulate release of ACTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin</td>
<td>Hypothalamic ARN</td>
<td>Inhibit the release of GH &amp; TSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>Hypothalamic ARN</td>
<td>Inhibit the release of PRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasopressin</td>
<td>Hypothalamic PVN and SON</td>
<td>Antidiuretic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin</td>
<td>Hypothalamic PVN and SON</td>
<td>Stimulate contractions of the uterus and the release of milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanocyte-stimulating hormone (MSH)</td>
<td>Hypothalamic ARN and intermediate lobe of the pituitary gland</td>
<td>Stimulate the production and release of melanin (melanogenesis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melatonin</td>
<td>Pineal body or gland</td>
<td>Control the daily night-day cycle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PVN, paraventricular nucleus; SON, supraoptic nucleus; SCN, suprachiasmatic nuclei; ARN, arcuate nucleus.

Neuroendocrine cells producing GHRH, somatostatin and dopamine reside in the arcuate nucleus (ARN) and control the secretion of the pituitary hormones, GH, TSH and PRL, respectively (Table 5.2). TRH- and CRH-releasing NECs, in controlling pituitary TSH or ACTH secretion, are located in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus (PVN) (Aizawa and Greer, 1981; Jackson and Reichlin, 1979; Lechan and Jackson, 1982; Lechan et al., 1983; Lennard et al., 1993; Martin and Reichlin, 1972; Vale et al., 1981). The NECs synthesizing GnRH for the control of pituitary FSH and LH release exist in the hypothalamic medial preoptic area and septal region (Merchenthaler et al., 1980). While these cells originate from different locations in the hypothalamus, all of their axons terminate at the median eminence and secrete their hormones (GHRH, somatostatin and dopamine, TRH, CRH and GnRH) into the portal capillary system through which secretion of pituitary hormones is controlled.

There are other two hypothalamic NECs, the oxytocin- and vasopressin-NECs, that exhibit different characteristics both in their anatomy (axons’ ending in the posterior pituitary lobe other than the median eminence) and their down-stream molecules. Unlike the other hypothalamic hormones that target an endocrine organ (i.e., pituitary gland) and stimulate the production of pituitary hormones, oxytocin and vasopressin directly serve as the circulatory hormones to affect their targeted organs, such as the uterus and the kidney. Finally, the NECs producing melanocyte-stimulating hormone (MSH) remain ill-defined but acknowledged (see later).
NECs producing TRH

These cells may have either rough or smooth surfaces with or without somatic and dendritic appendages (Liposits et al., 1987). Ultrastructural analysis reveals the following features: TRH-immunolabel associated with rough endoplasmic reticulum, free ribosomes and neurosecretory granules as well as non-labeled axonal synaptic connections onto both cellular dendrites and perikarya (Liposits et al., 1987). Localization of dopamine-beta-hydroxylase (DBH)- or phenylethanolamine-N-methyltransferase (PNMT)-ir axons and TRH-synthesizing cells demonstrates that catecholaminergic fibers establish contacts with the dendrites and cell bodies of the TRH-ir cells.

These cells reside in the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) and the suprachiasmatic nucleus. They are responsible for the endocrine control of hypophyseal ACTH release. Using an immunofluorescent approach, NECs producing CRH varied in size by less than 25 microns in diameter in both mice and rats (Smith et al., 2014; Wittmann et al., 2005). Cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript (CART) is a neuropeptide widely expressed in the brain and CART-containing axons heavily innervate the PVN and form synaptic connections with the surface of hypophysiotropic NECs that produce CRH (Sarkar et al., 2004). CART increases CRH mRNA levels and the stereotaxic central administration of CART into the PVN causes a rapid increase in plasma ACTH and corticosterone levels through induction of CRH release (Smith et al., 2004). Anatomical and functional studies suggest that CART peptides may have a role in the regulation of neuroendocrine and autonomic responses during stress by their involvement in the control of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (Balkan et al., 2012).

NECs producing GnRH

Cells synthesizing gonadotropin-releasing hormone [GnRH also known as luteinizing-hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) and luliberin] are primarily located in the medial preoptic area but are small in number (Ajika, 1979). These cells vary between 10–13 microns in diameter and are oval shaped with beaded string-like processes toward the organum vasculosum of the lamina terminalis (OVLT). LHRH-positive terminals are densely localized in the OVLT with the beaded string-like axons terminating most often close to blood vessels (Ajika, 1979). Ultramicroscopically, the buttons of the axons contain many LHRH-positive granules with diameters of about 100 nm. Some of these terminals terminate directly on the basement membrane of the pericapillary space, suggesting a biological function to influence release of pituitary FSH and/or LH via secretion of GnRH into the hypothalamus-pituitary portal circulation. In terms of clinical relevance, both GnRH agonists and antagonists have been developed for the treatment of hormone-dependent diseases via their effects on the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis (Nakata et al., 2014).
**NECs producing somatostatin**

These cells reside in the ventromedial nucleus of the hypothalamus and project to the median eminence where somatostatin is released via axons into the hypophyseal portal circulation as mentioned previously. A negative feedback mechanism is in operation such that these cells respond to high circulating concentrations of growth hormone and somatomedins by increasing the release of somatostatin to reduce the rate of secretion of growth hormone. Somatostatin may also serve as a neurotransmitter and/or modulator. There are populations of somatostatin neurons in the arcuate and periventricular nuclei (Krulich et al., 1977), the periventricular areas of the preoptic area and anterior hypothalamus (Hoffman and Hayes, 1979), the hippocampus, cortex and striatum (Billova et al., 2007), and the brainstem nucleus of the solitary tract (Maley and Elde, 1982), suggesting a role for somatostatin and somatostatin-producing neuroendocrine neuronal cells in multiple biological functions in addition to the regulation of growth hormone.

NECs producing somatostatin, in addition to NECs producing GHRH, control the ultradian rhythm of GH secretion via sophisticated patterns of release of somatostatin into the hypothalamic-pituitary portal circulation. In addition, the hypothalamic NECs producing somatostatin integrate information from noradrenergic projections from the ventrolateral nucleus of the solitary tract, locus coeruleus (LC) and the parabrachial nucleus (PBN) (Iqbal et al., 2005).

**NECs producing dopamine**

Dopamine-producing NECs responsible for governing release of the pituitary PRL reside in the PVN and in the dorsomedial portion of the middle ARN in rats (Freeman et al., 2000; Lerant and Freeman, 1998). However, “NECs” are named such only when their characteristics meet the previous definition criteria. It is very difficult to differentiate individual dopaminergic neurons that may play a role in neuroendocrine regulation from the NECs that are biologically and morphologically distinguishable. For example, bilateral electrolytic lesions of the A8, A9 and A10 regions of the substantia nigra reduce dopamine levels in the hypothalamic median eminence by 40% (Kizer et al., 1976), implying that many dopamine-producing cells other than those residing within the hypothalamus may also be NECs. Recall that the median eminence is the area from which NECs secrete hormones into the portal vessels to control the pituitary endocrine cells. This also suggests that a subset of dopamine-containing axons in the hypothalamic median eminence originate from neurons rather than from NECs. It appears that the dopamine-producing cells located mostly in the dorsomedial part of the arcuate nucleus are NECs because they project to the external zone of the median eminence (Kawano and Daikoku, 1987) from where dopamine is released into the hypothalamic portal circulation. The dopamine-producing cells in the periventricular nucleus and in the rostral arcuate nucleus, on the other hand, project to both the intermediate and neural lobe of the pituitary gland (Holzbauer and Racke, 1985). Accordingly, determining whether these cells are NECs remains elusive.
**NECs producing MSH**

Prudently, only subsets of cells that produce MSH can be called NECs. The following evidence supports the notion that some MSH-producing cells are neuroendocrine rather than endocrine cells: (a) many are located in the hypothalamus (Kawai et al., 1984), a part of the central nervous system; (b) in addition to being MSH-immunoreactive, these cells also express neuron-specific marker(s) such as beta-endorphin (Micevych and Elde, 1982) and gamma-MSH is also present at the synapse-like structure of the nerve terminal where it appears to function as a neurotransmitter or a neuromodulator (Osamura et al., 1982); (c) these cells are under the direct control of central neurons and it appears that release of MSH by these cells is under the control of neurotransmitter(s) (Tomiko et al., 1983); and most importantly (d) MSH-containing axons project to the median eminence (Daikoku et al., 1985) suggesting that MSH is released into blood stream via the hypothalamic pituitary portal circulation. Alternatively, the following observations argue against the statement above, suggesting that at least some MSH-producing cells should be classified as endocrine cells: first, MSH (or intermedins) is also produced by cells in the intermediate lobe of the pituitary gland, a well-accepted endocrine gland; second, MSH belongs to a group of peptides called the melanocortins which include ACTH, α-MSH, β-MSH and γ-MSH and ACTH, an obvious hypophyseal hormone, and they can be co-localized with MSH within the same cell (Osamura et al., 1982); and finally, these peptides are all cleavage products of a larger precursor peptide called pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC).

**NECs producing oxytocin**

These cells are located primarily in the paraventricular (PVN) and supraoptic nuclei (SON) of the hypothalamus (Vandesande and Dierickx, 1975) and they project axons down the infundibulum to terminals in the posterior pituitary. The perikarya of these cells do not appear to be morphologically distinct from NECs producing vasopressin. Cell diameter varies from 15 – 25 microns in rats as determined using an immunofluorescent approach (Richards et al., 2005). Differences in immunoreactivity to oxytocin and vasopressin and electrophysiological characteristics distinguish these cell types from each other (Stern et al., 1999). Interestingly, oxytocin is one of the few hormones employing a positive feedback mechanism: uterine contractions stimulate the release of oxytocin from the posterior pituitary, which in turn, increases uterine contractions. This positive feedback loop continues throughout labor.

As previously mentioned, the cell bodies of these cells can be located in the PVN and the SON of the hypothalamus (Vandesande and Dierickx, 1975) with axons projecting to the posterior pituitary. Morphologic and geometric characteristics of oxytocin and vasopressin-NECs are similar, yet that exhibit fundamental differences in their biology, biochemistry and electrophysiology.

**Non-hypothalamic NECs**

Pinealocytes. Mammalian pinealocytes are NECs, at least in concept: in addition to residing in the pineal gland in the center of the brain, they produce hormones—
including melatonin—that are released into the circulation, and they also receive direct neuronal input that controls their functions. Ironically, the structure has two well accepted names: pineal body and pineal gland. The former addresses its anatomical features (pine cone shape) while the latter highlights its characteristic of producing several important hormones. In fact, the pineal body or gland is an organ located in the CNS, but independent from isolation via the blood-brain-barrier (BBB). It is probably appropriate at this time to now classify the pineal body or gland as a neuroendocrine organ because its major components are neuroendocrine cells, pinealocytes. In addition to the hormone-producing characteristics as part of defining NECs, neuronal control of pinealocytes can be traced to the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) of the hypothalamus through a complex pathway including the superior cervical ganglion (SCG), intermediolateral nucleus of the upper thoracic spinal cord (IML), and parvicellular subdivisions of the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus (PVN) (Larsen et al., 1998). Immunoreactivity of dopamine-beta-hydroxylase (DBH), essential for the formation of the melatonin synthesis-regulating substance noradrenaline (NA), is located in pineal nerve fibers coming from the superior cervical ganglia (Scherder and Vollrath, 1985). It is indeed intriguing that a “central” neuroendocrine organ, the pineal body or gland, is directly controlled by peripheral nerves via the SCG and IML. Simonneaux and Ribelayga (2003) have formulated a scheme of multiple controls over the pineal gland including central inputs from the lateral hypothalamus and the habenular nucleus, peripheral inputs from the trigeminal ganglia and the pterygopalatine ganglia, and hormonal inputs from the circulation as well as paracrine inputs in addition to the major input initiated by visual (light) information (Simonneaux and Ribelayga, 2003). In addition, immunohistochemical characteristics of the pineal gland include enriched deposits of phosphorylated neurofilaments (neuron-specific) and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, astrocyte-specific) [http://www.microscopyu.com/staticgallery/fluorescence/ratbrain29.html], demonstrating the complexity of the pineal neuroendocrine organ in not only how it is constructed, but also in how it is regulated and functions.

**Endocrine Disruptors and Toxicity to Neuroendocrine Cells**

*Concept and outline of the field*

There is accumulating evidence that endocrine disruptors may pathophysiologically affect NECs peripherally and/or centrally, directly or indirectly, and lead to subclinical or clinical consequences. For example, bisphenol A (BPA), an endocrine disruptor at high doses, has been linked to the development of cancer (Jenkins et al., 2009; Prins et al., 2008a; Prins et al., 2008b), obesity (Newbold et al., 2009b; Newbold et al., 2007), abnormalities in reproductive organ functions (Newbold et al., 2009a), and neurological impairment (Leranth et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2009). NECs are the primary neuronal targets of endocrine disruptors and they seem to be most susceptible to disruption during prenatal and early postnatal developmental periods (El Majdoubi, 2011). In the periphery, endocrine disruptors have been associated with the pathogenesis of insulin resistance (Polyzos et al., 2012). Centrally, endocrine disrupting chemicals
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Anatomy of the preoptic area

Endocrine active compounds are found extensively in the environment and could, with sufficient exposure, interfere with normal development and/or function. Within the central nervous system are several sexually dimorphic structures that are critically dependent on developmentally appropriate hormonal concentrations. Alterations of those concentrations, via exposure to an endocrine active compound or compounds, could alter the ultimate expression of sexual dimorphism. One of the best known and characterized of the sexually dimorphic brain structures is the sexually dimorphic nucleus of the preoptic area (SDN-POA), a cluster of cells located in the hypothalamic preoptic area of rats. Larger in males, the SDN-POA (or a similarly located structure) is present in many other mammals, including sheep and ferrets (Baum et al., 1996; Roselli and Stormshak, 2010), and humans (Baum et al., 1996; Garcia-Falgueras et al., 2011; Roselli and Stormshak, 2010; Swaab and Fliers, 1985).

Initial measurements of the SDN-POA were conducted using the Nissl stain method which delineated the SDN-POA boundaries using the negatively charged RNA blue dye with thionin or cresyl violet. As demonstrated in Figure 5.2, the SDN-POA can be visualized and the borders defined using a dense blue stain (highlighted in yellow) and its surrounding structures that serve as anatomical landmarks. These include the anterior commissure, the 3rd ventricle (3V), optic chiasm (OX) and superchiasmatic nucleus (SN). In addition, there is increasing evidence that the calbindin-D28K (CB28) immunoreactivity-delineated nucleus-like structure located in the preoptic area can be defined at the SDN-POA and used to determine volume. This is partially due to the fact that CB28 immunoreactivity provides a clearer boundary that is more easily distinguishable from the surrounding CB28 immunoreactivity-negative structures (He et al., 2013a; He et al., 2013b; He et al., 2012). Also, the SDN-POA-like area in mice cannot be delineated using Nissl stain (Orikasa and Sakuma, 2010; Young, 1982), but is distinguishable using CB28 immunoreactivity (Bodo and Rissman, 2008; Budefeld et al., 2008; Edelmann et al., 2007; Orikasa and Sakuma,
Initially, the CB28-delineated SDN-POA was considered a subdivision of the SDN-POA as determined using the Nissl method (Sickel and McCarthy, 2000), and our findings are consistent with that interpretation. Using the Nissl approach the longitudinal axis of the male SDN-POA extends 540–630 μm, whereas in the female it has a length of 180–270 μm. Using the CB28 immunoreactivity approach a clear calbindin-D28K-positive cell mass is recognizable over a length of 90–360 μm, with a range of 180–360 μm in males and a range of 90–270 μm in females (He et al., 2013b).

Figure 5.2. Serial brain sections and three-dimensional view of the male (middle image in far left column and middle series) and female (last image in far left column and right series) rat sexually dimorphic nucleus of the preoptic area (SDN-POA). Landmark structures that are also found throughout serial sections containing the SDN-POA are labeled including the 3rd ventricle (3V), anterior commissure (AC), suprachiasmatic nucleus (SON) and the optic chiasm (OX). These structures were traced and their images used in constructing the three-dimensional images. The yellow dotted circle highlights the SDN-POA. The Stereo Investigation System (MBF Bioscience, Williston, VT) was used to reconstruct these views of the SDN-POA and its surrounding anatomical structures from seven sequential slices stained with thionin.

**Sex hormones and the effects of estrogen-like compounds on the SDN-POA**

The size of the SDN-POA is sensitive to exogenous sex hormones and estrogen-like compounds during development. Estrogen agonists like diethylstilbestrol increase the sexually dimorphic nucleus of the preoptic area volume in female rats (Faber et al., 1993). On the other hand, estrogen antagonists like tamoxifen decrease the volume of the male SDN-POA (Dohler et al., 1984; Vancutsem and Roessler, 1997). Lifetime
dietary exposure to genistein (5–500 ppm) or nonylphenol (25–750 ppm) increased SDN-POA volume in adult male, but not female, rats (Scallet et al., 2004). A study in our laboratory demonstrated that gestational treatment of pregnant dams followed by direct treatment of the pups after birth with low doses of the putative estrogen-like compound bisphenol A significantly increased the SDN-POA volume of postnatal day 21 male rats, but had no effect in same-age females (He et al., 2012). As expected, the reference estrogen, ethinyl estradiol (EE2 at 5 μg/kg/day), increased the volume of the SDN-POA of postnatal day (PND) 21 females and the higher ethinyl estradiol dose of 10 μg/kg/day also increased the volume of the SDN-POA of PND 21 males (He et al., 2012).

At the cellular level, several developmental mechanisms that shape the SDN-POA have been proposed including neurogenesis, migration and apoptosis. Estrogen and estrogen-disruptors may affect the development of the SDN-POA through these cellular mechanisms. The neurogenesis mechanism is thought to involve the activities of stem cells residing within a stem cell reservoir such as the 3rd ventricle stem cell niche or residing sporadically within the brain parenchyma. The migration mechanism implies that changes in cellular migration speed and direction affect the size of the SDN-POA, as well as the number of cells found therein. For example, progenitor cells arising from the stem cell niche or surrounding area move toward the SDN-POA, leading to an increase in cell number and size. Alternatively, cells residing within the SDN-POA, such as calbindin immunoreactive cells, moving radially away from the center of the SDN-POA may expand its volume. The apoptosis mechanism implies that female rats exhibit more apoptotic cells within the SDN-POA than males leading to a smaller size of the nucleus. As a corollary of that mechanism, inhibition or disruption of apoptosis in females would lead to an enlarged or masculinized SDN-POA.

In our recent studies, we have identified a stem cell reservoir at the rostral end of the 3rd ventricle, very close to two sexually-dimorphic brain structures (Figure 5.3) (He et al., 2013a): the anteroventral periventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (AVPV) and the SDN-POA. We have demonstrated that the activities of stem cells in the hypothalamic region including the SDN-POA at PND 21 are robust and that importantly, neural stem cells in this area maintain their capacity to proliferate (Figure 5.4) (He et al., 2013a). These findings indicate that exogenous estrogen treatment, and potentially exposure to estrogen-disruptors, may increase hypothalamic neurogenesis, which in turn reshapes the SDN-POA.

**Future studies of estrogen’s effect on central NECs**

Important questions remain as to how estrogens and estrogen-like compounds can affect central NECs like the GnRH-ir positive cells in the hypothalamus. In rodents, prenatal or postnatal exposure to BPA, a compound that at relatively high doses can have estrogen-like effects, can increase the number of kisspeptin positive cells in the female AVPV and the calbindin-positive cells in the male SDN-POA (Naule et al., 2014; Patisaul et al., 2007), indicating that neural stem cell activities may play a role. BPA can increase the lordosis quotient in naive females, elevate systemic estradiol levels, and exacerbate responses normally induced by ovarian estradiol during the
postnatal/prepubertal period (Naule et al., 2014). On the other hand, neither the number of GnRH NECs nor GnRH NEC activation is altered by BPA (Naule et al., 2014; Patisaul et al., 2007). Accordingly, BPA at the doses (0.25 mg injection x 4 over postnatal days 1–2 or perinatal exposure at 0.05 ~ 5 mg/kg/day) used in those studies does not appear to have a direct impact on GnRH NECs at the cellular level. Future studies may benefit by focusing on the connections between the NECs (GnRH-ir cells) and the up-stream neurons that regulate them. Of considerable interest are the molecular signaling pathways underlying the effects of BPA and similar compounds.

Figure 5.3. Mapping and reconstructing the 3rd Ventricle Stem Cell Niche, weanling vs. adult. Images in the left (upper) series were acquired from a PND21 male rat and images in the right (upper) series were taken from an adult (PND110) male rat, demonstrating the nestin-positive region/cells (red label) that extend into the parenchyma of the hypothalamus (~ 0.1 mm or more from the ventricular wall) at the rostral end of the 3rd ventricle, namely the 3rd ventricle stem cell niche (3VSCN). Distance between the 2 adjacent brain slices is 90 µm. These sequential images were employed to reconstruct 3-dimensional images of the 3VSCN (bottom left and right panels) using the Stereo Investigation software from MBF Bioscience. SVZ, subventricular zone; OC, optic chiasm; 3V, the 3rd ventricle; DAPI-staining, blue labelings.
Figure 5.4. Representative images showing the morphology of dividing cells within the sexually dimorphic nucleus of the preoptic area. Lower right panel: This relatively low power image illustrates the CB28-immunoreactivity (green fluorescence) delineation of a unilateral adult male SDN-POA within which the red fluorescence (Ki67-immunoreactivity) is located. The series images ($n = 8$) demonstrate the cell at the telophase of cell division defined in the image located at low right panel. The series images were acquired with a sequential order at 1 µm intervals along the Z axis.
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Neural Stem Cells in the Adult Brain: Altered Activity in Aging, Alzheimer’s Disease, Mood Disorders and Epilepsy

Ashok K. Shetty¹,* and Bharathi Hattiangady²

Introduction

Neural stem/progenitor cells (NSCs) are seen in both the developing and adult central nervous system (CNS). These are multipotent cells, which exhibit self-renewal as well as produce a vast majority of cells in the developing CNS (Gage and Temple, 2013). The development of cerebral cortex commences in the anterior neural tube and is specified by homeobox proteins encoded by Distal-less (Dlx) family of genes DLX1 and DLX2 and NK2 homeobox 1 gene (NKX2.1) (Rubenstein and Rakic, 1999). A subset of radial glial cells (RGCs) that extend from their cell body located in the ventricular zone to the pial surface in the primordial CNS are considered the primary NSCs (Noctor et al., 2001). These multipotent NSCs express Sox-2 (sex determining region Y [SRY]-box 2, a transcription factor), nestin (a primitive neurofilament protein)
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and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, an intermediate filament protein). Through proliferation, they repeatedly produce more restricted neuronal and glial progenitors, which are referred to as transit amplifying (or intermediate) progenitors (Englund et al., 2005). These progenitors display limited self-renewal and proliferative activity than NSCs and hence end up in producing differentiated progeny (Davis and Temple, 1994; Gage and Temple, 2013). However, the multipotent property of neuronal progenitors varies considerably during development. This is evident from observations that progenitors generated early during development can give rise to multiple types of neurons but progenitors generated later in development cannot produce the earlier fates (Frantz and McConnell, 1996; McConnell and Kaznowski, 1991).

During development of the CNS, NSCs and progenitors appear to be committed to the generation of specific types of neural cells (Taverna et al., 2014). The precise mechanisms by which CNS progenitors are temporally restricted or committed to certain lineages are still unknown. However, results of many studies suggest that production of gliogenic cues such as cardiotrophin, transcription factor sequences, DNA methylation changes and chromatin modifications underlie the temporal control of progenitor cell output (Barnabe-Heider et al., 2005; Gage and Temple, 2013; Pereira et al., 2010). While the CNS development and patterning occurs in a modular fashion with specific CNS regions acting as organizers (Gage and Temple, 2013; Martinez et al., 1999; Puelles and Rubenstein, 2003), neural progenitor and precursor cells retain ability to cross regional boundaries during development. A classic example is the migration of GABA-ergic progenitors during development. These progenitors originate in the ganglionic eminences of the ventral forebrain but later migrate tangentially into the overlying dorsal cortex where they mature and get incorporated into the circuitry as GABA-ergic interneurons (Anderson et al., 1997).

Once the CNS is developed with the essential numbers and varieties of progenitors and differentiated cells, active NSCs in most regions undergo depletion in number, either through terminal differentiation or through attainment of a quiescent state. The production of neurons from NSCs and their progenitors typically occurs from the early embryonic development period to early postnatal periods whereas the production of glia during development occurs from the late embryonic development period to postnatal periods (Gotz and Huttner, 2005; Paridaen and Huttner, 2014). The production of glia (from NSCs or glial progenitors derived from NSCs) however continues at reduced levels in all regions of the brain thereafter (Gallo and Deneen, 2014; Guerout et al., 2014; Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010; Urban and Guillemot, 2014). Thus, in the postnatal and adult periods, NSCs or their progenitors capable of generating neurons are restricted to only a few regions of the CNS known as neurogenic regions, which mainly include the dentate gyrus (DG) of the hippocampal formation and the subventricular zone (SVZ) lining the walls of ventricles in the forebrain. In these regions, NSCs proliferate on a daily basis and generate neurons and glia throughout life in most mammalian brains. This phenomenon, now referred to as adult neurogenesis, has come to limelight through extensive research during the last 2 decades. This finding has overturned one of the foremost dogmas pertaining to the mammalian brain that neurons were generated in entirety during development and hence are not reinstated when they die due to disease or injury. While the idea of cell division and neuronal differentiation occurring in the adult brain was emerged as early as 1960’s...
via an observation that new cells are generated in the olfactory system and the DG of the adult brain (Altman, 1969; Altman and Das, 1965), lack of definitive markers to detect neurons made this finding mostly go unnoticed. The subsequent reports demonstrating newly born neurons in the adult DG and the olfactory bulb through tritiated thymidine labeling (Kaplan and Hinds, 1977) and the involvement of newly born neurons in learning of new songs in adult canaries (Goldman and Nottebohm, 1983) gave additional evidence but did not provide enough impetus for exploring the concept of adult neurogenesis in detail.

An inspiring discovery in early nineties that both neurons and astrocytes can be produced in culture from cells isolated from the adult mammalian brain (Reynolds and Weiss, 1992) however prompted a colossal curiosity for probing NSCs and neurogenesis in the adult brain. Eriksson and colleagues in 1998 offered the first proof for the presence of NSCs in the adult human hippocampus (Eriksson et al., 1998) through demonstration of newly born neurons in the autopsied hippocampus of patients who received 5'-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) for examining cancer cell kinetics prior to their death. Since then, there has been an immense attention for learning the behavior of adult NSCs and neurogenesis under normal and disease conditions. A recent study, by providing a strong proof for the existence of NSCs in the DG of humans, has further stimulated this field (Spalding et al., 2013). This study showed that significant neurogenesis from NSCs persists even during old age in the human hippocampus. Since NSC activity and neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus is now confirmed in virtually all mammalian species including humans, in this chapter, we mainly focus on discussing the present knowledge on the activity of NSCs and neurogenesis in the adult mammalian hippocampus under standard and disease settings. Additionally, we confer how deviant or declined NSC activity and neurogenesis in aging and neurological disorders can interfere with cognitive and mood function.

**NSCs in Neurogenic and Non-neurogenic Regions of the Adult Brain**

Numerous studies have now clearly established the occurrence of proliferating NSCs and neurogenesis throughout life in two distinct regions of the adult mammalian brain: the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the DG in the hippocampal formation and the subventricular zone (SVZ) covering the walls of forebrain lateral ventricles (Ihrie and Alvarez-Buylla, 2011; Ming and Song, 2011; Yao et al., 2012). As seen in the developing CNS, NSCs in the adult brain exhibit ability for widespread proliferation, self-renewal and generating all three major CNS cell types (Ihrie and Alvarez-Buylla, 2011; Ming and Song, 2011; Yao et al., 2012). In the SGZ of the DG, proliferation of NSCs and intermediate progenitors produce mostly new neurons and some glia. Newly generated neurons then migrate radially into the granule cell layer (GCL), where they mature as dentate granule cells with dendrites projecting into the molecular layer and axons projecting into the stratum lucidum of the CA3. These new granule cells then establish afferent connectivity with axons from the entorhinal cortex, and efferent connectivity with the CA3 pyramidal neurons (Ming and Song, 2011; Yao et al., 2012). In the SVZ, daily division of NSCs and/or intermediate progenitors generates
cohorts of young neurons (neuroblasts), which journey into the olfactory bulb through a conduit named as rostral migratory stream (RMS) (Ihrie and Alvarez-Buylla, 2011). Upon arrival, they undergo differentiation and maturation into olfactory interneurons. Neural stem cells also persist in the SGZ and SVZ of the adult human brain. While NSCs in the human SGZ generates new granule cells for the DG as in rodents (Eriksson et al., 1998; Spalding et al., 2013), NSCs in the human SVZ are believed to contribute new neurons to the striatum (Ernst et al., 2014). This is in sharp contrast to the SVZ of rodents generating neurons that under normal conditions migrate only to the olfactory bulb. Nonetheless, NSCs in the two neurogenic regions share several key characteristics (Urban and Guillemot, 2014). These include expression of markers such as Sox-2, nestin and GFAP, low level of proliferation under normal conditions, their location adjacent to the microvasculature and restricted potential. In the rodent brain, the SGZ-NSCs typically produce dentate granule cells and astrocytes whereas the SVZ-NSCs classically produce neurons of the olfactory bulb and oligodendrocytes (Urban and Guillemot, 2014).

Limited amount of neurogenesis probably also occurs in “non-neurogenic” regions of the adult brain in certain circumstances (Gould, 2007). The regions of adult brain displaying some NSC activity comprise the CA1 subfield of the hippocampus (Rietze et al., 2000), substantia nigra of the midbrain (Zhao et al., 2003), neocortex (Cameron and Dayer, 2008), striatum (Bedard et al., 2006), amygdaloid nuclei (Fowler et al., 2008), piriform cortex (Shapiro et al., 2007), and hypothalamus (Yuan and Arias-Carrion, 2011). However, it is still unclear whether putative NSCs in these regions are capable of generating a significant number of neurons in physiological conditions. It appears that these cells either sustain the properties of quiescent NSCs or intermittently generate glial cells such as astrocytes and oligodendrocytes (Gage and Temple, 2013). Yet, when separated and harvested in a culture dish containing FGF-2 and/or other factors, these NSCs undergo differentiation into neurons. This suggests that, stimulation of NSCs or their progeny for neuronal differentiation in non-neurogenic regions requires extrinsic factors that promote NSC proliferation and differentiation (Palmer et al., 1999). Indeed, several studies have suggested that administration of certain neuroprotective compounds and drugs or lifestyle changes (such as voluntary exercise) can activate NSCs (Pieper et al., 2010; Walker et al., 2015). Examples include increased neurogenesis in the hypothalamus of spontaneously hypertensive rats with voluntary exercise (Niwa et al., 2016), enhanced neurogenesis in hypothalamic and habenular regions following chronic fluoxetine treatment (Sachs and Caron, 2015). However, it remains to be verified whether sub-chronic or chronic administration of neurogenic drugs would be adequate for reversing deficits associated with neurological disorders.

Properties of NSC Niche in the Subgranular Zone and the Subventricular Zone

The NSC niche comprises varied types of cells and structures, which include astrocytes, neurons, axon projections and blood vessels. The primary function of this niche is to offer an apt milieu that maintains the bulk of NSCs quiescent and undifferentiated
(Morrison and Spradling, 2008; Urban and Guillemot, 2014). Furthermore, the
niche presents a diversity of signals that regulate the behavior of NSCs and/or their
progenitors to adjust the production of new cells to the needs of the tissue (Faigle and

In the SGZ of the hippocampus, a subclass of slowly dividing cells that are
positive for GFAP and present a single radial process that extends into the molecular
layer through the GCL are thought to be NSCs or radial glial cells (Type 1 cells)
(Ihrie and Alvarez-Buylla, 2008; Kempermann et al., 2004; Seri et al., 2001). Thus,
type 1 cells are a subset of astrocytes but express several NSC markers, which include
Sox-2, nestin, vimentin and musashi-1 (Filippov et al., 2003; Hattiangady and Shetty,
2008a; Lagace et al., 2007; Okano et al., 2005). Lugert and colleagues suggest that
cells having short, horizontal processes in the SGZ are also Type 1 cells (Lugert et al.,
2010). Both cell types (radial and non-radial type 1 cells) seem to change their behavior
from active to quiescent states and vice versa (Rolando and Taylor, 2014). Inactivity
of NSCs between their proliferative states is believed to prevent their exhaustion in
normal conditions as well as reduce chromosomal aberrations resulting from frequent
division (Rolando and Taylor, 2014). Type 1 cells do not divide often. When they do,
they mostly undergo asymmetric division, which not only maintains self-renewal but
also generates cohorts of transit amplifying cells (TAPs, type 2 cells or intermediate
progenitors). However, the type of cues determines whether radial or non-radial
type 1 NSCs get activated following injury or pathology in the adult DG. A good
example for this phenomenon is observations that radial NSCs proliferate in response
to physical exercise whereas non-radial NSCs proliferate in conditions such as seizures
(Lugert et al., 2010). Type 2 progenitor cells are smaller in size, occur in clusters, express
Sox-2 and mammalian achaete-scute homolog 1 (Mash1) and display short tangential
processes. These cells typically proliferate briskly and produce a pool of double cortin
(DCX) expressing neuroblasts (Type 3 cells) and glia (Encinas et al., 2011; Hsieh,
2012). Neuroblasts mature to form full-fledged granule cells expressing markers such
as the neuron specific nuclear antigen (NeuN, a marker of mature neurons), Prox-1
(a transcription factor specific to dentate granule cells) and calbindin (a calcium
binding protein typically expressed in mature granule cells). Newly born granule cells
also establish afferent connectivity with the axons coming from the entorhinal cortex
(perforant path fibers) and efferent connectivity with the CA3 pyramidal neurons. All of
these events occur within 6–8 weeks after birth of neurons in the rodent hippocampus
(Hsieh, 2012; Zhao et al., 2006). In the SVZ, stemness of primary NSCs (also referred
to as type B cells) is maintained by Notch signaling (Basak et al., 2012). Like most
type 1 cells in the SGZ, B cells in the SVZ display radial glial cell morphology, express
Sox-2, GFAP, nestin and vimentin, and undergo division occasionally to produce
Mash1 and nestin expressing type C cells or TAPs. Type C cells divide rapidly and
produce type A cells or neuroblasts expressing DCX and polysialylated neural cell
adhesion molecule (PSA-NCAM). These neuroblasts migrate along the RMS to the
olfactory bulb where they undergo maturation and integration into the circuitry (Lois
et al., 1996). Studies have shown that subsets of adult SVZ-NSCs are restricted and
varied pertaining to generation of distinct types of neurons (Merkle et al., 2007).

Activity of NSCs can be influenced by a multitude of signals arising from the
local milieu. These include signals from different cell types residing in and around
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NSC niches, which include astrocytes, oligodendrocyte progenitors, endothelial cells and pericytes in blood vessels, microglia, mature granule cells and GABA-ergic interneurons (Gemma and Bachstetter, 2013; Goldman and Chen, 2011; Licht and Keshet, 2015; Palmer et al., 2000). Moreover, non-cellular factors such as secreted molecules and the extracellular matrix proteins can also influence self-renewal and differentiation properties of NSCs (Hsieh, 2012; Morrens et al., 2012; Song et al., 2002; Tozuka et al., 2005). Particularly, astrocytes have been demonstrated to be a source of many paracrine factors having vital roles in neurogenesis. These comprise Notch, Sonic hedgehog (Shh), bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) and Wingless-type MMTV integration site family (Wnt) proteins (Ables et al., 2010; Ahn and Joyner, 2005; Hsieh, 2012; Lie et al., 2005). In the SVZ, it has been shown that notch regulates NSC identity and self-renewal, whereas epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) on NSCs specifically affects their proliferation and migration (Agirre et al., 2010). Moreover, a recent study showed that NSC activity is also influenced by self-derived neurotrophic factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (Kirby et al., 2015). Thus, NSC division and self-renewal, as well as differentiation of NSC offspring into mature neurons or glia are regulated by signals from other cell types residing in a multicellular niche as well as NSCs themselves.

Factors Regulating of NSC Activity and Neurogenesis in the Adult Hippocampus

A number of transcription factors and signaling pathways are involved in the preservation of NSCs and differentiation of NSC derived cells in the SGZ. First, notch signaling is involved in the preservation of both radial and non-radial type 1 cells with the expression of transcription factors Sox-2 and Hes5 (hairy and enhancer of split 5, encodes a member of a family of basic helix–loop–helix [bHLH] transcription repressors) (Ables et al., 2010; Hsieh, 2012; Lugert et al., 2010; Lugert et al., 2012). Notch signaling negatively regulates cell cycle exit and suppresses the expression of pro-neural transcription factors and thereby blocks differentiation of NSCs into neurons (Rolando and Taylor, 2014). Several other transcription factors also believed to play roles in the maintenance of NSCs, which comprise Pax 6 (paired domain and homeodomain-containing transcription factor), Ascl1 (another bHLH transcription factor), FoxO3 (forkhead domain transcription factor), REST (repressor element 1 silencing transcription factor), and TLX (nuclear hormone transcription factor) (Gao et al., 2011; Hsieh, 2012; Jessberger et al., 2008; Renault et al., 2009). Second, the generation of type 2 cells (TAPs) and type 3 cells (neuroblasts) from types 1 and 2 cells respectively, encompasses a successive up-regulation of transcription factors Neurogenin 2 (abHLH transcription factor involved in neural fate-choice decision) and Tbr2 (T-brain gene 2, involved in conversion of radial glia into intermediate progenitors during cortex development). Third, differentiation of neuroblasts into mature neurons involves the expression of NeuroD1 (Neuronal differentiation 1) (Kuwabara et al., 2009; Seib et al., 2013), and Sox-3, Sox-11 and FoxG1 (Forkhead box G1, a Winged Helix transcriptional repressor) (Haslinger et al., 2009; Shen et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006). Fourth, inhibition of Wnt activity results in reduced neurogenesis whereas its...
activation via Wnt3a protein increases neurogenesis (Lie et al., 2005). Interestingly, removal of a negative regulator of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway such as Dickkopf1 (Dkk1) improves neurogenesis (Seib et al., 2013). Fifth, the survival and maturation of newly generated neurons is influenced by Prox-1 (prospero-related homeobox gene) (Jessberger et al., 2008; Karalay et al., 2011) and CREB (cyclic AMP response element-binding protein) (Jagasia et al., 2009).

The extent of adult hippocampal neurogenesis is also regulated by neurotransmitters such as the gamma-amino butyric acid (GABA), serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT), acetylcholine (ACh) and dopamine. Pertaining to GABA, it is fascinating that GABA released by a subpopulation of interneurons expressing the calcium binding protein parvalbumin (PV) in the DG regulates both stem cell quiescence and neuronal cell fate decision by newly born cells. Non-synaptic GABA released by PV+ interneurons represses the activation of quiescent NSCs through activation of γ2-containing GABA_A receptors expressed on NSCs, which averts the exhaustion of primary NSCs by rapid proliferation in normal conditions (Song et al., 2012). Another recent study shows that pharmacological inhibition of GABA_B receptors stimulates NSC proliferation and genetic deletion of GABA_B1 receptor subunits increases NSC proliferation and differentiation of neuroblasts in vivo (Giachino et al., 2014). Thus, by signaling through both GABA_A and GABA_B1 receptors, GABA regulates the extent of neurogenesis. On the other hand, axons of PV+ interneurons establish immature synapses on newly born neurons to promote the survival of dividing DCX+ immature neurons (Song et al., 2013). Studies on links between 5-HT and hippocampal neurogenesis using increased levels of 5-HT, reduction of serotonergic neurons or stimulation of 5-HT receptors have demonstrated that 5-HT can increase the generation of new neurons in the DG (Doze and Perez, 2012). Besides, a recent study has shown that a serotonergic deficit in the hippocampus as defined by reduced levels of serotonin (5-HT) 1B receptor, decreased 5-HT neurotransmitter levels, and a loss of serotonergic nerve terminals innervating the DG/CA3 subfield (but not associated with changes in the number of serotonergic neurons in the raphe nuclei) can greatly diminish hippocampal neurogenesis (Kohl et al., 2016). Regarding the role of ACh, studies have shown that hippocampal neurogenesis declines with ablation of cholinergic neurons in the medial septum but increases with cholinergic agonist treatment (Mohapel et al., 2005). Additionally, increased expression of vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VACht) in the hippocampus increases the dendritic complexity of adult born hippocampal neurons and improves acquisition of spatial memory in aging (Nagy and Aubert, 2015). With regard to the influence of dopamine on neurogenesis, studies have demonstrated that dopamine increases hippocampal neurogenesis through activation of D1-like receptor (Takamura et al., 2014) and lesion of dopaminergic neurons impairs adult hippocampal neurogenesis (Schlachetzki et al., 2016).

**NSCs and Neurogenesis in the Adult Human Brain**

The DG of the human brain has also been validated as a neurogenic region. The first evidence came from postmortem hippocampal tissues of five cancer patients who received intravenous infusion of 5'-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) for diagnostic purposes (Eriksson et al., 1998). Investigation of BrdU+ cells in the DG using
BrdU-NeuN and BrdU-GFAP dual immunofluorescence in these tissues revealed ~22% neurons and ~18% astrocytes among newly born cells. The residual BrdU+ cells were smaller in dimensions and seemed to be quiescent undifferentiated NSCs. Cells that expressed BrdU and calbindin were also seen, which presented additional confirmation for the existence of newly born granule cells in the adult human DG. Notwithstanding this finding, skepticism predominated in the field as some studies have implied that neurogenesis in nonhuman primates is relatively scarcer than in rodents (Amrein et al., 2011; Kheirbek and Hen, 2013). However, an investigation using a unique birth-dating methodology centered on the peak of carbon isotope 14 (14C) that was released into the atmosphere during the above ground nuclear bomb tests between 1945 and 1963, presented a strong confirmation for extensive neurogenesis in the DG of humans throughout adulthood (Kempermann, 2013; Spalding et al., 2013). This approach banked on the concept that, eating plants that have soaked up 14C from the atmosphere or consuming meat of animals that ate plants absorbing atmospheric 14C would cause incorporation of 14C into the DNA of dividing human cells (Welberg, 2013). As 14C is merged into the DNA during cell division, the 14C material of a cell would thus portray 14C levels in the atmosphere at the time of birth of the cell. Because atomic bomb testing in 1950s and 1960s triggered a spike in atmospheric 14C levels and intensities weakened after 1963 (because of limited test ban treaty), measurement of the quantity of 14C in cellular DNA offered information on the birth date of cells (Welberg, 2013). Spalding and colleagues sorted neurons and glial cells in hippocampal samples obtained from post-mortem brains of people who were born in various years in the 20th century. Next, they purified neuronal DNA, measured 14C levels and determined the age of granule cells by comparing the amount of incorporated 14C in granule cells with the atmospheric 14C that existed in different calendar years (Spalding et al., 2013). This study uncovered that: (i) hippocampus is the most conspicuous region for adult neurogenesis in the human brain; (ii) ~80% of granule cells in the DG undergo renewal in adulthood and ~700 new neurons are added per day (Kempermann, 2013); (iii) men and women displayed quite similar turnover rate of DG granule cells; (iv) hippocampal neurogenesis in humans undergoes only a modest decrease with aging, unlike in rodents where a dramatic age-related decline in neurogenesis is observed (Hattiangady and Shetty, 2008a; Kuhn et al., 1996; Rao et al., 2005; Rao et al., 2006). Collectively, the findings in this investigation provided a strong evidence for the occurrence of NSCs as well as robust neurogenesis in the adult human hippocampus.

Apart from the hippocampus, studies have examined possible neurogenesis in the neocortex and olfactory bulbs of the human brain. These regions did not reveal significant neurogenesis however (Bergmann et al., 2012; Bhardwaj et al., 2006). Pertaining to the SVZ, an earlier study demonstrated that the infant human SVZ and rostral migratory stream contain an extensive corridor of migrating immature neurons before 18 months of age but this germinal activity subsides in older children and is nearly extinct by adulthood (Sanai et al., 2011).

However, a recent study by Ernst and colleagues, by employing histological and 14C birth-dating approach, suggests that neurons derived from the human SVZ form interneurons in the adjacent striatum (Ernst et al., 2014). This study also suggested that the neuronal turnover in the striatum appears restricted to interneurons, and striatal
neurons generated during postnatal periods are preferentially depleted in patients with Huntington’s disease, a disorder in which striatal interneurons degenerate. This contrasts with the SVZ of rodents generating neurons that, under normal conditions, migrate only to the olfactory bulb and differentiate into olfactory interneurons (Lim and Alvarez-Buylla, 2014).

**Functional Significance of NSC Activity and Neurogenesis in the Adult Hippocampus**

Since newly generated neurons (granule cells) integrate well into the existing hippocampal circuitry, questions about their function have received great interest and scrutiny (van Praag et al., 2002). These neurons display increased amenability for long-term potentiation (a substrate underlying learning and memory) for about a month after their birth (Ming and Song, 2011; Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2000). Thus, persistent production of new dentate granule cells maintains a pool of neurons in the DG with special properties, which also meets some of the unique computational needs of the hippocampus (Appleby et al., 2011; Kempermann, 2013). Furthermore, adult DG neurogenesis is believed to be vital for hippocampus-dependent learning and memory function (Braun and Jessberger, 2014). At first, multiple studies connected the amount of hippocampal neurogenesis with spatial learning and memory functioning and proposed a relationship between spatial memory ability and the quantity of newly born neurons. Supporting evidence comprised observations that: (i) increased hippocampus-dependent memory function and enhanced DG neurogenesis are seen in animals housed in enriched environmental settings, such as larger cages comprising enriching objects/toys or having access to built-in running wheels (Kempermann et al., 1997; van Praag et al., 1999); (ii) aged rats displaying capability for memory retrieval in a water maze probe test have higher levels of DG neurogenesis than aged rats exhibiting inability for retrieval of memory (Drapeau et al., 2003); (iii) co-existence of impaired hippocampus-dependent memory and greatly declined DG neurogenesis in animals that underwent stress (Gould et al., 1999); and (iv) impairments in certain types of hippocampus-dependent memory when DG neurogenesis is ablated using approaches such as whole brain radiation or administration of drugs that target dividing NSCs and their progeny (Shors et al., 2001; Snyder et al., 2005). Despite these observations, opposing outcomes in other studies and misgivings pertaining to the efficiency of methods employed to selectively ablate the entire DG neurogenesis without altering the existing hippocampal cytoarchitecture or circuitry prompted some doubts on the stated function of DG neurogenesis in spatial learning and memory (Braun and Jessberger, 2014; Deng et al., 2010).

A series of subsequent studies however provided stronger verification that populations of new granule cells that survive are those that are successfully integrated into the learning and memory circuitry. First, it has been shown that spatial learning cleverly adds or rejects newly born granule cells contingent upon their stage of the maturation and functional status (Deng et al., 2010; Tronel et al., 2010). This was evidenced through observation that memory retrieval task after the water maze training preferentially activates new granule cells that were ~4–6 weeks old at the time of
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learning the task and ~10 weeks old at the time of memory retrieval test in mice (Kee et al., 2007). Second, computational models suggested a role for newly born granule cells in the encoding of temporal information as well as in the maintenance of old memories during the encoding of new information (Aimone et al., 2010; Aimone et al., 2011; Deng et al., 2010). Third, elimination of hippocampal neurogenesis through advanced genetic ablation methods caused spatial learning deficits (Dupret et al., 2008; Jessberger et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2008). Fourth, silencing of ~4-week-old newly born granule cells after water maze learning substantially disrupted the retrieval of spatial memory (Gu et al., 2012). Fifth, many investigations via selective examination of DG circuitry have showed that newly generated neurons influence pattern separation function (Clelland et al., 2009; Kheirbek et al., 2012; Nakashiba et al., 2012; Sahay et al., 2011a; Sahay et al., 2011b). Pattern separation is ability for discerning analogous experiences by storing similar representations in a non-overlapping way (Leutgeb et al., 2007; Yassa and Stark, 2011). Studies have implied that scarceness of activation in the dentate granule cell layer (resulting in sparse functional connectivity between DG and CA3) helps pattern separation function as it enhances global remapping, which is a process by which similar representations are encoded by non-overlapping neuronal ensembles (O’Reilly and McClelland, 1994). As per this concept, a recent study suggests that adult born neurons modulate DG excitability via excitation of GABA-ergic interneurons, which in turn increases sparse coding in the granule cell layer and thereby positively influences pattern separation function (Ikrar et al., 2013).

Yet, one of the questions being conferred is, whether the extent of neurogenesis taking place in adulthood is sufficient for affecting hippocampus function. Multiple levels of observations support an affirmative answer to this query. To begin with, the amount of neurogenesis going on after the initial development of the DG is considerable, as ~9,000 new neurons are born every day in the DG of a young adult rat, and the number of new granule cells generated each month is equivalent to ~6% of the total size of the granule cell population (Cameron and McKay, 2001). It is ~700 new neurons per day for an annual turnover rate of 1.75% in the human brain (Kempermann, 2013). Yet, the volume of DG does not grow as a function of age because a sizable fraction of newly generated neurons die over a period of time after their birth (Dayer et al., 2003; Gould et al., 1999). Therefore, even with continual neurogenesis, the total number of granule cells in the DG remains nearly stable all through life in normal conditions. Furthermore, though newly born granule cells have been demonstrated to replace some granule cells that were born during early development, they frequently appear to restitute granule cells that were generated in the adulthood (Dayer et al., 2003; Kempermann, 2012). Moreover, newly born granule cells have a lower threshold for eliciting long-term potentiation (LTP), which is an indication of increased synaptic plasticity by these neurons (Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004; Snyder et al., 2001). Additionally, studies have shown that newly born granule cells constitute a major component of granule cells that contribute to LTP in the DG because the preexisting network is inhibited from being activated (Garthe et al., 2009; Saxe et al., 2006). These observations support the idea that newly born granule cells are more plastic than older granule cells and are more likely to generate action potentials in response to an incoming stimulus. Accordingly, it is likely that young granule cells react to a
larger diversity of synaptic input, in comparison to older (preexisting) granule cells that seem to respond to a more specific input. This discrepancy in reaction between young and old granule cells is thought to be advantageous because young granule cells being tuned to a broad variety of inputs can be good integrators and older cells displaying high input specificity can be better separators (Kempermann, 2012; Marin-Burgin et al., 2012). These characteristics seem crucial for the DG to accomplish its functional roles, which encompass enabling pattern separation and circumventing catastrophic interference, giving contextual and affective annotations, and contribute to cognitive flexibility in conditions where fresh information needs to be combined to previously formed representations (Kempermann, 2012; Marin-Burgin et al., 2012). From the above, it appears that incessant production of new granule cells is a necessity for maintaining normal hippocampus function, as it offers a pool of highly plastic immature neurons to enable intricate computational demands (Kempermann, 2012). It is thought that a DG network dominated by young granule cells is predisposed towards construing similar but not identical inputs as separate, whereas older granule cells are prejudiced towards interpreting similar but not identical inputs as alike (Gage and Temple, 2013). Psychiatric disorders are also associated with declined DG neurogenesis (Bergmann and Frisen, 2013). It is believed that fewer newly born neurons impairing pattern separation function also impair the ability for distinguishing threats from similar, but safe, situations and contribute to a generalized perception of fear and anxiety in posttraumatic stress disorder (Bergmann and Frisen, 2013; Kheirbek et al., 2012). Additionally, the extent of increase in hippocampus neurogenesis determines some of the positive effects of antidepressants in animal models (Santarelli et al., 2003). Overall, there is adequate evidence to support the idea that adult hippocampal neurogenesis is one of the important substrates in the brain for sustaining normal cognitive, memory and mood function.

Age-related Changes in NSC Activity and Neurogenesis in the Hippocampus

Neurogenesis declines considerably with age in the hippocampus of rats and mice, the two species most extensively quantified for neurogenesis (Drapeau and Nora Abrous, 2008). A multitude of studies have examined different stages of neurogenesis such as proliferation of NSCs and their progenitors, extent of production of newly born cells per day or over a period of several days, short-term and long-term survival of newly born cells, migration of newly born cells into the GCL and differentiation of newly born cells into neurons. Investigation of the proliferation of putative NSCs and the extent of neurogenesis revealed considerable declines between the young adult and middle age (Figure 6.1) (Cameron and McKay, 1999; Kempermann et al., 1998; Kuhn et al., 1996; Lemaire et al., 2006; Rao et al., 2005; Rao et al., 2006). Some studies have also suggested further declines in putative NSC proliferation and neurogenesis between the middle age and old age (Bizon and Gallagher, 2003; Bondolfi et al., 2004; Rao et al., 2006). However, from most studies, it is apparent that most of the decline in neurogenesis during adulthood occurs between young adult and middle age (Driscoll et al., 2006; Nacher et al., 2003; Rao et al., 2005; Seki and Arai, 1995).
Notwithstanding a drastic decline in the proliferation of NSCs and/or their progenitors, aging does not adversely affect the short-term survival of newly born cells (Kempermann et al., 1998; Lichtenwalner et al., 2001; McDonald and Wojtowicz, 2005; Rao et al., 2005). One of the studies using F344 rats has also demonstrated that the long-term (5-months) survival of adult born neurons does not vary between young adult, middle-aged and aged rats (Rao et al., 2005). Aging however slows down the radial migration of newly born cells from the SGZ to the GCL in the first four weeks after birth (Heine et al., 2004), possibly because of a decline in the expression of PSA-NCAM (Drapeau and Nora Abrous, 2008; Seki and Arai, 1995). Nonetheless, by 5 months after their birth, newly born cells in the young adult, middle-aged and aged animals take up comparable positions in the GCL (Rao et al., 2005). Pertaining to the differentiation of newly born cells into neurons, several studies in mice and a few studies in rats have suggested that neuronal differentiation of newly born cells declines with aging (Bizon et al., 2004; Bondolfi et al., 2004; Heine et al., 2004).

Figure 6.1. Arrangement of newly generated neurons in the dentate gyrus (DG) of young adult (A), middle aged (B), aged (C) rats visualized with doublecortin (DCX) immunostaining. Note that the density of DCX positive neurons is much greater in the young adult DG. DCX positive neurons in the young adult are present throughout the DG along the subgranular zone (SGZ) and the granule cell layer (GCL). Whereas, DCX positive neurons in the middle-aged and aged DG are in clusters of 2–4 cells separated by much larger gaps along the SGZ and GCL. Figure D compares the total number of DCX positive neurons between the young adult, middle aged and aged DG. Note that the total number is reduced dramatically as early as middle age but exhibits no significant change between the middle age and the old age. DH, dentate hilus; IML, inner molecular layer; MML, middle molecular layer; OML, outer molecular layer. Scale bar, A–C = 50 µm. Figure reproduced from Rao et al., Eur J Neurosci, 21: 464–476, 2005.
2004; Kempermann et al., 1998; Lichtenwalner et al., 2001; Molofsky et al., 2006; Nacher et al., 2003). However, studies in F344 rats did not see significant difference in neuronal fate-choice decision of newly born cells between young, adult, middle-aged and aged rats (Figure 6.2) (Rao et al., 2005; Rao et al., 2006). Some of the discrepancies between these studies may be due to differences in the age of animals examined and/or
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Figure 6.2. A1–B3, Neuronal differentiation of newly born cells at 6–24 hours after birth in the subgranular zone of a young dentate gyrus (A1–A3) and a middle-aged dentate gyrus (B1-B3) visualized using doublecortin (DCX) and 5'-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) dual immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy. Note that a great majority of newly born cells express the early neuronal marker DCX in both age groups. Arrows indicate cells that are immunopositive for both BrdU and DCX. Scale bar, 25 µm. C1–D2, Comparison of the growth of 12-days old neurons from a young dentate gyrus (C1) and an aged dentate gyrus (D1) visualized through doublecortin (DCX) and 5'-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) dual immunostaining of hippocampal sections at 12 days after four BrdU injections over an 18-hour period. Note BrdU immunoreactivity in the nucleus and DCX immunoreactivity in the soma and dendrites of these cells. C2 and D2 illustrate the dendritic growth of neurons in C1 and D1 traced with Neurolucida. Note that the overall dendritic growth at 12 days after birth is much greater in the neuron from the young dentate gyrus (C2) than the neuron from the aged dentate gyrus (D2). Scale bar, 50 µm. Figure reproduced from Rao et al., Aging Cell, 5: 545–558, 2006.
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Differential survival periods between BrdU administration and analyses (Drapeau and Nora Abrous, 2008). Measurement of dendritic growth of newly born neurons during the DCX expression phase (at ~12 days after birth) revealed retarded maturation of dendrites in middle aged and aged animals (Figure 6.2) (Rao et al., 2006). Collectively, the above studies demonstrated that age-related decline in neurogenesis in rodents is predominantly because of reduced proliferation of NSCs and their progenitors.

Studies have examined the potential mechanisms underlying age-related changes in neurogenesis. Particularly, changes in intrinsic properties of NSCs and their progenitors and alterations in the microenvironment have received considerable interest. Quantification using BrdU labeling and endogenous markers of cell proliferation suggested declines in the size of dividing NSCs and their progenitors by 3–4 times in the SGZ between young adult and middle age (Olariu et al., 2007). Some of the earlier studies have also suggested that the number of putative NSCs (i.e., GFAP, nestin, vimentin expressing radial glia) decline with aging in the GCL (Alonso, 2001; Nacher et al., 2003). However, a study utilizing Sox-2 as a putative marker of NSCs and their progenitors and stereological counts of Sox-2+ cells in the SGZ demonstrated that the overall number of Sox-2+ cells in the SGZ remains constant between young adult, middle-aged, and aged F344 rats though the percentage of Sox-2+ cells expressing proliferation markers such as BrdU and Ki67 declines drastically with age (Figure 6.3) (Hattiangady and Shetty, 2008a). This study implied that, aging is not associated with a decline in the total number of putative NSCs and progenitors in the SGZ but rather associated with a decline in the proportion of NSCs and their progenitors that proliferate (active NSCs). This is a result of increased quiescence of NSCs with aging, perhaps because of age-related changes in the NSC niche and the microenvironment (Drapeau and Nora Abrous, 2008; Hattiangady and Shetty, 2008a). Furthermore, comparison of the percentages of Sox-2+ cells expressing BrdU or Ki-67 in the SGZ, examined after four injections of BrdU (once every 6 hours over a period of 18 hours) and 6 hours of survival period after the last BrdU injection suggested a lengthening of the cell cycle of NSCs between 4-months and 12-months old F344 rats as well as between 12-months and 24-months old F344 rats (Hattiangady and Shetty, 2008a). However, studies in younger animals (young adult and 10-months old Sprague-Dawley rats), using a protocol of multiple BrdU and tritiated thymidine injections coupled with endogenous proliferation markers, did not show such lengthening of the cell cycle (Olariu et al., 2007). The discrepancy is likely due to differences in the age and/or species of animals examined in the two studies. Collectively, the above results demonstrated that, aging is not associated with NSC loss in the SGZ of hippocampus. This conclusion is also corroborated by another rat study, which suggested that increased numbers of quiescent NSCs in the SGZ in aging is due to reductions in Notch-dependent active NSCs (Lugert et al., 2010). However, a study using a mouse model has suggested that NSC pool gets exhausted in aging because of differentiation of NSCs into astrocytes (Encinas et al., 2011), implying species-specific differences regarding the persistence of SGZ-NSCs in aging. It is likely that higher order animals maintain NSCs in greater numbers during old age. This notion is supported by observations that only moderate decrease in hippocampal neurogenesis occurs during aging in humans (Spalding et al., 2013).
Studies have also examined the potential reasons for decreased proliferation of NSCs with aging. A quantitative real time RT-PCR study showed that age-related decrease in hippocampal neurogenesis is not associated with a decline in the expression of multiple genes important for NSC proliferation and neurogenesis in the DG (Shetty et al., 2013). Most genes important for cell cycle arrest, regulation of cell differentiation, growth factors and cytokine levels, synaptic functions, apoptosis, cell adhesion and cell signaling, and regulation of transcription displayed stable expression in the DG with aging. The exceptions included increased expression of genes important for NSC proliferation and neurogenesis (Stat3 and Shh), DNA damage response and NF-kappaB signaling (Cdk5rap3), neuromodulation (Adora1), and decreased expression of a gene important for neuronal differentiation (HeyL). However, several age-related changes in the microenvironment can suppress NSC proliferation. First, increased levels of stress hormone corticosterone during aging (Drapeau and Nora Abrous, 2008; Sapolsky, 1992) is likely involved in reducing neurogenesis in aging, as adrenalectomy in senescent rats reduces corticosterone levels in association with increased proliferation of NSCs and enhanced neurogenesis (Cameron and McKay, 1999; Montaron et al., 2006; Montaron et al., 1999). Second, increased glutamatergic

Figure 6.3. Sox-2 immunoreactive cells in the subgranular zone (SGZ) of young and aged rats displaying Ki67 (A1–B3) or BrdU (C1–D3), visualized by dual immunofluorescence and Z-section analyses using confocal microscopy. Note that all proliferating cells (as identified by the expression of Ki67 or BrdU) are positive for Sox-2 (arrows). Arrowheads denote Sox-2 immunoreactive cells lacking Ki67 or BrdU. Scale bar, 25 µm. Figures E and F illustrate the percentages of Sox-2 immunoreactive cells expressing Ki67 (E) and BrdU (F). Note that the percentages of Sox-2 immunoreactive cells expressing Ki67 or BrdU decline considerably during the course of aging. ** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001. Figure reproduced from Hattiangady and Shetty, Neurobiol Aging, 29: 129–147, 2008.
neurotransmission or hyperexcitability in the aged DG likely contributes to decreased neurogenesis in aging, as injections of NMDA receptor antagonist enhance NSC proliferation in the SGZ (Nacher et al., 2003). Third, age-related decreases in the concentration of NSC mitogenic factors such as epidermal growth factor (EGF), fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and/or some of their receptors may also underlie decreased NSC proliferation and neurogenesis in aging (Figure 6.4) (Chadashvili and Peterson, 2006; Enwere et al., 2004; Lai et al., 2000; Shetty et al., 2005; Sonntag et al., 1999). Indeed, administration of

**Figure 6.4.** Concentrations of FGF-2 (A), IGF-1 (B) and VEGF (C) in the hippocampus of young adult (YA) middle-aged (MA) and aged (AG) F344 rats. Note that the concentrations of FGF-2, IGF-1 and VEGF decrease considerably between young age and middle age but exhibit no significant decrease between middle age and old age. *Figure reproduced from Shetty et al., Glia, 51: 173–186, 2005.*
some of these neurotrophic factors (EGF, FGF-2, IGF-1) increases NSC proliferation and neurogenesis in the aged DG (Figure 6.5) (Enwere et al., 2004; Jin et al., 2003; Lichtenwalner et al., 2001; Rai et al., 2007). Fourth, age-related reductions in microvasculature, decreased cerebral blood flow, low-grade inflammation and increased distance between NSCs and capillaries in the hippocampus (Hattiangady
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**Figure 6.5.** Distribution of newly born neurons in the subgranular zone (SGZ) and the granule cell layer (GCL) at 8 days following two-week infusions of ACSF or FGF-2 into the posterior lateral ventricle, visualized by doublecortin (DCX) immunostaining. A1 and A2 show DCX+ neurons in representative regions of the crest and upper blade of the SGZ and GCL ipsilateral to ACSF infusions. B1 and B2 illustrate DCX+ neurons in representative regions of the crest and upper blade of the SGZ and GCL ipsilateral to FGF-2 infusions. A3 and B3 are magnified views of a region from A2 and B2 demonstrating the morphology and extent of dendrites in individual neurons. ML, molecular layer; DH, dentate hilus. Scale bar, A1, A2, B1 and B2 = 100 µm; A3 and B3 = 50 µm. Bar chart in C compares the status of neurogenesis (based on the total number of DCX+ newly born neurons) between SGZ and GCL ipsilateral to ACSF infusions and SGZ and GCL ipsilateral to FGF-2 infusions. Note that the amount of neurogenesis is 138% greater in the SGZ and GCL ipsilateral to FGF-2 infusions than SGZ and GCL ipsilateral to ACSF infusions. Figure reproduced from Rai et al., Eur J Neurosci, 26: 1765–1779, 2007.
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and Shetty, 2008a; Kodali et al., 2015; Sonntag et al., 1997) may also be contributing to reduced neurogenesis in aging, as strategies that improve microvasculature density and diminish inflammation enhance neurogenesis in the aged hippocampus (Kodali et al., 2015). Thus, a multitude of age-related changes in the hippocampus contribute to decreased NSC activity and neurogenesis in aging.

An interesting issue is whether age-related decrease in DG neurogenesis contributes to memory dysfunction in aging. In normal conditions, animals with preserved spatial memory (aged unimpaired) display a higher level of NSC proliferation and increased survival of newly born cells and neurons, in comparison to animals displaying spatial memory impairments (aged impaired), which suggested that neurogenesis participates in learning and memory (Drapeau et al., 2003; Drapeau and Nora Abrus, 2008; Driscoll et al., 2006). However, a few other studies failed to demonstrate a link between decreased SGZ cell proliferation and spatial memory (Bizon and Gallagher, 2003; Merrill et al., 2003), likely due to differences in strain, gender and number of animals employed as well as timing of BrdU injection and analyses. Additional studies showed that, in aged unimpaired rats, learning increases the survival of cells generated ~1 week before the learning episode but decreases the survival of cells produced during the early phase of learning (Drapeau et al., 2007), implying that spatial memory abilities critically depend on the dynamic regulation of adult-born neuron survival. Furthermore, strategies that increase DG neurogenesis also improve memory function in aged animals. For instance, both short-term and long-term exposures to enriched environment enhanced DG neurogenesis in aged mice along with improved memory function (Kempermann et al., 2002; Kempermann et al., 1998). Furthermore, in middle-aged and aged animals, physical exercise enhanced neurogenesis along with improved spatial learning ability (Kronenberg et al., 2006; Lugert et al., 2010; van Praag et al., 2005). Likewise, prevention of memory dysfunction observed in aged F344 rats following resveratrol treatment in late middle age was found to be associated with more than 2 fold increase in hippocampal neurogenesis (Kodali et al., 2015). Thus, several correlative studies support the notion that declined hippocampal neurogenesis is one of the factors contributing to age-related memory dysfunction. Interestingly, the overall decline in DG neurogenesis during adult life is relatively modest in normal humans (Spalding et al., 2013), in comparison to a massive decline seen in rodents (Rao et al., 2005; Rao et al., 2006). This may suggest that humans with intact memory function likely maintain higher levels of neurogenesis and individuals afflicted with age-related memory dysfunction display reduced levels of neurogenesis because of increased quiescence of NSCs. Stimulation of quiescent NSCs in such individuals may improve memory function. However, better understanding of these issues will require development of advanced in vivo imaging techniques that quantify NSC activity and the extent of neurogenesis in the human brain.

Activity of Hippocampal NSCs and Neurogenesis in Alzheimer’s Disease

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) affects ~5 million Americans and ~45 million people worldwide. Difficulties for learning, reasoning, judgment and communication,
Depression and personality changes are features seen in individuals afflicted with AD (Goedert and Spillantini, 2006; Mu and Gage, 2011). A multitude of factors can lead to AD, which include genetics (e.g., presence of a risk gene apolipoprotein E ε4), and environmental and lifestyle factors such as heart disease, stroke, high blood pressure, diabetes and obesity (Reitz and Mayeux, 2014). Progression of AD symptoms is typically associated with the accumulation of amyloid-beta (Aβ) in the extracellular space (amyloid plaques) and tau inside neurons (neurofibrillary tangles) (Mu and Gage, 2011; Reitz and Mayeux, 2014). Release of Aβ proteins occur when amyloid precursor protein (APP) is sequentially cleaved by β- and γ-secretases. As plaques and tangles accumulate, other changes such as impaired transfer of information at synapses, loss of synapses and neurodegeneration become evident (Selkoe, 2001).

Neuropathology in AD can affect multiple brain areas but the hippocampus is one of the most vulnerable brain regions in AD (Mu and Gage, 2011; Ohm, 2007; Scheff and Price, 2006). It has been suggested that, in the early stages of AD, alterations in NSC activity and hippocampal neurogenesis underlie memory and mood impairments (Lazarov et al., 2010). It appears that augmented NSC activity and hippocampal neurogenesis generally associate with the severity of the disease in senile AD (Jin et al., 2004b). Yet, investigations on younger (pre-senile) AD brains imply either no changes or diminished neurogenesis with increased levels of BMP (Boekhoorn et al., 2006; Crews et al., 2010). Investigations of NSC activity and neurogenesis in animal models of AD have also provided conflicting findings. In a transgenic mouse model of AD with the Swedish and Indiana mutations under the platelet derived growth factor promoter, increased neurogenesis was seen (Jin et al., 2004a; Lopez-Toledano and Shelanski, 2007), which is consistent with the findings in senile AD brains (Jin et al., 2004a). Analyses in other models of AD have mostly revealed decreased neurogenesis however. These comprise the presinilin1 (PS1) conditional knock-out mouse (Feng et al., 2001), mouse overexpressing a mutant form of APP (the Swedish mutation APP695) (Haughey et al., 2002), the mutant PS1 knock-in mouse (Wang et al., 2004), the mutant P117L transgenic mouse (Wen et al., 2004), the homozygous PDAPP mouse (Donovan et al., 2006), the “double knock-in” mouse carrying targeted mutations in both APP and PS-1 (Zhang et al., 2007), the mouse overexpressing the Swedish variant of APP and the exon 9-deleted variant of human PS1 (Verret et al., 2007), the triple transgenic mouse 3xTg-AD that harbors three mutant genes (APPswe, PS1M146V and tauP301L) (Rodriguez et al., 2008), and Tg2576 transgenic mouse overexpressing the human APP isof orm harboring the Swedish double mutation (Krezymon et al., 2013). Disagreements in findings between different investigations likely echo dissimilarities in the stage of disease at the time of examination, drug treatment given to patients and the approaches used for analyzing proliferating cells (Jin et al., 2006). Systematic investigation of larger cohorts of AD brains at different stages of the disease will be required to fully understand the links between AD and changes in neurogenesis. In addition, issues such as the age of patient at the time of AD onset, the type and doses of drugs taken, and the duration of drug therapy in different AD patient populations need to be considered. Diminished neurogenesis observed in some mouse models of AD may reflect the evolved stage of the disease at the time of investigation because intensified unfavorable alterations
are probable in the milieu with time after disease onset (Chen et al., 2008; Perry et al., 2012).

It is currently unknown whether therapies that normalize the activity of NSCs and neurogenesis would slow down the evolution of AD when such interventions were applied at the early stage of disease. Animal studies performed so far are mostly supportive of this possibility however. A multitude of approaches that augment neurogenesis have been shown to enhance cognitive functioning in several transgenic mouse models of AD. The strategies comprise physical exercise or exposure to enriched environment (Costa et al., 2007; Nichol et al., 2009; Nichol et al., 2007; Tapia-Rojas et al., 2016), inhibition of microglial activation (Biscaro et al., 2012), choline supplementation (Velazquez et al., 2013), neural precursor cell grafting (Ben-Menachem-Zidon et al., 2014), curcumin treatment (Tiwari et al., 2014) electro acupuncture (Li et al., 2014) and directed expression of Neurod1 in cycling hippocampal progenitors (Richetin et al., 2015). Considering these, examining neurogenesis in patients with mild cognitive impairment is important because normal aging is not associated with significant decreases in neurogenesis in humans (Spalding et al., 2013) and impaired neurogenesis likely precedes the deposition of Aβ plaques (Chuang, 2010) and accumulation of hyperphosphorylated microtubule-associated protein tau. Indeed, a recent study using a mouse model of tauopathy showed that neurogenesis declines prior to a robust aggregation of hyperphosphorylated tau (Komuro et al., 2015). This necessitates development of more advanced techniques for accurately quantifying neurogenesis in vivo in healthy and disease conditions (Ho et al., 2013), as currently available techniques such as the measurement of blood flow in the DG or the detection of a spectroscopic biomarker by magnetic resonance spectroscopy can only provide an indirect measure of neurogenesis in human brains (Manganas et al., 2007; Pereira et al., 2007).

**Links between NSC Activity and Psychiatric Disorders**

Aberrant NSC activity may contribute to several psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) and major depressive disorder (MDD). First, mutations in Disrupted-in-schizophrenia (DISC1) cause an extremely rare monogenic form of schizophrenia (Ladran et al., 2013). Consistent with this, dominant negative DISC1 expression during mouse cortical development causes cellular and behavioral phenotypes similar to that seen in schizophrenia (Clapcote et al., 2007; Kamiya et al., 2005), and silencing of DISC1 in NSCs causes depolarizing GABA signaling, which leads to hypertrophy of soma, accelerated dendritic growth of newly born neurons, abnormal neural organization and aberrant behavior (Kim et al., 2012). Second, a deletion at 22q11 enhances the genetic risk of schizophrenia and ASDs (Ladran et al., 2013). Accordingly, diminished dosage of 22q11 genes in a mouse model results in reduced proliferation of NSCs (particularly the intermediate progenitors), and aberrant migration and altered connectivity of neural progenitors during development (Meechan et al., 2009). Furthermore, loss of an autism risk gene (mef2c) in NSCs has been shown to cause cellular and behavioral phenotypes comparable to that seen in ASDs (Ladran et al., 2013).
Major depressive disorders are also associated with altered NSC activity and hippocampal neurogenesis. Nearly 15% of the population is afflicted with depression and considerable morbidity and disability is seen in people affected with MDDs (Pandya et al., 2012). One of the hallmarks of MDDs is a reduced size of the hippocampus, which likely reflects declined DG neurogenesis for extended periods (Lee et al., 2013; Masi and Brovedani, 2011). However, contribution of other changes such as neurodegeneration, reduced branching of axons and dendrites and diminished synapses cannot be ruled (Duman and Aghajanian, 2012; Masi and Brovedani, 2011; Sapolsky, 2001). Several changes in the hippocampus likely contribute to altered NSC activity in depression, which may include decreased serotonergic neurotransmission, increased levels of stress and cortisol and reduced levels of p-CREB and BDNF (D’Sa and Duman, 2002). This premise is based on observations that, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) such as fluoxetine augment neurogenesis via stimulation of the 5HT<sub>1A</sub> receptors (Santarelli et al., 2003), stress enhances cortisol levels and decreases neurogenesis (Gould et al., 1997; Sapolsky, 2004), and lower concentration of p-CREB and BDNF diminish neurogenesis (Lee et al., 2002; Nakagawa et al., 2002).

While the precise mechanisms through which neurogenesis affects mood are remain to be identified, investigations in animal prototypes have offered support for a link between mood and hippocampal neurogenesis. For instance, experimental approaches that provoke depressive-like behavior (e.g., maternal separation or social hierarchy related stress) also decrease neurogenesis (Lajud et al., 2012; Mirescu et al., 2004). Additionally, enhanced neurogenesis with improved cognitive and mood function is consistently seen in animals exposed to antidepressant activities such as physical exercise, enriched environment or treated with antidepressant drugs (Lee et al., 2013; Sahay and Hen, 2007; Santarelli et al., 2003; van Praag et al., 1999).

Since not all drugs with antidepressant effects require adequate neurogenesis to ease depression, enhanced neurogenesis outcome after certain antidepressant treatments was once regarded as an epiphenomenon (David et al., 2009). Furthermore, genetic alterations that increase hippocampal neurogenesis in normal animals enhance pattern separation function but do not seem to improve mood function (Sahay et al., 2011a). However, several other studies corroborate the idea that positive effects of many antidepressants are mediated through improved DG neurogenesis. This is substantiated through following observations. The time of onset of increased neurogenesis correlates with the beneficial effect of antidepressant treatment. This was clear from the failure of fluoxetine and imipramine to have an antidepressant effect when proliferating NSCs in the hippocampus were ablated (Santarelli et al., 2003). Studies also suggest that newly generated neurons serve as a buffer for stress response through their effects on the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis (Snyder et al., 2011). Furthermore, therapeutic outcomes from antidepressants occur only with a chronic treatment schedule, which suggest that antidepressants do not have an acute effect on serotonergic neurotransmission. These observations rather point to a requirement for antidepressant-induced improvements in neurogenesis, which likely takes several weeks before drug-induced neurons can become functionally integrated (Braun and Jessberger, 2014). Moreover, diminished anxiety and depression-related behaviors were observed when adult neurogenesis was enhanced via removal of pro-apoptotic gene Bax in NSCs, in mice treated chronically with corticosterone (Hill et al., 2015).
Also, increased depressive-like phenotype is seen in adulthood when genetic variations affect postnatal neurogenesis (such as deletion of huntingtin-associated protein 1 expression) (Xiang et al., 2015). From the above, it is apparent that modified activity of NSCs and neurogenesis is one of the conspicuous characteristics of MDD. Some investigations also support the idea that decreased neurogenesis leads to depression. Thus, drugs or compounds capable of augmenting NSC activity and neurogenesis may alleviate cognitive and mood dysfunction in people afflicted with MDD. Such drugs may also sustain positive mood in normal individuals.

**NSC Activity and Neurogenesis in Status Epilepticus and Temporal Lobe Epilepsy**

Epilepsy, the third most prevalent neurological disorder in the USA after Alzheimer’s disease and stroke, affects > 2 million Americans and ~65 million people worldwide. It is an ailment characterized by spontaneous recurrent seizures (SRS) and comorbidities such as cognitive dysfunction and depression. Temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) is the most common type of epilepsy among partial epilepsies and accounts for ~60% of patients with epilepsy. It is frequently resistant to antiepileptic drugs (Engel, 2001; Strine et al., 2005). While the etiology of TLE is unknown in most cases, an initial precipitating injury (IPI) such as status epilepticus (SE), head injury with loss of consciousness, injuries/concussions during early childhood, malformations in brain development, infections such as meningitis or encephalitis or childhood febrile seizures leads to TLE in others (Lewis, 2005; Pitkanen and Sutula, 2002). Characteristically, a dormant period of several years is observed between an IPI and the emergence of chronic TLE illustrated by SRS originating from the temporal lobe, and impairments in cognition and mood (Bell et al., 2011; Butler and Zeman, 2008; Devinsky, 2004). In addition, TLE is often accompanying with hippocampal sclerosis due to a sizeable loss of neurons in the dentate hilus, and CA1 and CA3 subfields. Studies performed in various models of TLE in the last fifteen years have pointed out that altered activity of NSCs and abnormal integration of newly born neurons are some of the most conspicuous aberrant alterations observed in TLE (Hester and Danzer, 2014; Kuruba et al., 2009; Parent et al., 1997; Scharfman and Gray, 2007).

Numerous animal model studies have demonstrated greatly enhanced NSC proliferation and neurogenesis in the early phase of TLE (for 2–3 weeks following acute seizures or SE) (Bengzon et al., 1997; Hattiangady et al., 2004; Parent et al., 1997). Nonetheless, acute seizures do not modify the division of type 1 NSCs in the SGZ but promote considerable proliferation of TAPs (type 2 cells) and immature neurons (Jessberger et al., 2005). Indeed, the hippocampus from young TLE patients (< 2–4 years old) exhibits increased proliferation of NSC-like cells (Blumcke et al., 2001), indicating that the commencement of TLE in pediatric patients is likely accompanied by augmented DG neurogenesis. Although the precise processes prompting the seizure-induced surge in DG neurogenesis are unknown, several possibilities have been proposed. For instance, proliferation of types 2 and 3 cells as well as the facilitation of neuronal differentiation of newly born cells are thought to be mediated by multiple neurotrophic factors that are believed to be released from dying
neurons, deafferented neurons and reactive glia after seizures (Banerjee et al., 2005; Bugra et al., 1994; Croll et al., 2004; Lowenstein et al., 1993; Shetty et al., 2004; Shetty et al., 2003). Moreover, elevated concentrations of gamma-amino butyric acid (GABA) and neuropeptide Y (NPY) in the DG during the early post-seizure period are believed to play roles, as both GABA and NPY can considerably influence DG neurogenesis (Ge et al., 2007; Masiulis et al., 2011; Scharfman and Gray, 2006). Additionally, it has been suggested that repressor element 1-silencing transcription factor (REST, a transcriptional repressor that functions to limit transcription of target genes) plays a role in seizure-induced neurogenesis surge (Jessberger et al., 2007).

Increased proliferation of NSCs due to amplified neuronal activity during and after seizures cannot be ruled out, as increased excitatory stimuli is known to influence NSC proliferation and the production of new neurons (Deisseroth et al., 2004).

Increased DG neurogenesis in the early phase of TLE is also associated with aberrant migration of a significant fraction of newly born granule cells into the hilus and the molecular layer (Figure 6.6) (Hattiangady et al., 2004; Rao et al., 2008; Hester and Danzer, 2014; Parent et al., 1997; Scharfman et al., 2000; Scharfman and Gray, 2007; Shetty and Hattiangady, 2007). One of the likely causes of such abnormal migration is the loss or reduced levels of reelin (a migration guidance cue released from a subclass of GABA-ergic interneurons in the dentate hilus) after acute seizures (Gong et al., 2007). Because of their abnormal incorporation into the CA3 network, the ectopic newly born granule cells likely become seizure inducing “hub cells”. They seem to facilitate the development of pro-epileptogenic circuitry, as they display activation when epileptic rats are experiencing SRS and react with a longer latency to onset of evoked responses after perforant path fiber stimulation (Scharfman et al., 2003a; Scharfman et al., 2003b; Scharfman et al., 2002). It has also been shown that, these cells display basal dendrites and establish afferent connectivity with mossy fiber terminals (axons of other granule cells) (Pierce et al., 2005; Shapiro et al., 2005; Walter et al., 2007), sprout axons (mossy fibers) abnormally into the dentate inner molecular layer (Hester and Danzer, 2014), exhibit spontaneous bursts of action potentials and contribute to SRS in chronically epileptic animals (Jung et al., 2004; McCloskey et al., 2006; Scharfman et al., 2000). Hippocampal tissues obtained from patients with TLE also comprise similarly displaced granule cells (Houser, 1990).

While studies in some animal models of TLE had raised doubts about the role of ectopically placed newly born granule cells in the development of chronic TLE (Pekcec et al., 2011; Pekcec et al., 2007; Raedt et al., 2007), findings in other animal prototypes of TLE consistently supported the involvement of these cells as one of the pathophysiological changes contributing to SRS. These include observations that the frequency of SRS declines when seizure-induced neurogenesis is reduced through antimitotic agent treatment (Jung et al., 2004) and animals with fewer ectopic newly born neurons display reduced numbers of seizures than animals exhibiting large numbers of ectopic newly born neurons (McCloskey et al., 2006). Suppression of this aberrant neurogenesis may also minimize co-morbidities of TLE such as cognitive and mood dysfunction because animal studies have shown that blockage of abnormal DG neurogenesis after acute seizures can prevent seizure-induced cognitive impairments (Jessberger et al., 2007; Pekcec et al., 2008). Nonetheless, a recent study has introduced some fresh doubts about the role of seizure-induced ectopic granule cells in promoting
SRS. In this study, genetic ablation of neurogenesis that occurred in the four weeks prior to the induction of acute seizures (in nestin-thymidine kinase [nestin-TK] EGFP mice using ganciclovir treatment) resulted in reduction of chronic seizure frequency for prolonged periods and prevented epilepsy-associated cognitive dysfunction (Cho et al., 2015). Interestingly, ablation of neurogenesis that occurred in the weeks before and the weeks after acute seizure insult did not decrease the frequency of SRS. Some of this discrepancy may be attributable to the loss of proliferating...
nestin-TK+ reactive astrocytes in the hippocampus when seizure-generated new
nestin+ cells (precursors of new dentate granule cells) in the DG were ablated
(Cho et al., 2015). Another issue is that, not all seizure-generated new granule
cells take up ectopic positions. Significant numbers of seizure-generated new granule
cells migrate appropriately into the granule cell layer as in the normal brain, display
hypoexcitability (unlike ectopically placed new granule cells) and likely serve as an
inhibitory gate to prevent the propagation of seizure activity entering the hippocampus
(Iyengar et al., 2015; Murphy et al., 2011). Ablation of such normal granule cells
that are born after acute seizures may therefore cause increased epileptogenesis and
exacerbate the frequency and/or intensity of spontaneous seizures. Thus, despite a large
number of studies, the precise role of post-seizure neurogenesis in epileptogenesis
is still unclear. Advanced techniques that selectively remove/silence ectopically
placed newly born granule cells in the dentate hilus and the molecular layer but spare
appropriately integrated newly born granule cells in the GCL will be needed to address
this issue further.

The chronic phase of TLE is associated with greatly waned neurogenesis
(Hattiangady et al., 2004; Hattiangady and Shetty, 2010). An inverse relationship
has been observed between the frequency of SRS and the extent of neurogenesis
(Hattiangady et al., 2004). However, chronic epilepsy does not affect the overall
addition or long-term survival of newly born cells in the GCL but greatly reduces
the neuronal differentiation of newly born cells. Only 4–5% of newly born cells
differentiate into neurons in the chronic phase, in comparison to 73–80% of such
cells exhibiting neuronal differentiation in the intact hippocampus (Hattiangady and
Shetty, 2010). Thus, decreased neurogenesis in chronic epilepsy is a result of dramatic
declines in the neuronal fate-choice decision of newly born cells. Apart from a major
decrease, neurogenesis in the chronic phase of epilepsy maintains abnormal features
seen in the early phase, which include abnormal migration of some newly born
neurons into the hilus and occurrences of basal dendrites from newly born neurons
that incorporate into the GCL (Hattiangady and Shetty, 2008b). Decreased density
of newly born neurons positive for PSA-NCAM has also been observed in the DG
samples of children exhibiting frequent SRS and adult TLE patients (Crespel et al.,
2005; Fahrner et al., 2007; Mathern et al., 2002; Pirttila et al., 2005). Greatly waned
neurogenesis in chronic epilepsy appears to be due to multiple factors. These include
modified microenvironment of NSC niches in the SGZ including reduced levels of
neurotrophic factors (such as BDNF, FGF-2 and IGF-1) vital for NSC activity and
neuronal differentiation (Hattiangady et al., 2004; Shetty et al., 2003), diminution
in the numbers of multipotent NSCs that can generate neurons (Hattiangady and
Shetty, 2008b) and modifications in signaling pathways initiating reduced neuronal
differentiation of NSC progeny, such as an enhanced concentration of Dickkopf-1
(an inhibitor of neurogenesis promoting protein Wnt) (Busceti et al., 2007; Lie et al.,
2005). Persistence of abnormal migration of newly born neurons in the chronic phase
of epilepsy likely reflects reduced concentration of reelin (a protein that guides the
migration of newly born neurons into the GCL) due to considerable loss of reelin+
interneurons in the dentate hilus (Gong et al., 2007). Considering the functions of
hippocampal neurogenesis discussed earlier, altered neurogenesis is likely one of the
major causes of memory and mood impairments in TLE patients. This association is
supported by the finding that the overall granule cell density is a significant predictor accounting for the total memory capacity in an individual TLE patient (Pauli et al., 2006; Siebzehnrubl and Blumcke, 2008) and increased production of new neurons in the hippocampus is essential for effective action of antidepressants (Perera et al., 2007; Sahay and Hen, 2007; Santarelli et al., 2003). While application of strategies that increase neurogenesis seem appealing for easing memory and mood impairments in chronic epilepsy (Barkas et al., 2012; Shetty, 2011), the overall benefit from increasing neurogenesis in chronic epilepsy will however be contingent upon whether newly born granule cells take up positions in the GCL and establish normal connectivity with the residual CA3 pyramidal neurons. Strategies capable of not only enhancing the proliferation of NSCs and neuronal differentiation of NSC progeny but also increasing reelin levels in the hippocampus may be required to normalize neurogenesis in chronic epilepsy conditions.

**Conclusions**

Neural stem cells that endure in most regions of the adult brain exist in a state of quiescence whereas NSCs in the SGZ of the hippocampus and the SVZ lining the lateral ventricles maintain significant proliferative activity and generate new neurons throughout life. In the human brain, the hippocampus neurogenesis is widespread and adds nearly 700 neurons per day whereas the SVZ neurogenesis is limited to contributing some medium sized spiny neurons to the striatum. Furthermore, from a functional perspective, NSCs in the adult hippocampus have received greater attention because neurons generated from these cells continually get incorporated into the adult hippocampus circuitry and influence functions such as memory and mood. Proliferation of NSCs and neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus are regulated by multiple physiological stimuli and signaling pathways. The process of neurogenesis by NSCs and the behavior of newly born neurons are also sensitive to pathological environment. Altered behaviors of NSCs and/or newly born neurons have been shown to contribute to functional impairments in aging and several neurological disorders. Neural stem cells in the adult hippocampus appear suitable as therapeutic targets for the treatment of hippocampus dysfunction in aging, early stages of the AD, depressive disorders and chronic epilepsy because their stimulation and the resulting increased neurogenesis would likely be beneficial for improving both memory and mood function.
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Neural Stem Cells and Transplantation
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Introduction

Neural Stem cells are immature, undifferentiated, pluripotent cells that can multiply and differentiate into specialized cell types (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Martin, 1981). Transplantation of these stem cells or their derivatives, and mobilization of already endogenous stem cells within the adult brain have both been proposed as future therapies for neurodegenerative diseases. These treatments became an area of intense research globally due to the hope that they can lead to recovery in function for millions of people. Prior to this, researching cures for loss of function due to neuron death or neural degeneration was at best not very promising, as mature neurons do not multiply. Because fully differentiated neurons in higher organisms have unique morphological, physiological and biochemical properties, they are generally post-mitotic and will not divide to replace dead or injured cells (Currais et al., 2009). As a result neuronal loss either from degeneration or injury leads to irreparable functional loss. Recent advances in stem cell research offer a hope of recovery in function for millions of people suffering worldwide. This chapter focusses on the existing need for stem cells, the advancement in techniques used to differentiate stem cells into specific neuron types, the results from transplantation in animal models, human clinical trials and the challenges yet to be overcome.

Professor of Neurobiology, P.O.Box: 599, Department of Biological Sciences, Arkansas State University, Jonesboro, AR 72401.
E-mail: msrcivatsan@astate.edu
The Growing Need for Stem Cell-derived Neurons and Glial Cells for Transplantation Therapy

The World Health Organization reports that 250,000 to 500,000 people suffer a spinal cord injury (SCI) each year (WHO Fact sheet N°384). About 276,000 Americans currently suffer from spinal cord injuries and each year 12,500 new patients are added to this list (National SCI Statistical center data 2014). In addition about 300,000 patients are disabled permanently due to traumatic brain injury (TBI, DoD report 2014). Injury and death of neurons in the central nervous system (CNS) lead to permanent loss of function in these otherwise healthy individuals.

As life expectancy is increasing worldwide, the number of patients suffering from age-related neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Parkinson’s disease (PD), Huntington’s disease (HD), Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) and stroke is also increasing. Approximately 44 million patients worldwide are suffering from AD and associated dementia and this number is expected to triple by the year 2050 (World Alzheimer’s report 2014). AD is an irreversible, progressive disease that affects memory and leads to cognitive decline and behavioral and psychiatric disorders for approximately 5 million sufferers in America (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services report 2013). The loss of neurons, predominantly cholinergic, along with the presence of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles is the hallmarks of AD (NIA report 2014). Like AD, PD is a frightening and irreversible neurodegenerative disorder. It was estimated that the number of individuals over 50 years of age with PD was between 4.1 and 4.6 million in the most populous nations in 2005 and was projected to more than double to between 8.7 and 9.3 million by 2030 (Dorsey et al., 2007). Degeneration of nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons, the main pathology in PD, causes its trademark impairment in movement. PD symptoms may include tremors, slowed movement (bradykinesia), rigidity of muscles, impaired posture/balance and loss of automatic movements. Yet another traumatic neurodegenerative disease is ALS, also known as Lou Gehrig’s disease. It is a progressive motor neuron disease (MND) that results from the degeneration of neurons that control voluntary movement. Patients exhibit muscle weakness and paralysis, as well as impaired speaking, swallowing, and breathing and have a life expectancy of two to five years from the onset of symptoms. In the USA the prevalence of ALD/MND is around four patients per 100,000 people; thus about 12,000 Americans are currently suffering from ALS (Mehta et al., 2014). HD is an autosomal dominant genetic disorder that affects muscle coordination and leads to mental decline and behavioral symptoms. HD results from neuronal destruction in the caudate nucleus, located within the basal ganglia. The disorder is more prevalent in Europe, North America, and Australia than in Asia (Pringsheim et al., 2012). It is estimated that approximately 30,000 people in America suffer from HD and 16% of all cases are estimated to be juvenile HD. Strokes are the fourth leading cause of death in the US and each year about 750,000 Americans suffer from them. Although the risk of a stroke increases with age, strokes can occur at any age (CDC Fact sheet 2014). During a stroke, neurons in affected areas of brain die due to lack of blood supply. This leads to loss of function associated with the affected region of the brain. Apart from these major neurological disorders, degenerative changes in
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The glial cells can also lead to diseases such as multiple sclerosis (MS). Degeneration of oligodendrocytes impairs the myelin sheath surrounding axons, normally used to help conduct electrical signals through them. Axons that have been demyelinated tend to atrophy and eventually degenerate. MS is the most common demyelinating disease and both genetic and environmental factors appear to contribute to this disease which is generally classified as an autoimmune disorder (Barcellos et al., 2002; Marrie, 2004; Weiner, 2004). Approximately 400,000 people in the US and 2.5 million worldwide suffer from MS (National MS society data). The neurodegeneration that causes these disorders impairs function in many different ways for patients, and negatively affects quality of life for both patients and caregivers through symptoms and rising health care costs. This crisis is forcing researchers to quickly find ways to treat functional loss due to death or degeneration of neurons and oligodendroglia. Since each of these disorders requires a specific type of neuron for transplantation therapy, in recent years, a promising strategy has been to try to differentiate stem cells into neurons of specific phenotype or oligodendrocytes so that specific types of dead/injured neurons or oligodendrocytes can be replaced with live ones (Mitrecic et al., 2012).

Differentiating Specific Types of Neurons and Oligodendrocytes

With the availability of genomic data, high-throughput screening methods and embryonic stem (ES) cell lines, molecular mechanisms underlying the differentiation of specific nervous system cells have been identified. Access to human ES cells has allowed the characterization of these cells and is currently driving attempts to differentiate them into specific cell types and populations. While we first came to know about the pluripotent ES cells obtained from mouse embryos in 1981 (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Martin, 1981) it took another 17 years to see the first published report on human ES cell lines (Thomson et al., 1998). Since the publication of these reports we have learned that embryonic (Cai and Grabel, 2007; Carpenter et al., 2001; Dhara et al., 2008; Robertson et al., 2008) and adult stem cells (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2008; Alvarez-Buylla and Garcia-Verdugo, 2002; Merkle et al., 2007) have the potential to differentiate into cell types, which may be able to replace injured neurons or promote repair in regions of injury or degeneration in the nervous system. Recent advances in the understanding of the differentiation process have allowed us to generate induced, pluripotent stem cells (iPS cells) by inducing de-differentiation and reprogramming of adult cells into stem cells with the integration of pluripotency-associated genes such as Oct4, Sox2, Klf4, c-myc, Lin28 and Nanog (Takahashi et al., 2007; Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006); this conveniently avoids the many ethical, religious, and political challenges of retrieving stem cells from human embryos. In addition to replacing dead neurons, stem cells might be able to synthesize and release growth factors that could support the remaining neuronal populations and even stimulate intrinsic regenerative mechanisms within the nervous system. Understanding the development of the nervous system during normal embryonic development has shown that morphogens such as the bone morphogenetic protein, sonic hedgehog (Shh), fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) and retinoic acid (RA) induce neuronal specification by interacting in specific time frames (Bally-Cuif and Hammerschmidt, 2003; Briscoe and Ericson, 2001; Jessell, 2000).
Further, tightly regulated temporal and spatial expressions and releases of neurotrophins and the presence of their receptors on differentiating cells appear to promote differentiation to the next step of phenotype determination. These neurotrophins belong to a family of growth factors that include nerve growth factor (NGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), neurotrophin-3 (NT-3) and neurotrophin-4 (NT-4), which all play crucial roles in the development of the nervous system (Chao, 2003) by binding to and activating receptor tyrosine kinases (Trk) and the p75 neurotrophin receptor (p75NTR). Taking the cues from the findings of studies on normal embryonic development of the nervous system, researchers have used these neurotrophins on human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) and have found that neurotrophic factors can mediate hESCs survival and also modulate their fate (Pyle et al., 2006; Schuldiner et al., 2000).

While several cell biology procedures, e.g., the use of a cocktail of growth factors, are being used to promote neuronal differentiation of stem cells, some challenges in this field remain: getting an adequate number of cells capable of differentiation into a specific cell type through cell division (Armstrong and Syendsen, 2000; Bithell and Williams, 2005) and arresting their cell division to prevent cancer development once transplantation occurs (Molofsky et al., 2003; Rossi et al., 2008). Additional concerns specific to neurons are how to drive the stem cells to differentiate into neurons, as most differentiate into glial cells (Bithell and Williams, 2005) and making sure they will grow axons to the required length, recognize appropriate targets to make synapses, and exhibit optimal electrical activity and conduction for impulse transmission (Armstrong and Syendsen, 2000). Research is progressing at a rapid pace to address these concerns and in the last few years much progress has been made in successfully differentiating stem cells into specific neuron types and also in transplanting them, mostly in animal models.

**Cholinergic neurons from stem cells**

Cholinergic neurons (CNs) in the basal fore brain, which release acetylcholine as the neurotransmitter and innervate the hippocampus and cortex, are primarily affected in AD. Therefore researchers are interested in generating CNs from stem cells to develop novel therapies for AD including transplantation. Expression of specific homeobox genes and exposure to neurotrophins seem to drive cholinergic neuronal differentiation. Manabe et al. reported that the expression of L3/Lhx8, a member of the LIM-homeobox gene family, was necessary for neurons to develop a cholinergic phenotype while other studies revealed the role of neurotrophins in cholinergic differentiation (Manabe et al., 2007). Nilbratt et al. found that hESCs expressed neurotrophin receptors (Nilbratt et al., 2010). In their study, stimulation with NT-3, NGF and CNTF neurotrophic factors induced hESCs to differentiate into cholinergic neurons that expressed the cholinergic enzyme choline acetyltransferase, cholinergic α3, α4 and α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits (nAChR) and M1, M2 and M3 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (mAChR) subunits as shown in Figure 7.1. These receptors were functional because exposure to ACh resulted in elevated cytosolic calcium levels which were abolished by the mAChR antagonist scopolamine.
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Using a slightly different strategy, Cristopher et al. converted hESCs through exposure to RA into neural progenitor cells which in turn were differentiated into CNs when exposed to Shh, FGF8 and bone morphogenetic protein 9 (BMP9) (Christopher et al., 2011) as BMP9 induces the expression of cholinergic markers in cultured murine septal progenitors (Lopez-Coviella et al., 2005). It should be noted that the effect of BMP9 on cholinergic differentiation during the development of murine brain is both spatially and temporally limited, being effective only in the septal neurogenesis during the E14-E16 period of development (Lopez-Coviella et al., 2000). These researchers also found that nucleofection of the neural progenitor neurosphere cells with DNA, which encodes Lhx8 and Gbx1, also resulted in cholinergic differentiation. In a later study Crompton et al. expressed basal forebrain transcription factors NKX2.1 and LHX8, as well as the general forebrain marker FOXG1 while inducing the intrinsic hedgehog signaling in human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) using a 3D non-adherent differentiation system (Crompton et al., 2013). Upon differentiation, these self-renewing cells exhibited a cholinergic phenotype expressing the specific markers choline acetyl transferase (ChAT), vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VACht) and LIM homeodomain factor ISL1. These hPSC-derived CNs released ACh and demonstrated a
functional cholinergic electrophysiological profile. Most recently Adib et al. described a tissue engineering method to first differentiate human bone marrow stromal cells (hBMSCs) into human neural stem cells (hNSCs) and the subsequent differentiation of hNSCs into CNs by sequential replacement of culturing media (Adib et al., 2015). They generated cell aggregates from hBMSCs using basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF) and B27 supplement (Invitrogen). The hNSCs were isolated from the generated cell aggregates and differentiated into CNs using culture medium in which bFGF, EGF and B27 were gradually replaced with NGF.

**Cholinergic spinal motor neurons from stem cells**

There is a growing interest in differentiation of stem cells into motor neurons (MNs) of the spinal cord since this can lead to a cure for paralysis from spinal cord injury or treatment of ALS, a degenerative disorder affecting motor neurons. While studies on embryonic development of spinal motor neurons (MNs) have outlined the pathways of their differentiation (Jessell, 2000; Lee and Pfaff, 2001), RA-mediated differentiation of ES cells from the CCE ES cell line derived from 129/Sv mouse strain (Robertson et al., 1986) led to findings that showed that the combinatorial expression of transcription factors of the LIM family (Islet-1, Islet-2, Lim-3) and homeobox gene 9 (HB-9) characterizes the spinal motor neurons (Renoncourt et al., 1998). Subsequently Harper et al. derived motor neurons from mouse ES cells, co-cultured them with C2C12 myoblasts (ATCC) and reported that the ES cell-derived motoneurons grew long axons, established neuromuscular junctions, and induced muscle contractions in vitro (Harper et al., 2004). In the following years, Lee et al. differentiated hESCs into neural rosettes and subsequently into motor neurons by culturing them in an N2 medium supplemented with ascorbic acid (AA) and BDNF in the presence of RA/SHH (Lee et al., 2007). These cells were confirmed to be motor neurons based on their morphological, physiological, biochemical and gene expression profiles. To avoid immune rejection, recent research is focusing on deriving patient-specific iPSCs and differentiating them into phenotype-specific neurons for treatment considerations. Dimos et al. reprogrammed skin fibroblasts collected from an 82-year-old ALS patient into iPSCs and then successfully differentiated them into motor neurons using the sonic Shh signaling pathway as an agonist and RA (Dimos et al., 2008). These procedures take up to 60 days for generating a functional motor neuron and in order to shorten this length of time, Hester et al. developed an adenoviral gene delivery system by encoding transcription factors neurogenin 2 (Ngn2), Isl-1, and LIM/homeobox protein 3 (Lhx3) to hESCs from the HSF-6 hESC cell line (Hester et al., 2011). They also repeated the gene delivery approach with hiPSCs derived from human fibroblasts using retroviral vectors expressing the four Yamanaka factors (OCT3/4, KLF-4, SOX2, and c-MYC). The gene delivery approach induced differentiation into functional MNs with mature electrophysiological properties in both the hESCs and in the hiPSCs, 11 days after gene delivery, with 60–70% differentiation efficiency from hESCs and hiPSCs. Although this approach significantly reduces the time required to generate functional MNs, it is important to determine if the MN identity will be retained after silencing the viral-mediated transcription factor expression upon differentiation. A recent study addresses some of these issues (Amoroso et al., 2013) by providing a non-viral
method to produce motor neurons with relatively high yield and rapidity. Amoroso et al. also introduced automated procedures for cell identification and colony selection, thus minimizing individual judgment errors (Amoroso et al., 2013). They exposed hESCs and hiPSCs to higher concentration of RA and two Shh pathway activators, purmorphamine and mouse agonist SAG. In three weeks of differentiation a motor neuron yield of 50% was obtained. This protocol used by Amoroso et al. is virus-free and therefore may be better suited for translational applications.

Dopaminergic neurons from stem cells

Generating dopaminergic (DA) neurons has great value in cell replacement/transplantation therapy for PD. Furthermore, these cells will be extremely useful for determining the role of potential therapeutic agents in in vitro assays. Functional DA neurons can also help in the in vitro studies on understanding the mechanism of action of drugs of abuse. A major constraint for the above needs has been the limited availability of human cells for both basic and therapeutic research. Therefore substantial research efforts have been directed towards successful dopaminergic differentiation. Earlier studies demonstrated that DA neurons could be generated from mouse ES cells when they were transplanted into the rat striatum resulting in proliferation of ES cells into fully differentiated functional DA neurons. ES cell-derived DA neurons caused gradual and sustained behavioral restoration of DA-mediated motor asymmetry (Bjorklund et al., 2002). Researchers probing the mechanisms underlying differentiation of DA neurons showed that the expression or presence of transcription factors Nurr1, Ngn2, FoxA2 and other factors such as Bel-Xl, Ptx3 were required (Ang, 2006; Besnard et al., 2004; Courtois et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2003; Lebel et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2010; Sakurada et al., 1999). Schulz and collaborators reported the successful differentiation of hESCs to form neurons expressing markers of midbrain DA neurons adapting a serum-free suspension culture system (Schulz et al., 2004). Their results showed large networks of TH-positive neurons in the aggregates in suspension. In the following year DA neurons were efficiently generated by applying Shh and FGF8 in a specific sequence (Yan et al., 2005). With the growing interest in the use of patients’ own iPSCs, several reports showed successful generation of midbrain DA neurons with hiPSC- and PD-derived iPSCs through protocols using FGF8a, WNT1, and a low concentration of RA combined with a use of Shh that belonged to the high activity form (Cooper et al., 2010; Hargus et al., 2010). Boyer et al. described a combination of two protocols for differentiating hPS and hES cells into DA neurons (Boyer et al., 2012). The two protocols included first leading the stem cells into rosette formation and then using methods to inhibit alternate differentiation pathways.

More recent research shows that dopaminergic neurons can be generated by treating hESCs with LIM homeobox transcription factor 1 alpha (LMX1A) which is a master protein that regulates the development of the midbrain neurons (Fathi et al., 2015).

Researchers have been exploring stem cell sources other than neural stem cells for generating DA neurons. Once studies established the presence of mesenchymal stem cells in the umbilical cord, interest in storing cord blood has soared resulting in proliferation of cord blood banks. Yan et al. reported a strategy to differentiate umbilical
cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells into DA neurons in vitro by transfecting Lmx1α and NTN genes through a combination of a recombinant adenovirus with the Lmx1α regulatory factor (Yan et al., 2013). Due to the proximity of embryonic origin and the ease of collection, Chang et al. (Chang et al., 2014) used dental pulp stem cells and showed that it would be possible to differentiate dental pulp stem cells into DA neurons.

### Stem Cells and Transplantation

In the past twenty to thirty years, cell transplantation as a strategy to treat neurodegenerative disorders or brain and spinal cord injury has gained support due to the lack of a successful long term pharmaceutical or surgical treatment for these conditions. Initially researchers tried transplanting mostly murine ESCs into animal models which was followed by transplanting hESCs into animal models, the success of which has led to a few clinical trials involving transplantation into human CNS.

### Transplantation for Parkinson’s disease

Although brain stem and cortical areas may also be affected in PD, the neuronal population that is primarily affected is DA neurons of the substantia nigra,
so transplantation of DA neurons is considered effective treatment for PD. Following several years of developing protocols for differentiation and transplantation in animal models it appears that the time has come to consider transplantation of hPSC and hESC-derived DA neurons, now headed for clinical trials, as a promising approach in humans. The support for the idea of hPSC-derived DA cell transplantation therapy came from successful studies using animal models as long as thirty years ago. These studies have evaluated the effects of transplantation of fetal mesencephalic tissue containing nigral DA cells into the striatum of rodents and non-human primates (Bjorklund et al., 1980; Perlow et al., 1979; Studer et al., 1998). Behavioral studies showed that
following transplantation, recovery with regards to rotational behavior was observed in response to amphetamine in 6-OHDA lesioned animals (Bjorklund et al., 2002) and in unilateral substantia nigra lesioned rats (Studer et al., 1998). The success of these animal studies led to clinical trials in which fetal mesencephalic tissue was transplanted in patients with PD (Lindvall et al., 1994; Ma et al., 2010). Although significant benefit to the patients were reported, questions remain with regards to the efficacy of these transplantations due to the occurrence of dyskinesias (Isacson and Kordower, 2008), thought to result from contaminating serotonergic neurons in the cell graft (Politis et al., 2010). The transplanted fetal cells were reported to survive for four years, yet the motor ratings declined (Ma et al., 2010) suggesting that the transplanted cells might undergo progressive neurodegeneration. Further concerns about the availability of enough fetal tissue indicate that fetal tissue transplantation may not be feasible as a treatment option for PD patients. This indeed emphasizes the need for DA neurons derived from stem cells for transplantation.

Transplantation of stem cell-derived DA neurons has yielded promising results. Kim et al. reported that DA neurons derived from mouse ES cells were functional in Parkinsonian rats (Kim et al., 2002). Recently Kim et al. converted mouse-tail tip fibroblasts into DA neurons which when transplanted alleviated symptoms in a mouse model of PD (Kim et al., 2011). In a study that followed, Hayashi et al. derived dopaminergic neurons from bone marrow stem cells in monkeys and differentiated them into DA neurons (Hayashi et al., 2013). The bone marrow donor macaques were treated with MPTP to induce hemiparkinsonism and then received an autologous transplant of the differentiated DA neurons. Monkeys that received the transplant showed significant improvement in motor function. The company NeuroGeneration Inc., that has trial sites in California, Italy and Estonia cultures biopsied brain tissue from PD patients to expand neural SCs and differentiates them for autologous transplantation. The differentiated mixed neurons and glial cell populations are then implanted at multiple sites in the post-commissural putamen of patients. Patients who were thus treated were reported to show some motor recovery and increased dopamine uptake in the transplanted putamen (Lévesque et al., 2009).

Transplantation for Alzheimer’s disease

Early experiments involved transplanting embryonic or fetal cells into animal models of AD. Transplantation of murine septal precursors, porcine cholinergic precursors, and the human embryonic septal/diagonal band region into the hippocampus of rodents resulted in a stable engraftment of the introduced cells, some of which differentiated into cholinergic neurons (LeBlanc et al., 1999; Nilsson et al., 1988). Although this method did not provide enough cells for transplantation, these studies demonstrated the potential for transplanted exogenous basal forebrain CNs to ameliorate memory deficits in adult cortex. As in vitro propagation of SCs became possible, researchers began to use SCs for transplantation. Blurton-Jones et al. reported that NSCs transplanted in the hippocampus of a mouse model of AD improved memory deficits through a BDNF mediated response (Blurton-Jones et al., 2009). In addition, observations from animal studies have indicated that the transplanted SCs migrated and differentiated
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into cholinergic neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes. Kern et al. described the implantation of neural SCs into the hippocampus of aged Down syndrome mice (Ts65Dn mouse model) resulting in a significant reduction in the tau/reelin-positive extra cellular granules in the hippocampal area (Kern et al., 2011). To address if differentiated and transplanted basal forebrain CNs would make functional synaptic connections in the host cortex, researchers used FACS-purified populations of basal forebrain CNs differentiated from hESCs and engrafted in cultured entorhinal-hippocampal murine cortical slices. The cells extended long axonal projections and synapsed with host neurons in the cortical slices successfully as revealed by electrophysiology (Christopher et al., 2011). This was followed by in vivo experiments. Liu et al. differentiated hESCs to a uniform population of homeobox gene NKX2.1 (also known as thyroid transcription factor1)/medial ganglionic eminence (NKX2.1+ MGE)-like progenitor cells (Liu et al., 2013). After transplantation into the hippocampus of mice whose basal forebrain CNs and some GABA neurons in the medial septum had been destroyed by mu P75-saporin, human MGE-like progenitors produced basal forebrain CNs that made synapses with endogenous neurons; mice transplanted with MGE-like cells showed improvements in learning and memory. Menachem-Zidon et al. altered the neural SCs to overexpress the IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1raTG) and then transplanted them into the hippocampus in a murine model of AD (Tg2576 mice) (Ben-Menachem-Zidon et al., 2014). This resulted in an improvement in memory functioning and neurogenesis in the hippocampus. Transplantation of new SCs can also lead to functional recovery through indirect means. This became obvious when adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells were transplanted into the hippocampi of APP/PS1 transgenic Alzheimer’s disease model mice. The transplantation led to formation of new neuroblasts in the hippocampi of these mice coinciding with reduced cognitive impairment and oxidative stress (Yan et al., 2014).

**Transplantation for spinal cord injury and ALS**

In October of 2014 there was sensational headlines about 40 year old Darek Fidyka from Poland walking again (medical news, 2014) after being paralyzed for four years due to a stab wound that injured his spinal cord in the thoracic region. This recovery happened because surgeons transplanted olfactory ensheathing cells (stem cells from the nose) forming a successful bridge at the injury site, resulting in a promising result for research on stem cell transplantation. In injury cases, often the patient is otherwise a healthy individual without any pathology till the time of injury and this healthy body environment may support SCs ability to bridge. This is a culmination of several years of research and trials beginning with animal models. An early study showed that transplantation of \textit{in vitro}-expanded rat fetal neural progenitor cells led to neurogenesis and motor functional recovery after spinal cord contusion injury in adult rats (Ogawa et al., 2002). In 2004 Harper et al. reported that they transplanted motor neuron-committed mouse ES cells (from HB9-GFP-transgenic mouse) into an adult rat’s spinal cord and found that the transplanted cells became motor neurons that were able to survive long term (Harper et al., 2004). The axonal growth from these new motor neurons was inhibited by myelin and was overcome by pharmacologic treatment of host animals either with...
dibutyrylcAMP or with Y27632. Following this, another study (Lee et al., 2007) explored if hESC transplants could also survive long term. Their results presented evidence for in vivo survival of hESC-derived motoneurons in adult rats’ spinal cords encouraging further research on hESC-based transplantation for motoneuron diseases. Following the success in rats, transplantation was extended to non-human primates. Iwanami et al. expanded human neural progenitor cells in culture and transplanted them into the spinal cord of adult marmosets nine days after they had cervical contusion SCIs (Iwanami et al., 2005). Not only did the graft differentiate into neurons and glial cells but it also appeared to improve motor function in the marmosets. A recent paper by Lu et al. showed that rat and human neural stem cells injected into a rat’s spinal cord injury site were able to engraft into the tissue and integrate into host circuitry (Figure 7.4) to promote functional recovery (Lu et al., 2012).

The breakthrough that olfactory mucosa is an important and readily available source of progenitor cells for neural repair has directed the transplantation of olfactory stem cells to spinal cord injured patients (Lima et al., 2006). Olfactory mucosa autografts were transplanted into lesions at the spinal cord C4–T6 levels in seven patients. All the patients showed improved motor functions. The side effects included a transient pain, relieved with medication, and decrease in sensory perception. In a phase I clinical trial Tabakow et al. reported that one year after the transplantation of autologous mucosal olfactory ensheathing cells and olfactory nerve fibroblasts in patients with complete spinal cord injury, both sensory and motor functions improved and there was evidence for spinal cord neural transmission (Tabakow et al., 2013). These results help conclude that intraspinal transplantation of autologous olfactory ensheathing cells is feasible and probably safe.

Neural stem Inc. (Rockville, MD, USA) has developed NSI-566 cells from human spinal cord progenitor cells for transplantation. In preclinical animal studies, rats with surgically transected spinal cords, rendered permanently and completely paraplegic, were reported to recover significant locomotor function regaining movement in all lower extremity joints after transplantation (van Gorp et al., 2013). Neural stem cells also express nerve growth factors that can help in regeneration. Neural stem started surgeries in a Phase I trial of its NSI-566 neural stem cells for chronic spinal cord injury (cSCI) patients at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine in September 2014. The success of this trial can lead to more such transplantation treatment options available for patients in USA.

Neural stem cell-based transplantation for ALS

Since it is currently possible to differentiate SCs into motor neurons, naturally researchers want to be able to apply this treatment to ALS. Intrathecal transplantation of human motor neurons derived from neural SCs in the spinal cord of the SOD1G93A mouse ALS model was reported to delay the onset of the disease and extend life span in these animals (Lee, 2014). Similarly intraspinal grafting of human neural precursor cells in ALS rats showed improvements in motor neuron survival and motor function due to the ability of transplanted NPCs to integrate into the spinal cord, differentiate, and form functional synapses with host motor neurons (Xu et al., 2006).
Figure 7.4. (From Lu et al., 2012) Extensive Long-Distance Axonal Outgrowth from Neural Stem Cell Grafts. (A) GFP and NeuN immunolabeling reveals that GFP-expressing neural stem cell grafts robustly extend axons into the host spinal cord rostral and caudal to the T3 complete transection site (caudal shown) over the 12 mm length of the horizontal section. Extensive regions of the host spinal cord contain graft-derived projections in white matter and gray matter. Inset shows that GFP-labeled projections arising from grafts express neurofilament (NF), confirming their identity as axons. (B) Light-level GFP immunolabeling also clearly reveals the density and distribution of axons extending from the lesion site. Boxes are shown at higher magnification in C, D. (C) Dense numbers of GFP-labeled axons are present in host white matter (WM) and gray matter (GM) 2 mm caudal to the lesion, and (D) large numbers of axons remain at the end of the block of tissue, 6 mm caudal to the lesion. (E) A high density of GFP-labeled axons is present in the lateral portion of C8, three spinal segments above the lesion, and (F) remain detectable at C4, 7 spinal segments above the lesion. Asterisk indicates locations of higher magnification view. (G) GFP-labeled axons also extend in dense numbers caudally to T6 white matter and gray matter (shown) and (H) caudally to L1. Overall, axons extend at least 25 mm in each direction. Scale bar: A–B, 600 μm; B–C, 40 μm; D–G, 10 μm (Reproduced with permission from Elsevier).
Several other studies showed similar beneficial effects on animal models of ALS (Lunn et al., 2014). These promising results led to human clinical trials (Feldman et al., 2014; Gordon, 2013). Feldman et al. described two critical clinical observations of hSC transplant in ALS patients, (i) repeated intra-spinal injection of hSC into the same patients appeared to be beneficial for treating ALS without causing any severe side effects and (ii) some of the patients without bulbar palsy and early transplant during ALS development showed significant reduction in the development of the disease (Feldman et al., 2014). In a clinical trial in China multiple treatments of olfactory ensheathing cell transplants appeared to promote functional improvement indicating the safety and feasibility of multiple injections to the fragile ALS spine (Chen et al., 2012). Following the success of a phase I clinical trial (Feldman et al., 2014), Neuralstem Inc. has recently launched a phase II clinical trial for transplantation treatment of ALS patients at three centers (Emory University Hospital in Atlanta, Georgia, ALS Clinic at the University of Michigan Health System, in Ann Arbor, Michigan, and Massachusetts General Hospital in Boston—See more details at: http://www.neuralstem.com/cell-therapy-for-als).

**Transplantation for Huntington’s disease and strokes**

Only a few attempts have been made for transplantation for treating Huntington’s disease and stroke victims. When striatal grafts were performed, Huntington’s patients developed post-graft subdural hematomas, most likely from the graft being in the heavily atrophied basal ganglia (Hauser et al., 2002). A subarachnoidal injection of neural and hematopoietic SCs in stroke patients resulted in significant improvement in function without serious side effects (Bang et al., 2005; Rabinovich et al., 2005). Progressively, neurological deficits have decreased without any adverse side effects in cerebral infarcted patients when treated with intravenous mesenchymal stem cell infusion (Rabinovich et al., 2005).

**Challenges facing transplantation therapy**

Although SCs and, especially iPSCs, are a blessing for patients with incurable neurological disorders, SCs are associated with several challenges in clinical practice. Firstly, stem cell properties such as self-renewal and plasticity are also characteristics of cancer cells and the possibility of patients developing cancer after stem cell transplantation is a dangerous and unacceptable side effect. Dopaminergic neurons made from mouse embryonic stem cells were transplanted into Parkinson’s mice and provided some decrease in symptoms, but 20% of the mice receiving the ESCs died from teratoma formation (Nishimura et al., 2003). In all of these rats the cells began to lose their specialization and grew uncontrollably resulting in tumors (Roy et al., 2006). Other concerns specific to iPSCs are chromosomal aberrations and epigenetic modifications which have been reported to occur during reprogramming and may be maintained through differentiation (Lister et al., 2011; Mayshar et al., 2010). Currently we do not know what the effect of these mutations and aberrations will be on the physiology or safety of differentiated cells or whether they will persist after extended
culture of an iPSC line (Hussein et al., 2011). In addition, the differentiation potential of iPSC lines may change over time in culture, requiring careful characterization of differentiated cells to ensure consistency and reproducibility (Koehler et al., 2011). A third challenge is immune rejection in case of allogenic SCs grafts (Reddy et al., 2009) requiring immunosuppressive treatment to avoid an immune response against the transplant and the consequent risk of infections. We also have to ask if these new transplanted cells will survive and be functional over time in a patient’s brain that has developed the pathology and thus is not a normal internal environment. Current reports show conflicting results from very low to moderate survival rates with some patients showing dramatic improvements for a certain period, whereas others do not (Kim et al., 2011). Yet another area that requires investigation is the effect of neurotransmitters on the newly differentiated transplants. For example, during development as well as in adult neurogenesis, transmitters play a role in cell division and differentiation (Ge et al., 2007). Serotonin has been reported to play a role in modulating neural SC behavior in the hippocampus (Santarelli et al., 2003). Another study showed that fluoxetine, a specific serotonin re-uptake inhibitor commonly used to treat depression, selectively affected the proliferation of immature neuroblasts (Encinas et al., 2006).

Summary

Rapid advances in stem cell research have paved the way for propagation of stem cells in culture, differentiation of these cells into phenotype specific subsets of neurons, and generation of iPSCs for autologous transplantation. This has led to a few clinical trials. Spinal cord and brain injury appears to be a more promising area for transplantation because these patients have had a normal physiology and no neural pathology before their injuries; they have a healthy internal environment for the graft to survive and function. Long term observation and evaluation of transplanted experimental animals as well as patients are required to make sure that the transplantation does not lead to aberrations or tumor formation.
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Introduction

Brain development is temporally and regionally determined by multiple processes (Purves and Williams, 2001). During development, stem and progenitor cells undergo progressive changes leading to fully differentiated neurons and glial cells, with characteristic molecular expression patterns, morphological and functional heterogeneity (Gritti and Bonfanti, 2007). From early neural commitment and neural...
tube formation, the main developmental steps are neurogenesis, cell migration, synaptogenesis, gliogenesis and myelination. In exposure to toxicants, any of these developmental steps can be considered to be specific windows of vulnerability.

The complex architecture of the adult central nervous system (CNS) is made up of three main neuroectodermal cell types: neurons and two types of glial cells; astrocytes and oligodendrocytes. Cell-cell interactions—neuron-glia and glia-glia—are involved in initiating and orchestrating critical developmental steps. For example, neurogenesis, migration, survival and differentiation, as well as axonal growth, synapse formation and function depend on neuron-astrocyte interactions (Stipursky et al., 2012), whereas myelin formation is regulated by neuron-oligodendrocyte interactions (White and Kramer-Albers, 2014). The behaviour of oligodendrocyte precursor cells during development is influenced by cues secreted by astrocytes (Clemente et al., 2013), for example, astrocyte produced platelet-derived growth factor-AA (PDGF-AA) coordinates the timing of oligodendrogliaogenesis (Durand and Raff, 2000). Brain development is also depending on a fourth cell type; microglia. These immune cells of the brain originate from primitive macrophages produced by the yolk sack and enter the brain during the embryonic period (Gomez Perdiguero et al., 2013). Even though their exact role during brain development has yet to be described, microglia seem to affect processes associated with neuronal proliferation and differentiation. Furthermore, in addition to playing a role in synaptic management, microglia are important for the pruning of dying neurons and in the clearance of debris (Harry, 2013). Cell differentiation during development relies not only on the intrinsic properties of cell progenitors but also on the extracellular environment, where the extracellular matrix (ECM) plays a critical role. For instance, microglial cells can modify the neural produced ECM to facilitate axonal pathfinding (Chamak et al., 1994). Furthermore, astrocyte derived ECM can be used by oligodendrocyte precursor cells as substrate for migration (Clemente et al., 2013). Released factors, such as neurotrophic factors of glial origin (Heacock et al., 1986), neurotransmitters (Gaiarsa and Porcher, 2013; Holmes et al., 1997), chemokines (Adler et al., 2005), or cytokines with neurotrophic activity (Garden and Moller, 2006) can also mediate cell-cell communications regulating brain maturation.

Neurotoxicant-induced perturbations of these cell interactions will affect the establishment of brain structures and their relationships. The extension of these effects will depend on dose, duration, and developmental period of toxicant exposure. In addition, the consequences of neurotoxicant exposure can manifest both in a short-term perspective, i.e., immediately after exposure (e.g., during gestation, or at birth), or in a longer-term perspective, breaching a timespan from childhood until aging adults. The latter suggests that toxicant induced adverse effects could remain concealed over a long time period, and may only manifest when brain cognitive function is challenged, or with age-related decrements in neurological function (Barone et al., 1995). This theory was put forward by Landrigan and coworkers in 2014, propounding that neurodegenerative disease could, in part, originate from a neurotoxicant exposure during brain development. Indeed, epidemiological studies and meta-analyses of animal experimental data reported long-term effects after exposure to the following toxicants: lead, methylmercury, polychlorinated biphenyls, arsenic, toluene, manganese, fluoride, chlorpyrifos, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane,
tetrachloroethylene and the polybrominated diphenyl ethers (for review, (Grandjean and Landrigan, 2014a; Grandjean and Landrigan, 2014b)). Among these long-term, or delayed effects, are neurobehavioral disturbances such as attention-deficit and hyperactivity, which were observed both in adult rats (Moreira et al., 2001) and in children (Finkelstein et al., 1998) following lead exposure during pregnancy and lactation. An underlying mechanism for such effects might be the impairment of synapse formation and plasticity (Neal et al., 2010; Stansfield et al., 2012). Another process known to have long-term consequences is neuroinflammation, and several classes of neurotoxicants are known to trigger a neuroinflammatory process driven by microglial- and astrocyte reactivities (Monnet-Tschudi et al., 2007). Indeed, the triggering of brain inflammation during gestation through the peripheral injection of a viral mimic in pregnant mice has shown to lead to increased deposition of amyloid peptide and tau hyperphosphorylation—hallmarks of Alzheimer’s disease—in the aging offspring (Krstic et al., 2012). Furthermore, developmental exposure of rats and monkeys to lead acetate showed a delayed overexpression of the amyloid precursor protein and its amyloidogenic A-beta products (Zawia and Basha, 2005). Interestingly, in vitro studies in 3D rat brain cell cultures show that lead acetate exposure evokes an exacerbated microglial- and astrocyte reactivity in immature cultures, as compared to the more differentiated cultures (Zurich et al., 2002). However, whether such early and intense neurotoxicant-induced neuroinflammation increases the risk of developing a neurodegenerative disease at a later time-point remains to be proven. Delayed adverse effects may also rely on other mechanisms of toxicity, such as epigenetic modifications of genes involved in the amyloid cascade, or oxidative stress (Zawia et al., 2009). Oxidative stress is a common mechanism of toxicity to many chemically diverse toxicants (Crumpton et al., 2000; Farina et al., 2013). During development, toxicant-induced oxidative stress has been shown to disrupt mitogenic signalling, affecting both neurogenesis and gliogenesis (Li et al., 2007). The high sensitivity of neural precursor cells to oxidative stress may be due to a limited content of anti-oxidants, similarly to what has already been described for oligodendrocyte progenitors (Hemdan and Almazan, 2007).

Brain in development is generally considered to be more susceptible to neurotoxic insults than the mature brain, due to the critical timing of the developmental events it undergoes, to the establishment of complex structures and to less effective protection mechanisms. Therefore, assessment of developmental neurotoxicity for the numerous new chemical substances released in the environment is of vital importance for public health.

**Model Requirements for DNT**

A good in vitro model for DNT must replicate the critical developmental steps such as cell proliferation, migration, process formation, as well as synapse formation and myelination. Therefore it is a prerequisite that cultures can be maintained for period long enough to reflect these critical maturation steps. Long-term culture maintenance is also required to address questions related to subchronic-/chronic neurotoxicity, and for the evaluation of delayed/long term effects. In addition to the timely aspect,
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Cell-cell interactions are crucial for brain maturation. Therefore the presence of both neurons and glial cells is needed. Studies on differentiating human neural stem cells show augmented differentiation of both neurons and glia when grown in three dimensions as compared to monolayer cultures (Brannvall et al., 2007; Choi et al., 2014). The importance of a heterogenic cell culture model for DNT is further supported by the fact that both neurons and glial cells can be the primary targets of neurotoxic insults. Moreover, neuron-glial interactions modulate the uptake of toxicants (Monnet-Tschudi et al., 2008; Zurich et al., 1998) which in turn modify the toxic outcome (Zurich et al., 2002; Zurich et al., 2004). Neuron-glial crosstalk is furthermore essential for the triggering of a neuroinflammatory response, an adaptive mechanism that can have long-term consequences (Monnet-Tschudi et al., 2010). Besides this, the relative proportion of neurons to glial cells, and glial to glial cells, must also be taken into account, as these proportions can modulate the release of bioactive molecules upon toxic exposure and thus modify the effect of a neurotoxic insult (Eskes et al., 2002; Eskes et al., 2003). Finally, the in vitro cellular structural organization could lead to the formation of so-called biological niches known to influence the behaviour of residing precursor cells. For instance, the mycotoxinochratoxin A differentially affects cell proliferation and homeostasis of neural precursors residing in immature-versus mature 3D brain cell cultures (Zurich and Honegger, 2011), illustrating the impact of the cellular microenvironment.

Three-dimensional (3D) Models Available or in Development for DNT

A recent workshop report described the state-of-the-art of 3D cultures of selected organs (liver, lung, skin and brain) and listed the existing 3D in vitro models for the brain: brain slices, layered co-culture, transwell culture, aggregating brain cell culture, engineered neural tissue (ENT) and secondary 3D cultures (Alepee et al., 2014). In the present chapter, the authors have chosen to focus specifically on 3D models prepared from human stem- or neural precursor cells, and on aggregating rat brain cell cultures. The latter system has already been widely used for Neurotoxicology (NT) as well as DNT. It was involved in several European projects and was found to be predictive for detecting organ-specific toxicity (Prieto et al., 2013; Zurich et al., 2013). Noteworthy is, that any model that reaches a high maturation stage—hence presenting synapses, neuron-astrocyte interaction and compact myelin—could be used for both DNT and NT, depending on the maturation stage under which the exposure is undertaken.

3D human cultures in Matrigel

Matrigel is a support matrix containing solubilized basement membrane proteins (BD Matrigel; BD Biosciences). A first model using this synthetic extracellular matrix consists of mixing commercially available ReN Cell VM human neural (ReN) precursor cells with Matrigel to set up thin (about 100–300 μm) and thick-layer (about 4 mm) 3D models (Choi et al., 2014). The differentiation capacity of ReN cells in 3D structures was shown by detectable expression of both neuronal markers...
microtubule-associated protein-2 (MAP2), Tubulin b3, neuron cell-adhesion molecule (NCAM) and synapsin 1; and glial markerglial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP). Furthermore, cultures displayed extensive process formation after two to six weeks of differentiation (Choi et al., 2014). These 3D culture conditions promote a higher degree of neuronal and glial differentiation than conventional 2D cultures (Choi et al., 2014). A study by Li and co-workers (Li et al., 2012) suggested that the porosity of the matrigel scaffold affects stem cell proliferation and maturation, where larger pore sizes seem to promote neural stem cell 3D differentiation. This model has been used to study amyloid and tau pathologies in relation to Alzheimer’s disease and might be useful for DNT.

Another 3D culture system that has not yet been used for this purpose, but which could potentially serve as a DNT model are the so-called cerebral organoids. These are derived from human embryonic- or induced pluripotent stem cells, and were shown to even develop various discrete, although interdependent, brain regions, partially reproducing an organotypic organization (Lancaster and Knoblich, 2014; Lancaster et al., 2013). The preparation of such organoids starts with the formation of embryoid bodies of either human embryonic stem cells (hESC) or human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSC). The embryoid bodies are transferred to droplets of Matrigel and maintained stationary for four days before being transferred into a spinning bioreactor (Lancaster et al., 2013). This method accelerates the development of brain tissues, requiring only eight to ten days for the appearance of neural identity, and 20–30 days for the formation of defined brain regions. Cerebral organoids can survive up to ten months in culture (Lancaster et al., 2013). This is currently the only culture system able to mimic sub-specification of brain regions, namely cortical regions, thus recapitulating many aspects of human cortical development, such as neurogenesis, migration and radial glia formation. Furthermore, this model also expresses specific hippocampal markers, making it the most complex in vitro model at present date.

**3D human cultures on microporous membranes**

Neural air-liquid interface types of cultures, called also engineered tissues (ENTs), were originally developed for organotypic culture of brain slices (Stoppini et al., 1991). In this technique, cultures are grown directly at an air-liquid interface on a semi-permeable membrane, with the culture medium on the contralateral side of the membrane. This technique relies on capillarity forces to generate a small film of culture medium that covers the tissue, favouring extensive gas exchanges between tissue and air. The improved gas exchange allows the maintenance of relatively thick 3D cultures without hypoxic cell death. An additional advantage over other methods of growing 3D tissue cultures is that it is a static method. This is of interest for electrophysiological measurements or imaging since tissues do not need to be immobilized before such investigations can be carried out (Sundstrom et al., 2012; van Vliet et al., 2007).

This technique was used successfully with mouse ESC (Dubois-Dauphin et al., 2010). With ESC of human origin, the obtained tissue was heterogeneous. However, the use of human ESC-derived neural precursor cells (NPC)—from the H1 and H9 cell lines (WiCell Research Institute, Madison Wisconsin, USA), and the HS-401
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A recent study combined the technique of the generation of neurosphere cultures in suspension and the ENTs. In a first step, NPC neurospheres were generated according to the free-floating technique (see below) and were in a second step transferred in the air-interface liquid culture device for further differentiation (Tieng et al., 2014). Interestingly this study shows that three-dimensional structures were more successful than 2D cultures in rapidly generating a higher number of dopaminergic neurons (>60% at day 28 of differentiation) in comparison to the 2D (20% of cells were tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) positive in day 25). The level of maturation of the TH-positive neurons was higher in 3D cultures, where many varicose neurites (morphologic sign of mature dopaminergic neurons in vivo) could be found. However, the differentiation potential of these neurons was variable, and depending on from which hiPSC-line the NPC were derived.
Figure 8.1. Air/liquid neural cultures derived from hESC. (A) Technique to generate 3D neural tissues onto porous membranes. A drop of 3–5 μl of NPC containing 100–150K cells is deposited onto the membrane. Cells will progressively compact due to capillary forces. (B) Macrophotography of 3D neural tissue grown onto porous membrane at air/liquid interface after 2 months in culture. (C) 3D neural tissues grown onto porous membranes within culture inserts. (D) Immunofluorescence staining of transversal sections of 3D neural tissue where neurofilaments (NF) can be visualized in green showing the abundant presence of neurites within the entire section (Bar: 50 μm). (E) Astrocytes labelled by GFAP could be found mostly located close to the synthetic PTFE membrane of the culture inserts, represented by the white dotted line, while neurons, immunostained by Neu-N, are distributed throughout the tissue. nuclei were visualized by DAPI. (Bar: 50 μm). (F) Spontaneous activity recordings show individual spikes as well as the presence of bursts. (G) Evoked synaptic activity recorded by 8 selected channels in response to stimulation. (H) Paired-pulse inhibition could be observed when the second stimulation is induced from 70 ms to 15 ms.
3D free-floating cultures derived from rat brain cells, human embryonic stem cells (hESC), and human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSC)

Three-dimensional free-floating cultures can be prepared from rat or mouse foetal brains (Honegger et al., 2011), from neural precursor cells derived from hESC (Hoelting et al., 2013) or from iPSC (Hogberg et al., 2013; Pamies et al., 2014). These 3D structures are formed spontaneously by cell adhesion either under constant gyratory agitation or in low adherence plates. In these 3D systems, cells are not provided with a culture substrate, but produce their own extracellular matrix, giving rise to brain parenchyma with histotypic, but not organotypic organization, meaning that they contain neurons and glial cells organized in a tissue-like manner without reproducing recognizable discrete brain structures.

3D free-floating cultures derived from foetal rat brain cells

The 3D rat brain cell model (aggregating brain cell cultures) first developed by Moscona and coworkers (Moscona, 1961) has been widely characterized and used for neurotoxicological and DNT studies by Honegger and co-workers (Honegger et al., 2011; Honegger and Zurich, 2011). It is prepared from embryonic day 16 (ED 16) rat foetal brains, which are mechanically dissociated and subcultured in a defined medium maintained in constant gyratory agitation. Each flask contains about 1.2 brains and can be split into 4 to 6 replicates for experiments. Most neurons are post-mitotic at culture initiation, whereas glial precursor cells proliferate during the first two weeks, whereafter they undergo extensive differentiation. After only 20 days in culture numerous synapses as well as compact myelin can be observed (Honegger et al., 2011). Compact myelin, characterized by the alternations of dense and less dense (intra-period) lines observed by electron microscopy, is reported exclusively in these 3D rat brain cell cultures (Zurich et al., 2003). Furthermore, these cultures contain the major brain cell types (Figure 8.2A–F), including several neuronal subtypes (Honegger et al., 2011) and microglial cells. Microglial cells are derived from erythromyeloid precursors originating from the yolk sack (Kierdorf et al., 2013), and at ED16 the foetal rat brain is already partially populated by microglia precursor cells. Within the in vitro 3D structure, these cells acquire their typical quiescent morphology (Figure 8.2F). In addition, highly differentiated cultures (≥ DIV 20) also retain a small resident population exhibiting the characteristics of neural stem cells (NSCs) and related precursors (Zurich and Honegger, 2011).

In these 3D cultures, neurons and glial cells develop in a sequential manner mimicking the in vivo situation (Figure 8.2A–F). As an illustration the axonal marker NF-H is expressed progressively during time in culture (Figure 8.2A); myelin basic protein (MBP) immunoreactivity (Figure 8.2D) is—as is the case in vivo—detected before myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) immunoreactivity, attesting for timely oligodendrocyte maturation (Figure 8.2E). An isoform of the Na/K ATPase pump was detected in these 3D aggregated cultures, whereas it was not expressed in monolayer cultures (Corthésy-Theulaz et al., 1990), suggesting that the presence of
Figure 8.2. 3D free-floating cultures derived from rat brain cells. Neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and microglial cells were visualized by immunofluorescence for cell type-specific markers in an early maturation stage (day in vitro 15 (DIV 15)) and in a highly differentiated stage (DIV 35). Maturation of axons was detected by an increase in labelling for Neurofilaments of high molecular weight, the unphosphorylated and the phosphorylated form (NF) (mixed) (A); increase in immunolabelling for synaptophysin (SYP) was used as a marker of synaptogenesis (B). Maturation of astrocytes was assessed by an increase in immunolabelling for GFAP (C), and maturation of oligodendrocytes by immunostaining for myelin basic protein (MBP) (D) and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) (E). At DIV 15, MOG was less expressed than MBP, but their expression increased greatly with time in culture, attesting for the presence of myelinating oligodendrocytes (D and E). Presence of microglial cells was visualized by labelling with isolectin B4 of Griffonia simplicifolia (IB4) coupled to FITC (F). Higher magnification shows the presence of very fine processes (left panel), whereas activated microglia have a rounded morphology (right panel). On all pictures, nuclei were visualized by Hoechts (blue).
all types of brain cells organized in a 3D architecture is an advantage for the cell-cell intercommunication necessary for timely expression of specific proteins, and more generally for brain maturation.

These cultures are of great interest for DNT since the effects of toxicants can be tested when proliferation of glial precursor cells, axonal elongation and early synaptogenesis and myelination are occurring. Due to the high degree of maturation reached by the cells, they can also be used for Neurotoxicology testing if treatment is applied when neuronal processes and axons are fully matured, synapses and compacted myelin are present. Comparison of toxic effects between immature-and highly differentiated cultures showed that immature cultures were generally more sensitive than differentiated cultures to certain heavy metals (thallium, cadmium, mercury chloride and methylmercury, trimethyl tin, triethyl tin, dibutyl tin), to the organophosphorous compounds chlorpyrifos and parathion and their oxon forms, and to the food-contaminating mycotoxin, ochratoxin A. The reverse scenario was observed for bismuth (Eskes et al., 1999; Monnet-Tschudi et al., 1997; Monnet-Tschudi et al., 1993; Monnet-Tschudi et al., 1996; Monnet-Tschudi et al., 1995; Monnet-Tschudi et al., 2000). As this 3D culture system is devoid of a blood brain barrier (BBB), the maturation-dependent susceptibility is not due to an easier entrance of the toxicant, but rather to toxicodynamic properties of the toxic compound and how that resonates with the intrinsic properties of the different cell types at their specific state of maturation. (manuscript in preparation).

These 3D cultures also allow the analyses of delayed effects of toxicants, measured after a so-called recovery period, i.e., a period devoid of treatment (Sandstrom von Tobel et al., 2014; Zurich et al., 2002). This latter protocol is of significance for DNT, since adverse effects and/or functional deficits can appear long after a toxic exposure during brain development (Finkelstein et al., 1998; Landrigan et al., 2005; Moreira et al., 2001). An illustration that such delayed effects can be measured in this in vitro model is a study on the recovery after paraquat dichloride exposure (Sandstrom von Tobel et al., 2014). Three-dimensional rat brain cell cultures, sub-chronically exposed during an early maturation stage to non-cytotoxic concentrations of paraquat dichloride, could recover from the paraquat-induced adverse effect on neurons. Despite this neuronal recovery, markers of neurodegenerative M1-microglial phenotype were detected only at the end of the 20-day recovery period (Sandstrom von Tobel et al., 2014), indicating that this exposure window has long-term consequences on neuroinflammatory processes.

3D free-floating cultures derived from human embryonic stem cells

Hoelting and coworkers (Hoelting et al., 2013) were the first to report the development of a 3D culture system from hESC (WA09 line). Human ESCs were differentiated into neural precursor cells (NPCs) in adherent conditions on Matrigel-coated plates. Then NPCs were digested by dispase to form small clumps, which were transferred onto low-adhesion plates to form neurospheres. After two to four weeks markers specific for the different stages of neuronal differentiation were detected by RT-PCR: Tubulin β3, for immature neurons, double cortin for migrating neurons, TH for dopaminergic neurons, and syntaxin 1A and synaptophysin, for synaptogenesis (SYP). The mRNA
expression of A2B5 was the only marker suggesting the presence of early precursor of glial cells. In addition, gene expression profiling (patterning markers such as Paired box 6 (PAX6), Homeodomain transcription factor EMX2 and LIM homeobox gene LHX2) suggested a predominantly forebrain-like differentiation. This model was used to test for the effects of the neurodevelopmental toxicant methylmercury chloride. Cultures were exposed for 48 h and 18 days at concentrations ranging from $10^{-9}$ M to $10^{-4}$ M. EC_{50} for cell viability was obtained at concentrations of $5.4 \times 10^{-6}$ M and $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ M, respectively. After a 18-day exposure to a non-cytotoxic concentration of mercury (50 nM) the mRNA expression of neuronal markers (Tubulin β3 and Vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGLUT2)) decreased, demonstrating the impact of mercury on neuronal differentiation. This model was also used to test polyethylene nanoparticles, which were found to be incorporated and to exert toxic effects following 48-hour and 18-day exposure. Together these results show the suitability of this 3D model to detect toxicant-induced perturbations of neuronal development.

A similar 3D model is under development in the laboratory of Luc Stoppini (HEPIA, manuscript in preparation), using another hESC line (BAG-HES-GEW-0006). Here a similar differentiation protocol is used, but the 3D structures are formed in 96-well plates and thereafter pooled and maintained in culture flasks under constant gyroratory agitation for further differentiation. A progressive differentiation was observed as the mRNA expression of neuronal, astrocyte and oligodendrocyte markers increased successively over time in culture. Neuronal markers NFH and synaptophysin were detected from two weeks of differentiation and onward, astrocyte marker GFAP from three weeks of differentiation and onward, and MBP from four weeks of differentiation and on. At the protein level, GFAP and MBP immunoreactivity was scarce after one month of differentiation, but after three months in culture both proteins were more widely detected (Figure 8.3C–D). Furthermore, electrical activity could be recorded by multi-electrodes arrays on 4-weeks old 3D cultures, indicating that these cultures form functional neuronal circuits.

The preliminary development of these 3D models from hESCs shows that the differentiation of NPCs is slower when derived from human than from murine cells. In addition, it seems that the differentiation potential varies from one cell line to the other (Sterthaus et al., 2014). Despite the promising maturation of neurons and glial cells (Figure 8.3A–D) in these 3D structures, the absence of microglial cells makes them inadequate for the study of toxicant-induced neuroinflammatory responses. However, preliminary experiments showed feasibility of integrating, at the initiation of the 3D structure formation, human primary monocytes into the NPCs population. These cells developed processes, suggesting a possible differentiation into microglial cells (Figure 8.3E).

**3D free-floating cultures derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells**

Three protocols for the preparation of 3D free-floating cultures from human iPS have been proposed by Hogberg and co-workers (Hogberg et al., 2013): The first protocol described allows for differentiation directly in the 3D-state, letting rosette aggregates
composed of neural precursor cell (NPC) differentiate in a specific differentiation media. The second protocol uses NPCs grown adherently as a starting point, following dissociation NPCs are grown under constant gyratory agitation in 6-well plates for the 3D-culture formation. The third protocol describes a neuronal pre-differentiation
of NPCs in monolayer, subsequent dissociation of the adherent cells and—again for 3D culture formation—gyratory agitation subculturing in 6-well. The latter protocol showed increased expression of neuronal markers (Tubβ3; NF-H; TH; and synapsin-1), suggesting on-going neuronal maturation in these 3D structures. Using the second protocol, Pamies and co-workers (Pamies et al., 2014) obtained after four weeks of differentiation 3D structures reaching a diameter of 300 μm, and exhibiting immunoreactivity for neuronal markers NF-H and MAP2, and after eight weeks of differentiation detection of astrocyte marker GFAP.

Endpoints/Biomarkers for DNT Measurable in 3D Models

In 3D cultures, as in other types of cultures, general cytotoxicity can be assessed by a measurable decrease in protein content, by a decrease in intracellular lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity (Koh and Choi, 1987), and by a decrease in ATP content (Schildknecht et al., 2009), or by the resazurin reduction assay (Zimmer et al., 2011). Toxicant induced apoptosis could also be a measure of general adverse effect, where an increase in cleaved caspase 3 content (Jafari et al., 2013), or an increase in cycloheximide-sensitive free nucleosomes would indicate an increase in apoptotic events. Measuring changes in frequency of terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferased UTP nick end labelling (TUNEL), a marker of DNA double-strand breaks, also gives a quantitative measure of the necrotic- and/or apoptotic cell population (Honegger et al., 1998). However, as programmed cell death is a physiological event in the developing central nervous system (Naruse and Keino, 1995). The perturbation of this program may therefore also lead to specific developmental modifications. Indeed alterations of this process associate with several abnormalities (McFarland et al., 2006; Sulik et al., 1988).

In the same line, numerous other parameters can be measured to evaluate the subtle effects on developmental processes due to exposure to sub-cytotoxic concentrations. To evaluate potential interferences with cell proliferation, the rate of DNA synthesis can be determined by metabolic labelling with 14C thymidine, or with bromodeoxyuridine (Miller and Nowakowski, 1988). The expression of the proliferation marker Ki67 is also widely used. To study potential adverse effects on migratory behaviour, it is possible to use so-called secondary 3D cultures, achieved by plating neurospheres onto a planar adhesive substrate, which allows outgrowth of radial glia and migrating neurons (Fritsche et al., 2011).

Adverse effects on neuronal differentiation can be assessed by the analysis of a large battery of markers with spatio-temporal controlled expression during process formation, axonal elongation, synaptogenesis and neurotransmitter specification. Markers for the two first developmental events are often linked to the cytoskeleton, and include, but are not limited to, tubulin β3 (Tuj1), Microtubule-Associated Protein-2 (MAP-2), neurofilaments of light-, medium- and heavy (NF-L, M and H) molecular weight. For synaptogenesis and neuronal specification, markers comprise the different neurotransmitters and related proteins, such as enzymes involved in their synthesis and degradation, receptors or transporters, as well as specific synaptic proteins, for instance synaptophysin, synapsin, TH, or Vesicular glutamate transporter proteins.
A decrease of such markers would suggest toxicant interference with neuronal differentiation and/or function, as recently illustrated in 3D cultures of rat brain cells subchronically exposed to the herbicide paraquat (Sandstrom von Tobel et al., 2014). Paraquat exposure correlated with decreased expression of NF-L, M and H, synaptophysin, as well as the dopaminergic neuron marker tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), suggesting a perturbation of neuronal differentiation, as previously reported in vivo (Eriksson, 1997; Miranda-Contreras et al., 2005). The ultimate way to evaluate toxicant-induced adverse effects on neuronal circuitry is to measure electrical activity. Such functional analyses can be performed in 3D cultures using microelectrode arrays for extracellular recording and have been used successfully to detect acute neurotoxic effects (van Vliet et al., 2007).

Astrocyte and oligodendrocyte differentiation markers can be used to monitor neurotoxic effects on glial maturation and on myelination. Mature astrocytes express specific proteins such as vimentin, glutamine synthetase, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), and S100 calcium-binding protein B (S100B). A decrease in the expression of these proteins would indicate a disruption of the astrocyte differentiation process. However, when expression of these proteins is upregulated it is an indication of astrocyte reactivity. Such an up-regulation has been reported upon neurotoxicant exposure and hence demonstrate neurotoxicant-induced astrogliosis (Eng et al., 2000; Sandstrom von Tobel et al., 2014; Zurich et al., 2005). The last brain cell type to differentiate are the oligodendrocytes, and their markers appear temporally from oligodendrocyte precursors expressing transcription factor SOX10, platelet-derived growth factor receptor–α (PDGFRα), NG2 proteoglycan and oligodendrocyte marker O4, to myelinating oligodendrocytes, expressing Myelin Basic Protein (MBP) and Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein (MOG), the latter involved in the compaction of the myelin sheath and hence expressed at the latest (Emery, 2010; Fancy et al., 2011; Rowitch, 2004). Some toxins adversely affect oligodendrocytes, for instance the mycotoxin Fumonisin B1 specifically targets myelinating oligodendrocytes, but has no effects once myelin is compacted (Monnet-Tschudi et al., 1999). A further way of assessing DNT is to analyse toxicant-induced oxidative stress or neuroinflammation. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation has been measured in 3D cultures (Monnet-Tschudi et al., 1997), however lipid peroxidation and increase in anti-oxidant defence seemed to be more sensitive markers of oxidative stress (Sandstrom von Tobel et al., 2014). Neuroinflammation can be evaluated by an increase in the number and clustering of isoelectin B4-labelled microglial cells, as well as by the expression of markers of the M1/neurodegenerative and M2/neuroprotective microglial phenotypes (Kigerl et al., 2009; Perego et al., 2011). Another assessment of the inflammatory state is to measure the expression and release of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Notable is that neurodegenerative neuroinflammation, characterised by the presence of microglial M1-phenotype, can potentially develop long after cessation of toxicant treatment (Sandstrom von Tobel et al., 2014). Another important parameter of the neuroinflammatory process is the reactivity of astrocytes, which can—as already mentioned above—be evidenced by an increased expression of GFAP, as well as by morphological changes such as shortening and thickening of astrocytes processes (Eng et al., 2000).
Limitations of 3D Models

The ultimate goal of developing 3D brain cell models of human origin is to enhance human safety prediction and to, in as large extent as possible, replace animal testing, where data extrapolation to humans is often not discriminative enough. Therefore the enhanced safety prediction capacity of a human \textit{in vitro} model would be of, not only great economical interest, but also of interest for predicting mechanistic effects that might differ between species (Baumann \textit{et al.}, 2014; Pamies \textit{et al.}, 2014; Tsuji and Crofton, 2012). However, none of the currently available 3D \textit{in vitro} models are officially accepted for neurotoxicity risk assessment of drug- or environmental compounds.

In spite of its ability to detect organ toxicity, the 3D model might be less suitable to elucidate questions related to the primary target of a neurotoxicant, or to molecular mechanistic understanding of the neurotoxic insult, since the measured adversity is always rendered from a choir of signals of different cell-types residing in the structure.

Another concern may be the diffusion of neurotoxic substances of high molecular weight or of nanoparticles, as well as the oxygenation deficit in the centre of relatively large 3D structures (> 500 μm); therefore, the control of the size of the 3D structures is of importance.

An important limitation when considering risk assessment, however not specifically related to 3D cultures, is the absence of physiological barriers, in particular the blood-brain-barrier (BBB). However, during brain development the BBB is not fully formed, and other physiological barriers play a role in limiting the exchange of molecules between CSF and brain parenchyma—like for instance the cells a lining the ventricles (Whish \textit{et al.}, 2015). The periphery of rat brain 3D-cultures bares resemblance to the organization found along the ventricular surface, with glial cells densely lining the outer surface of the sphere (unpublished observation). However, they do not contain the cerebral microvessel endothelium forming the BBB. In an attempt to vascularize these 3D structures, isolated brain capillaries were added to the cultures. The added microvessels did not populate the entire 3D structure, but tended to accumulate primarily in the centre, where oxygenation was the lowest (Juillerat-Jeanneret \textit{et al.}, 2003). However, the incorporation of microvessels could not mimic a functional BBB.

To date, an integrated strategy is proposed (Blaauboer, 2003) comprising in first instance the use of \textit{in vitro} models of BBB (Culot \textit{et al.}, 2008) to test for the capability of a compound to cross or to affect BBB, before measuring its neurotoxic potential using preferentially 3D culture systems.

Potential Use of 3D Models for Risk Assessment

DNT is currently regulated by OECD guidelines (OECD, 2007) using conventional \textit{in vivo} methods, which are expensive and time consuming (Bal-Price \textit{et al.}, 2015a). Regulatory toxicology in the twenty-first century faces numerous challenges, such as the need for assessing an ever-increasing number of chemicals, while reducing animal use, costs, and time required for chemical testing. To address these challenges, there is an urgent need for developing and using \textit{in vitro}, \textit{in silico} and alternative species...
testing methods that allow faster risk evaluation and regulatory decisions (Bal-Price et al., 2015a).

How toxic a compound is for a certain organism depends on the exposure route, the amount, the way in which the compound is taken up, how it distributes, its biotransformation, how it is eliminated from the organism (kinetics) and the intrinsic properties of the compound towards the organism (dynamics). These three elements: exposure, kinetics and dynamics form the basis of hazard and risk evaluations (Blaauboer, 2003). Toxicokinetics (TK) integrates information about the absorption, distribution in the body, metabolism and excretion (ADME) of a toxic substance. Under the new toxicity testing paradigm where in vitro testing is becoming more and more common, high quality in vitro TK data are crucial and indispensable for the in vitro-in vivo extrapolation (Coecke et al., 2013).

The lack of kinetics information has limited the use of in vitro neurotoxicity data. However, recently 3D rat brain cell cultures have been successfully used to assess the biokinetic profile of several drugs. It was shown that chlorpromazine and amiodarone accumulated in the cells over a 12-day repeated exposure (Broeders et al., 2013; Pomponio et al., 2015), whereas diazepam and cyclosporine A did not (Bellwon et al., 2015; Broeders et al., 2014). Furthermore, the major oxidative metabolite of amiodarone was detected intracellularly, indicating the presence of drug metabolising enzymes (Pomponio et al., 2015). Xenobiotic metabolism in the rat 3D brain cell cultures was also observed after exposure to tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). The production of the main THC metabolite was significantly higher in 3D cultures composed of neurons and glial cells, as compared to 3D cultures enriched for either neurons or glial cells, suggesting that both neuron-glia proportion as well as their interactions are involved in the regulation of drug metabolism (Monnet-Tschudi et al., 2008).

A new concept that has been proposed to assist the regulators in decision-making is that of the adverse outcome pathway (AOP) (Ankley et al., 2010). The AOP starts with a so-called Molecular Initiating Event (MIE), in which the compound of interest interacts with one or several biological target(s). This is followed by a series of Key Events (KEs), which are cellular, anatomical and/or functional changes in biological processes, which ultimately culminates in an Adverse Outcome (AO) manifested at organ, organism and/or population level (Bal-Price et al., 2015b). The AOP concept has been developed as a tool for knowledge-based safety assessment, which relies on understanding mechanisms of toxicity, rather than simply observing its adverse outcomes.

Three-dimensional culture systems are well suited for the analyses of KEs due to their histotypic organization. Several KEs, such as oxidative stress, demyelination and neuroinflammation, have been described after toxicant exposure of 3D rat brain cell cultures (Bal-Price et al., 2015b; Sandstrom von Tobel et al., 2014; von Tobel et al., 2014). Importantly, in this type of cultures Key Events Relationships (KERs) can also be evaluated for mechanistic understanding of the toxicity pathway. Despite a possible enhanced prediction capacity, the extrapolation of human in vitro data to the actual human exposure scenario remains a major challenge. Physiologically based toxicokinetic (PBTK) modelling is currently regarded as the most adequate approach to simulate the fate of compounds in the human body. The important
recent development of toxicodynamics modelling will allow together with PBTK the estimation of a compound’s critical amount/concentration on the critical site of action, which ideally would be the basis for hazard and risk assessments (Blaauboer, 2003; Blaauboer, 2010; Schilter et al., 2014).

**Perspectives: Multi-Organ on a Chip**

In order to develop alternative testing methods that will allow the industry to timely address the increasing demands for reliable data to base risk assessments on, the idea of developing so called “multi-organs” has emerged (Kim et al., 2014; Polini et al., 2014). Recent advances in stem cell research, tissue engineering, biomaterial sciences, systems biology and micro-system technologies now make it possible to envisage the implementation of miniaturised devices, that host several micro-organs (brain, liver, kidney and others). These micro-organs would be generated from target differentiated human cells, and the different compartments would be connected through a micro-perfusion system (Pamies et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2009; Zweigerdt et al., 2014), allowing “organ intercommunication”. Such an approach could allow carrying out toxicity tests in a model that more closely mimics the in vivo reactions in an organism. The proof-of-principle that human differentiated cell types can be hosted in separate compartments of a micro-chip that are interconnected through micro-fluidic channels has already been provided (Jang and Suh, 2010; Materne et al., 2015). However, these conditions are established for use under small-scale research laboratory conditions and would not be applicable for large-scale industrial toxicological screenings. For such purposes, the system would need to provide conditions that allow the tissues to maintain a stable phenotype on the multi-organ device over time in culture.

Gregory T. Baxter and Robert Freedman (Baxter, 2009) have developed a cell-based microfluidic assay platform that meets these requirements. The system comprises an arrangement of separate but fluidic interconnected “organ” or “tissue” compartments. Each compartment would contain an in vitro “organ”, composing of either primary cells or differentiated cells originating from hESC or iPSC. Microfluidic channels connect the different compartments and the flow of culture media would mimic the blood circulation system in vivo. A similar culture system has already been used by the group of Schuler with digestive tract and liver cells to simulate the first-pass metabolism of a reference drug, acetaminophen (Esch et al., 2014; McAuliffe et al., 2008). In their study Schuler and colleagues used immortalized human cell lines, which can present some of the characteristics of the in situ cells, however, they often lack several important functionalities as they are frequently derived from tumour cells. Deriving the tissues from hESCs or iPSCs could in part circumvent this problem. Another issue that needs to be addressed is the difference in cell morphology, physiology and responsiveness to drugs between cells grown in 2D versus in a 3D structure. For instance, comparing the toxicity responses of monolayer and 3D cultured cells in microscale perfusion devices clearly demonstrate that the 3D cultures more closely resemble cell responses observed in vivo. Yang and co-workers published a review on toxicity assays and assay endpoints useful for high-throughput cytotoxicity analysis in microfluidic devices (Yang et al., 2008). The authors concluded that 3D cell cultures better mimic the in vivo response to the toxicant.
The final objective would be that the organ culture systems mimics the systemic response of a human body in reaction to compound exposure, while still maintaining the ability to analyse individual “organ” responses (Bhatia and Ingber, 2014). Therefore the tissue compartments must be interconnected in a sequential manner, mimicking the afferent and efferent blood flow in the body, but still respecting the restrictions for proper phenotype maintenance of the different tissues. Such an arrangement could allow sequential metabolism of a compound and observations of subsequent effects of metabolites on surrounding organs (Kimura et al., 2014; Toh et al., 2009). In conclusion, the next generation of in vitro tools for more predictive toxicity screening will be multi-organ human cell culture systems, which can more precisely emulate human response to drugs and that can better predict toxicity (Alepee et al., 2014; Fabre et al., 2014; Kim and Shin, 2014).
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Neural Cell Lines (Lineage)

Qiang Gu

Introduction

The pioneer work by Ross Granville Harrison, who successfully grew cells outside the body over a century ago, formed the basis of modern cell culture techniques that allow the study of isolated living cells in an artificially controlled environment. Over the past century, advancements in knowledge, theory, practice and methodologies have made cell cultures indispensable tools for basic life science, biomedical and clinical research. To date, many aspects of cell culture techniques such as plate coatings, growth media and incubation conditions have been standardized, and a wide variety of cells can be isolated, purified, grown and maintained \textit{in vitro}. In addition to some obvious advantages of cell culture systems compared to \textit{in vivo} situations such as being simple, homogeneous cell populations, easy-controllable cell exposures and easy-manipulatable gene expressions, an important aspect of using cell cultures that deserves a notion here is to set alternative approaches to reduce animal use in experimentation. Due to the unique character of differentiated neurons that they are no longer dividing and non-multipliable, a number of cell sources have been explored with the goal to establish immortalized neural cell lines. These include cells derived from spontaneous or artificially-induced brain tumors, fusion of neurons to tumor cells, retroviral infection of neural precursor cells, or neurons transfected with oncogenes. To date a vast variety of cells lines have been developed that continuously express specific neurotransmitters and that are utilized as neuronal cell lines. In addition, several glial cell lines derived from glioma or glioblastoma have also been established and
characterized. Collectively these cell culture systems have been widely used for studies of neural growth, differentiation, synaptogenesis, gene expression, plasticity, regulation of transmitter release, signal transduction, pharmacology and toxicology. Given the wide variety of available cell lines and limited space allowed in this chapter, it would be impossible to review all neural cell lines. Therefore, this chapter will cover the most widely studied and well-characterized cell lines in neuroscience research (Table 9.1), and their applications and important results of those studies. An important objective and envision of developing neuronal cell lines in those early days was to generate mass quantities of a particular type of cells or cells carrying certain specific genes for clinical transplantation with the purpose to repair or cure neurological disorders. However, with the promising features of induced pluripotent stem cells, much effort and hope have been shifted towards utilizing neural stem cells. Because neural stem cells have been described in a preceding chapter (Chapter 6) in this book, they will not be covered here to avoid redundancy.

Table 9.1. Cell lines covered in this chapter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Origin</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Cell Line Name</th>
<th>Year Established*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pheochromocytoma</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>PC12</td>
<td>1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroblastoma</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>SH-SY5Y</td>
<td>1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>N1E-115</td>
<td>1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neuro-2a</td>
<td>1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medulloblastoma</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>TE671</td>
<td>1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Focal Encephalitis</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>HCN-2</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>U87MG</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rat (Wistar)</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rat (Fisher)</td>
<td>F98</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RG2</td>
<td>1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroblastoma-Glioma Hybrid</td>
<td>Mouse/Rat</td>
<td>NG108-115</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV-40 Viral Transfected</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>CATH.a</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Year of creation or when the first study utilizing the cell line was published.

Cell Lines of Neuronal Origin

**PC12 cell line (rat pheochromocytoma)**

The PC12 cell line is by far the most widely used cell line in neuroscience research, and the most widely used model of neuronal function and differentiation (Fujita et al., 1989). PC12 cells were derived from rat adrenal gland with pheochromocytoma and introduced by Arthur Tischler and Lloyd Greene in the 1970s (Greene and Tischler, 1976; Tischler and Greene, 1975). PC12 cells synthesize neurotransmitters such as dopamine, norepinephrine, and acetylcholine (ACh). PC12 cells express both nicotinic and muscarinic ACh receptors and are responsive to ACh (Dichter et al., 1977;
Greene and Rein, 1977; Jumblatt and Tischler, 1982). A variety of ion channels have been detected in PC12 cells including voltage-dependent Na\(^{+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and K\(^{-}\)-channels, and Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent K\(^{-}\) channels (Arner and Stallcup, 1981; O’Lague and Huttner, 1980), which are localized in plasma membrane and involved in the propagation of electrical activity. PC12 cells have been shown to build functional synapses with cultured cells of skeletal muscle origin (Schubert et al., 1977). The processes of PC12 cells contain varicosities that contain vesicular neurotransmitters which can be released in a quantal fashion upon electrical or chemical stimulations. Based on these characteristics, the PC12 cell line is considered a neuroendocrine or neuronal-type cell line and has been utilized as a model for studying neurosecretion (D’Alessandro and Meldolesi, 2010; Westerink and Ewing, 2008), and more specifically the regulation of neurotransmitter synthesis, storage and release. PC12 cells also express nerve growth factor (NGF) receptors and respond reversibly to NGF, i.e., in the presence of NGF, PC12 cells stop dividing and differentiate to neuronal phenotype, such as induction of electrical excitability, increase in expression of Na\(^{+}\) channels and muscarinic ACh receptors, and outgrowth of long processes containing varicosities, whereas withdrawal of NGF will reverse the phenotype. These phenomena make the PC12 cell line the premiere model for the study of neurite outgrowth and neuronal differentiation (D’Alessandro and Meldolesi, 2010; Levi et al., 1988). Since NGF application in a culture dish can be artificially well controlled, PC12 cells have been utilized for delineating molecular mechanisms underlying NGF action (Levi et al., 1988). For example, NGF has been shown to increase the level of tubulin, microtubule associated proteins MAP-1, MAP-2 and tau, vimentin, and other neurofilament proteins (Black et al., 1986; Drubin et al., 1985; Greene et al., 1983; Lee and Page, 1984). It is conceivable that neurite outgrowth may directly correlate with the biosynthesis of cytoskeleton proteins. PC12 cells also have been employed in research of stress, hypoxia, and neurotoxicity (Roth et al., 2002; Spicer and Millhorn, 2003). Not only has the PC12 cell line helped the discovery of various phenomena associated with cell growth, differentiation and death, molecular analysis of these phenomena at different stages further revealed critical elements of several distinct and independent signal transduction pathways responsible for the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms (Kontou et al., 2009; Sombers et al., 2002; Vaudry et al., 2002). Another significant utilization of the PC12 cell line is in the area of in vitro pharmacological studies, since PC12 cells are extremely versatile following pharmacological manipulations and easy to culture. Such studies provide a wealth of information on cell proliferation and differentiation, on drug effects on vesicles, and on the regulation of exocytosis (Momboisse et al., 2010). Successful foreign gene insertion and stable expression in PC12 cells have been achieved (Li et al., 1999), with the hope that they could be useful for in vivo testing of transplantation for potential disease treatment (Yoshida et al., 1999). In a recent study, PC12 cells were employed for developing and validating a new in vitro cytotoxicity assay (Gu et al., 2014), which utilizes Fluoro-Jade C (FJ-C), a fluorescent label previously used for the assessment of neurodegeneration in fixed brain tissue sections for the labeling of degenerating cells in cell cultures following toxic insults (Figure 9.1). This new FJ-C based in vitro approach is simple and fast, has a high sensitivity and large dynamic range, and has the potential to be utilized in high-throughput toxicity screening of chemical compounds in vitro.
Figure 9.1. FJ-C labeling in PC12 cell cultures following 24 hr incubation with various concentrations of cadmium, a well-known toxicant, showing dose-dependent cytotoxicity as indicated by the increase number of FJ-C labeled cells. Reprint from Gu et al., 2014.

SH-SY5Y cell line (human neuroblastoma)

The SH-SY5Y cell line was introduced in 1978 (Biedler et al., 1978). It was a subclone of the SK-N-SH cell line that was derived from a bone marrow biopsy of a 4-year-old girl with neuroblastoma. SH-SY5Y cells are electrically excitable and possess a variety of voltage- and ligand-gated ion channels, for example tetrodotoxin-sensitive Na⁺ channels, voltage-sensitive K⁺ channels, and L-type and N-type voltage-sensitive Ca²⁺ channels (Forsythe et al., 1992; Kennedy and Henderson, 1992; Morton et al., 1992; Reeve et al., 1992; Reeve et al., 1994). SH-SY5Y cells also express a variety of transmitter receptors such as muscarinic ACh M₁, M₂ and M₃ receptors (Adem et al., 1987; Lambert et al., 1989a), nicotinic ACh receptors (Gould et al., 1992), mu-opioid receptors (Seward et al., 1991), and neuropeptide-Y receptors (McDonald et al., 1994). Whole cell patch-clamp and intracellular Ca²⁺ imaging techniques have been applied to SH-SY5Y cell cultures and revealed that SH-SY5Y cells possess purinergic receptors that respond to extracellular ATP, ADT, UTP and UDP. Activation of purinergic P₂X receptors in SH-SY5Y cells result in a rapid elevation of intracellular Ca²⁺ through the opening of P₂X, receptor-coupled voltage-dependent Ca²⁺ channels (Larsson et al., 2002). SH-SY5Y cell line produces the neurotransmitter dopamine and also expresses dopamine transporters and receptors. Therefore, the SH-SY5Y cell line is considered primarily a dopaminergic-type neuron and is often used as a cellular model for Parkinson’s disease (Xie et al., 2010). SH-SY5Y cells can differentiate into several different phenotypes depending on differentiation agents such as retinoic acid (RA), brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), and NGF (Edsjo et al., 2003; Encinas et al., 2000). When treated by RA and BDNF, SH-SY5Y increased expression of general cholinergic markers choline acetyltransferase and vesicular ACh transporter (Edsjo et al., 2003). The SH-SY5Y cell line has been widely used for cellular studies of neuronal function, differentiation, regulation of transmitter release, degeneration, cytotoxicity and anti-tumor drug efficacy (Fasano et al., 2008; Vaughan et al., 1995). Similar to PC12 cells, SH-SY5Y cells were recently employed for developing and validating an FJ-C based in vitro assay for cytotoxicity (Figure 9.2).
N1E-115 cell line (mouse neuroblastoma)

The N1E-115 cell line was established in the early 1970s by cloning spontaneous mouse neuroblastoma tumor (Amano et al., 1972). N1E-115 cells exhibit high levels of activity of the enzyme tyrosine hydroxylase and express a variety of transmitter receptors such as muscarinic ACh $M_1$, $M_2$, and $M_4$, histaminergic $H_1$, serotonergic 5-HT$_3$, and nicotinic ACh receptors, among others (Lenz et al., 1994; Oortgiesen et al., 1997; Richelson, 1990). N1E-115 cells also express other types of membrane receptors such as that for adenosine (Murphy and Byczko, 1990), angiotensin (Gilbert et al., 1984), bradykinin (Snider and Richelson, 1984), melatonin (Bordt et al., 2001), neurotensin (Gilbert and Richelson, 1984), purinergic P2X$_7$ (Schrier et al., 2002), somatostatin (McKinney and Barrett, 1989), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) (Sipe et al., 1996). At the functional level, it has been shown that the N1E-115 cell line rapidly responds to melatonin stimulation and forms neurites within 24 hr (Bordt et al., 2001), while exposure to extracellular TNF, ATP or adenosine can induce apoptosis (Schrier et al., 2002; Schrier et al., 2001; Sipe et al., 1996). N1E-115 cells can be differentiated under conditions of low serum in the presence of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to produce morphologically mature neuronal-like cholinergic cells (Amano et al., 1972). N1E-115 cells have been used to study transmitter receptor regulation, second messenger synthesis, electrophysiological changes, and cell death mechanisms. For example, most electrophysiological and pharmacological properties of 5-HT$_3$ receptors were initially characterized in N1E-115 cells (Lambert et al., 1989b). In another study, muscarinic ACh $M_1$ receptors were detected intracellularly and in the plasma membrane of N1E-115 cells, and $M_4$ receptors in both locations appeared functionally active, suggesting additional signaling function of $M_4$ receptor intracellularly (Uwada et al., 2011). Growth hormone and growth hormone receptors are abundantly expressed in N1E-115 cells (Grimbly et al., 2009). Exposure of N1E-115 cells to mouse growth hormone induced neurite sprouting and increased axon growth, thereby providing a good model for the study of the effects of growth hormone on neurite outgrowth (Grimbly et al., 2009). N1E-115 cells also have been employed for examining toxicant effects on viability, structure, and axonal transport of neurons (Brat and Brimijoin, 1992). In this model, N1E-115 cells were induced to extend neurites by elimination of serum from the medium, and extended neurites 2–5 μm in diameter and up to 400...
μm in length on uncoated glass in serum-free medium. By measuring the fraction of cells bearing neurites and the mean length of the neurites in the presence and absence of a toxicant, the effect of a test compound on cell growth can be evaluated (Brat and Brimijoin, 1992). Organellar motility in neurites can be another indicator of healthiness concerning axonal transport. The N1E-115 cell line appears to be well suited for time-lapsed optical recording of organellar mobility in neurites, and yields valuable information on the toxicity of tested compounds (Brat and Brimijoin, 1992).

**Neuro-2a cell line (mouse neuroblastoma)**

Another mouse neuroblastoma cell line Neuro-2a (also called N2a) was established from a spontaneous tumor of a strain A albino mouse (Klebe and Ruddle, 1969). Neuro-2a cells produce large quantities of microtubular proteins including tubulin, actin and MAP-2, which are believed to play a role in a contractile system which is responsible for axoplasmic flow in nerve cells. Therefore, the Neuro-2a cell line has been useful for studies of the synthesis, assembly, and turnover of neurofilament proteins (Olmsted et al., 1970; Wang et al., 1996; Zimmermann et al., 1987). The Neuro-2a cell line has made a significant contribution in helping to delineate signaling in regulation of neurite outgrowth and neuronal differentiation, especially mediated by the Gαi/o pathway (He et al., 2006; Ma’ayan et al., 2009). Neuro-2a cells have also been used to study neurotoxicity (LePage et al., 2005), Alzheimer’s disease (Provost, 2010), prion-related diseases (Kaneko et al., 1997), and asymmetric division of mammalian cell lines (Ogrodnik et al., 2014).

**B104 cell line (rat neuroblastoma)**

The B104 cell line was cloned from a rat neuroblastoma that was induced transplacentally with nitrosomethylurea injected 15 d after conception and harvested when the animal reached adulthood (Schubert et al., 1974). Morphologically B104 cells are very long, thin, and usually bipolar (Schubert et al., 1974). The B104 cell line exhibits many of the properties characteristic of differentiated neurons, such as generation of action potentials, synthesis of neurotransmitters such as ACh and GABA, and the presence of neurotransmitter receptors, neuron-specific 14-3-2 protein, and microtubule-associated proteins MAP-2 and MAP-3 (Huber et al., 1985; Izant and McIntosh, 1980; Schubert et al., 1975; Schubert et al., 1974). The B104 cell line also expresses insulin-like growth factor (IGF) receptor I and II (Sturm et al., 1989), and IGF-I is more potent than insulin at stimulating B104 cell replication in serum-free medium (Orlowski et al., 1989). Furthermore, B104 cells, like the N1E-115 cell line, respond to removal of serum by rapidly extending neurites, a phenomenon that has been correlated with the preceding neuronal properties (Schubert et al., 1974). When B104 cells were grown in the presence of 1 mM dibutyryl cAMP, they underwent morphological alterations that mimic neuronal differentiation (Schubert et al., 1975). In cell culture, basic fibroblast growth factor and platelet-derived growth factor AA and BB were potent mitogens for B104 cells, because they promoted an increase in cell number even when the cells were grown in serum-free medium (Luo and Miller,
Neural Cell Lines (Lineage)

1997), while transforming growth factor-β1 inhibited the proliferation of B104 cells (Luo and Miller, 1999). All these properties make the B104 cell line suitable for studying regulation mechanisms of neuronal proliferation, growth and differentiation. The B104 cell line has also been studied for neurotoxicity and apoptosis (Heese et al., 2000; Park et al., 2001).

**TE671 cell line (human medulloblastoma)**

TE671 is a human cell line derived from a cerebellar tumor of a 6-year-old Caucasian girl (McAllister et al., 1977), and appears to be composed of six different morphological types of cells (types I–VI) in varying percentages in culture (Zeltzer et al., 1984). TE671 cells possess high glutamic acid decarboxylase activity, nicotinic ACh receptors, dopaminergic D₁ and D₃ receptors, and glutamatergic receptors, voltage-dependent Na⁺- and K⁺-channels, small conductance Ca²⁺-activated K⁺-channel SK3, and are capable of generating Na⁺-dependent action potentials (Carignani et al., 2002; Hamel et al., 1981; Levavi-Sivan et al., 1998; Stepulak et al., 2009; Syapin et al., 1982; Toral et al., 1995). TE671 cells express neuron-specific enolase, gonadotropin-releasing hormone, and epidermal growth factor receptors, and are negative for the glial marker glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP) (Hall et al., 1990; Zeltzer et al., 1984). Since medulloblastoma is one of the most common brain tumors of childhood, the TE671 cell line has become one of the in vitro experimental models to study neoplastic cells of brain tumors and therapeutic applications such as chemo-, radiation- and photodynamic therapy (Houchens et al., 1983; Lipshutz et al., 1994; Merlin et al., 1991). At the cellular and molecular level, the TE671 cell line has been mostly studied for the expression, function, pharmacology and regulation of nicotinic ACh receptors, and because of the possession of functional nicotinic ACh receptors, has been used as a model for in vitro testing of potential therapeutic compounds against myasthenia gravis (Völtz et al., 1991). The TE671 cell line has also been employed in pharmacology studies on ATP-sensitive K⁺ channels (Miller et al., 1999).

**HCN-2 cell line (human encephalitis)**

The HCN (human cortical neuron)-2 cell line was derived from cortical tissue removed from a 7-year-old girl undergoing a hemispherectomy for intractable seizures associated with chronic focal encephalitis (Ronnett et al., 1994). HCN-2 cells can be induced to differentiate when cultured with NGF, dibutyryl cAMP, 1-isobutyl-3-methylxanthine, or phorbol ester (Ronnett et al., 1994), and differentiated HCN-2 cells have a neuron-like morphology. The cells stain positively for a number of neuronal markers including neurofilament proteins and neuron-specific enolase. HCN-2 cells are also positive for tubulin, vimentin, somatostatin, glutamate, GABA, cholecystokinin-8, methionine enkephalin and vasoactive intestinal peptide, while they are negative for glial markers such as GFAP, S-100, and myelin basis protein (Ronnett et al., 1994). The HCN-2 cell line has been proposed as a model for amyloid β neurotoxicity as exposure of differentiated HCN-2 cells to amyloid β can cause cell death (Zhang et al., 1994). Using the HCN-2 cell line as a model, effects of oxidative stress on major cytoskeleton
filaments, microfilaments, microtubule, and vimentin, which are thought to play an important role in neuronal growth and neurite outgrowth, have also been examined (Allani et al., 2004). Because the HCN-2 cell line was created much later than other neuronal cell lines of neuroblastoma or medulloblastoma origins, it has yet to gain similar popularity and utilization.

Cell Lines of Glial Origin

U87MG cell line (human glioma)

The U87MG cell line was derived from a stage-IV glioma of a 44-year-old Caucasian man (Ponten and Macintyre, 1968). U87MG cells have epithelial morphology and express membrane receptors for several neurotransmitters including various glutamatergic subtype receptors NMDA- (NR2A, NR2B, NR2C, NR3A and NR3B), AMPA- (GluR2, GluR3, GluR4, GluR6 and GluR7), kainite- (KA1 and KA2), and metabotropic (mGluR1, mGluR2, mGluR3, mGluR5, mGluR6, mGluR7 and mGluR8) subtype receptors (Arcella et al., 2005; Stepalak et al., 2009; Yoshida et al., 2006), as well as adrenergic β1- and β2-receptors (Sardi et al., 2013) and muscarinic M2 receptor (Ferretti et al., 2013). U87MG cells also express plasma membrane receptors for basic fibroblast growth factor (Murphy and Knee, 1995), interleukin-1 and -6 (Goswami et al., 1998; Gottschall et al., 1991), growth hormone (Castro et al., 2000), semaphorin (Rieger et al., 2003), platelet-derived growth factor (Gross et al., 2006), and cholecystokinin-B (Oikonomou et al., 2008), as well as voltage-gated K+-channels (Ru et al., 2015). U87MG cells possess the glial marker GFAP (Ito et al., 1989), synthesize and release some important macromolecules such as granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (Tweardy et al., 1987), basic fibroblast growth factor (Sato et al., 1989), glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (Verity et al., 1999), and TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (Peng et al., 2013). U87MG cells also produce tenascin (Ventimiglia et al., 1992), type VI collagen (Han et al., 1994), interleukin-6 (Goswami et al., 1998), somatostatin (Hirotta et al., 1998), class 3 semaphorins (Rieger et al., 2003), platelet-derived growth factor (Gross et al., 2006), and cholecystokinin (Oikonomou et al., 2008). The entire genome of the U87MG cell line has been sequenced (Clark et al., 2010). Activation of NMDA receptors increased proliferation of the U87MG cell line (Ramaswamy et al., 2014), whereas TNF-α inhibits U87MG cell growth (Chen et al., 1993). Differentiation of U87MG cells could be induced by treatment with dibutyryl cAMP (Hoffman et al., 1994) or retinoid (Das et al., 2009). Transplantation studies suggest that transforming growth factor-β2 promotes the invasion of U87MG cells (Wick et al., 2001). The U87MG cell line also has platelet-activating activity (Bastida et al., 1987). Different types of matrix metalloproteinases were detected in U87MG cells (Maruiwa et al., 1993; Matsuzawa et al., 1996), and modulation of matrix metalloproteinase activity has been shown to play an important role in U87MG cell proliferation, differentiation, and invasion (Ramaswamy et al., 2014; Wick et al., 2001). For this reason, matrix metalloproteinase has become a major target for anti-glioma therapy. The U87MG cell line has been used extensively as a model for studying glioma, including assessing drug toxicity and
anti-tumor efficiency, cell cycle and apoptosis, glioma invasion, and vascularization. To date there are well over 1000 published papers utilizing this cell line for glioma research, both in vitro and xenografts. Experimental therapies have been conducted in animals using radiation-, chemo-, photodynamic, gene-, and immune-therapy, as well as caloric restriction, hypoxia, and hyperthermia.

**C6 cell line (rat glioma)**

The glial cell strain C6 was cloned from an outbred Wistar-Furth rat glial tumor induced by repeated injection of N-methylnitrosourea (Benda et al., 1968). The C6 cell line expresses S-100 protein, GFAP, vimentin, and somatotrophin, and produces glyceryl phosphate dehydrogenase in response to glucocorticoids. The cell line has been extensively used as a brain tumor model in experimental neuro-oncology (Barth and Kaur, 2009; Grobben et al., 2002). However, a serious side effect of the C6 cell line is the potential of evoking immune-response in host animals, thereby limiting its applications, especially in brain tumor survival studies. Despite this limitation the C6 cell line has provided a wealth of information on biological properties of brain tumors, such as effects of growth factors, extracellular matrix components, proteases, adhesion molecules, and secretion of tumor-derived factors (Grobben et al., 2002). Molecular characterizations which compared changes in gene expression patterns between the C6 glioma and rat stem cell-derived astrocytes revealed that the changes in gene expressions observed in the C6 cell line were the most similar to those reported in human brain tumors (Sibenaller et al., 2005). C6 cells have cancer stem cell-like characteristics, including self-renewal, the potential for multi-lineage differentiation in vitro and tumor formation in vivo (Shen et al., 2008). The C6 cell line has also been used extensively for studies of glioblastoma growth, invasion, migration, blood-brain barrier disruption, capillary permeability, and neovascularization, and for evaluating new therapeutic modalities such as chemo-, radiation-, photodynamic, anti-angiogenic, oncolytic viral and gene-therapies, and treatments with proteasome inhibitors and various toxins (Barth and Kaur, 2009).

**F98 and RG2 cell lines (rat glioma)**

The F98 and RG2 cell lines were produced in the same laboratory in 1971 (Ko et al., 1980). A pregnant Fischer rat on day 20 of gestation was inoculated with a single 50 mg/kg dose of N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea. The tumors were harvested and cloned in vitro. One of them was designated F98, and another RG2 (rat glioma 2) or RG-2 (the same clone was also called D74-RG2 or D74). The biological characteristics of both tumors closely resemble those of human glioblastoma, and have been used extensively for both in vitro and in vivo studies of a rat brain tumor. While both cell lines express vimentin, one difference between the two cell lines is that the F98 cell line demonstrated only a fraction of GFAP positive cells, whereas the RG2 cell line exhibited virtually no GFAP immunoreactivity in culture (Mathieu et al., 2007; Reifenberger et al., 1989). Another difference between the two cell lines is that F98 cells are weakly immunogenic while RG2 cells are non-immunogenic in syngeneic rats. Thus, both cell lines are...
very attractive models to investigate the mechanisms underlying glioma resistance to immunotherapy (Barth and Kaur, 2009). F98 and RG2 cell lines have been used for a variety of in vivo transplantation studies including vascular permeability, regional blood flow, tumor metabolism, tumor growth, and blood brain barrier disruption, anti-angiogenic, chemo-, radiation-, and gene-therapy (Barth and Kaur, 2009).

**Other Neural Cell Lines**

**NG108-15 cell line (mouse/rat neuroblastoma-glioblastoma hybrid)**

The NG108-15 cell line, originally named 108CC15, was developed by fusing mouse N18TG2 neuroblastoma cells with rat C6-BU-1 glioma cells in the presence of inactivated Sendai virus (Hamprecht, 1977). These cells display a considerable range of neuronal features and possess many of the functions of differentiated neurons, including extension of long processes, clear and dense core vesicles, excitable membranes, formation of functional synapses, express neurotransmitter synthesizing enzymes such as choline acetyltransferase and dopamine-β-hydroxylase, uptake systems for catecholamines, depolarization-induced Ca²⁺-dependent release of ACh, and expression of transmitter receptors (Hamprecht *et al.*, 1985). NG108-15 cells have been widely used as a model system to examine transmembrane signaling processes in the nervous system. These cells are particularly suitable for such studies as they express a considerable range of receptors which can be demonstrated to couple to a variety of effector systems. The transmembrane signaling systems which have been examined in greatest detail in NG108-15 cells are stimulation and inhibition of adenylate cyclase (Klee *et al.*, 1985), activation of phosphoinositidase C, and regulation of voltage-sensitive Ca²⁺ channels (Tsunoo *et al.*, 1986). NG108-15 cells contain opioid receptors and adrenergic α₂ receptors (Klee and Nirenberg, 1974). Opiates initially inhibit adenylate cyclase activity in these cells, but after a period of adaptation the levels of cAMP return to normal (Sharma *et al.*, 1975). The cells are desensitized to the acute effects of opiates (tolerance) and if they are withdrawn, or an opioid antagonist is added, the cells respond with an overshoot of adenylate cyclase activity (Lee *et al.*, 1988). The adenylate cyclase overshoot response after chronic exposure to opiates has been proposed as a biochemical model for opiate dependence (Sharma *et al.*, 1975). Adaptation mechanisms similar to those for opiates have been described for α₂ receptor agonists in NG108-15 cells (Sabol and Nirenberg, 1979). NG108-15 cells also express bradykinin receptors and an external application of bradykinin produces a biphasic change in membrane potential (a hyperpolarization followed by a depolarization) accompanied by an increase in ACh release (Reiser and Hamprecht, 1982). This cell model allowed examination of physiological and biochemical consequences in the receptor-mediated regulation of neurotransmitter release at a single cell level (Higashida and Ogura, 1991). NG108-15 cells express several guanine nucleotide binding proteins (G-proteins) and a variety of heterotrimeric, plasma membrane-associated G-proteins coupled receptors, including G₁, G₂, G₃, and at least two variants of G₀, which allow the conversion of extracellular signals into intracellular responses (Milligan *et al.*, 1990). Overall, the NG108-15 cell line
has been mostly used for studying expression and function of cross-membrane and intracellular signaling pathways.

**CATH.a cell line (transgenic mouse)**

The CATH.a cell line was established from cultures of a tumor that arose in the brain of a transgenic mouse carrying the SV-40 T antigen oncogene (Suri *et al.*, 1993). Although the CATH.a cell line continues to express T antigen, the cells exhibit many properties of locus coeruleus neurons. The cells express the catecholaminergic biosynthetic enzymes tyrosine hydroxylase and dopamine β-hydroxylase, neurofilaments, and synaptophysin (Suri *et al.*, 1993). The cell line possesses kappa- and delta-opioid receptors and responds to opioids by suppression of voltage-activated K⁺-current (Baraban *et al.*, 1995; Bouvier *et al.*, 1998). Interestingly, dopamine produces a dose- and time-dependent increase in cell death in the CATH.a cell line, and the cell death is not mediated through dopaminergic receptors since selective receptor agonists have no effect on CATH.a cell viability (Masserano *et al.*, 1996). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor and glia cell line-derived neurotrophic factor reduced dopamine-induced cell death, while NGF, basic fibroblast growth factor, neurotrophin-4/5 and insulin had no protective effect on dopamine-induced cell death (Gong *et al.*, 1999). Since agents that activate the cAMP pathway lead to a decrease in expression of the cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) mRNA in CATH.a cells, the cell line has made significant contributions in studying regulation mechanisms of CREB expression (Coven *et al.*, 1998; Widnell *et al.*, 1996; Widnell *et al.*, 1994).

### Concluding Remarks

Available neural cell lines have provided relatively simple and well-controlled systems for elucidating basic biological processes and mechanisms governing neural growth, differentiation, signal transduction, and cell death. In addition, it can be concluded that all neural cell lines have been utilized in pharmacological and toxicological studies. Both *in vivo* transplantation and *in vitro* experiments further helped therapeutic development and evaluation for disease treatment. Despite the success and achievements of various cell lines, it remains essential to recognize their limitations, which include but are not limited to: (1) tumor origin and continued expression of oncogenes, which may have changed many cellular and molecular properties; (2) artificial media and growth conditions, which may have influenced signal transduction pathways and gene expression patterns; (3) mono-type of cells in the culture dish, which may lack certain features that require interactions with other types of cells; and (4) cells in a 2-dimensional mono-layer, which may behave differently than in a 3-dimensional environment. Looking forward it is anticipated that more genetically engineered cell lines will be developed that more closely represent neurons and glial cells, respectively, and the expression of particular genes can be artificially controlled. Co-cultures of multi-types of cells and 3-dimensional cell cultures will further mimic *in vivo* situations. Their applications could lead to a better understanding of molecular mechanisms underlying biological processes such as growth, differentiation, signal
transduction and cell death, as well as more effective therapeutic treatments for brain tumors, neurological disorders and diseases beyond the nervous system.
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Advanced Cell Techniques to Study Developmental Neurobiology and Toxicology
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Introduction

The developing nervous system is difficult to model in vitro. The complexity of the nervous system, the multitude of cells and the duration of this process represent tremendous challenges. However, in order to understand the various aspects of these processes and possible perturbations in disease or due to toxic or traumatic insults, it is necessary to make such cell models available. With the advent of stem cells, this field has given a boost to the development of organo-typic models, which promise to represent neural development and the possible targets of disruption.
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Using patient-derived stem cells, the relevant genetic backgrounds can be studied and even combined with stressors, which might aggravate the manifestation of developmental disorders.

**Key Cellular Events of Neural Development**

The development of the central nervous system (CNS) is one of the most complex processes in the body and involves several critical key cellular events. The generation of neurons and cell migration starts in humans at six weeks of gestation and the development of the brain continues into the postnatal years. The weight of the brain keeps increasing even after puberty until approximately 20 years of age (Rodier, 1994). In general, a group of neuronal precursor cells are formed in the embryonic stage and migrate to a new location, where they begin to differentiate and become specialized. These processes are paralleled by glial events, such as myelination (Rodier, 1994). Interestingly, the fundamental principles underlying these key cellular events of brain development are remarkably conserved across species ranging from nematodes to humans and humans, which supports the use of alternative models (*in vitro* and non-mammalian animals). These events will be briefly introduced further.

**Proliferation**

As the CNS consists of numerous different cell types in a large quantity, proliferation of cells is one of the major events in the developing brain. It occurs over an elongated period with specific time windows for different cell types and brain structures, e.g., long motor neurons are developed before sensory neurons (Rodier, 1980). Moreover, the brainstem and diencephalon tend to form early, while the more complex layered structures like the cerebral cortex, hippocampus and cerebellum are developed over a longer time. This complex and precise development makes the brain more vulnerable to disturbance than all other developing organs.

Initially, neural progenitor cells divide symmetrically giving rise to two identical cells. Later on asymmetric division takes place where one cell stays in stem-cell fate and the other differentiates into a neuron. Over time, the population of neurons increases while progenitor cells decrease (Caviness and Takahashi, 1995). Neurogenesis takes place during development in the ventricular zone of the neural tube, while the mature brain has limited capacity for regeneration, which is restricted to two regions, the subventricular zone of the lateral ventricle and the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus (Rodier, 1994).

**Cell migration**

Following the proliferation stage, cells start to migrate to their final location in the brain. The most common migration is that of the neuronal progenitor cells, which migrate from the inner to the outer layer of the brain, which is why the deeper layers are formed first. However, the migration events occur at different time windows for different cell types, taking place over an extended period of time and continue
for several months after birth. During migration the cell’s cytoskeletal components get rearranged in response to extracellular molecules, e.g., neurotransmitters (Spitzer, 2006) followed by intracellular signaling pathways. The cells expand and contract their extension in order to move forward (Ayala et al., 2007). Astroglial cells have an important role in the migration event as they guide the immature neurons to the right position and impact the formation of the complex network of axons and dendrites (Hatten and Liem, 1981; Wang et al., 1994). If a group of neurons does not make the transition to the right position on time, they have difficulties in forming a network and cell-cell interactions, which can lead to impaired function and serious brain damage.

**Neuronal differentiation**

During migration, neuronal precursor cells start to differentiate into neurons with the expression of several specific genes that lead to the generation of, e.g., neurite outgrowths, expression of neurotransmitters, receptors and ultimately electrical activity (Eagleson et al., 1997; Geschwind and Galaburda, 1985; McConnell, 1990). The neuronal network is formed to initiate cell-cell interactions (synapses) among neural cells or with other organs, e.g., muscles and glands. Initially, neurites (axons and dendrites) will increase in length and branching. One of the elongations grows faster and will obtain axonal features, while the rest will slow down and develop into dendrites (Dotti et al., 1987; Dotti et al., 1988). This event is influenced by different molecular signals such as neurotransmitters, hormones, calcium and electrical activity (Spitzer, 2006) that stimulate various signaling pathways (Goldberg, 2004).

For neurons to become electrically active, synaptogenesis (neuronal connections) needs to take place. This event occurs up to adolescence in humans (Mrzljak et al., 1990; Uylings and van Eden, 1990) and over the first three weeks postnatal in rats (van Eden et al., 1990). However, the neurons retain the capacity to form synapses through life and generally have more than a thousand connections per cell. Synapse formation involves biochemical and morphological changes in both the presynaptic and postsynaptic terminals and seems to impact neurotransmitter productions and the expression of receptors of the cells (Spitzer, 2006). As the neuronal differentiation is a lengthy and complex process, it is especially vulnerable to external interference (Audesirk G. and Audesirk T., 1998).

**Glial differentiation**

Glial cells are an important subpopulation of the CNS and include radial glia, microglia, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes. Radial glia cells have stem cell-like capacity and can differentiate into both neurons and astrocytes (Choi and Lapham, 1978; Tamamaki et al., 2001). They provide support for the radial migration of neuronal precursor cells during the development of the brain.

Microglia are immune cells with phagocytic capacity and are responsible for removing dead cells and cellular debris from the brain (Cuadros and Navascues, 1998). However, microglial cells can also become reactive to a neuronal injury that triggers the release of proinflammatory factors such as cytokines and free radicals that
often enhance the neuronal damage (Bal-Price and Brown, 2001). However, this is less common in the developing brain, while in the mature brain it is recognized as an indicator of a pathological response. Contrary to the other cells in the CNS, microglia cells are derived from the mesoderm germ layer that gives rise to connective tissue and blood cells. In most areas of the brain, radial glial cells and microglia development take place simultaneously with neuronal differentiation.

Inversely, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes mainly develop after the neuronal differentiation (Rice and Barone, 2000). The main role of astrocytes in the mature brain is to maintain the balance of the ionic and trophic environment. However, during development of the CNS, astrocytes also provide guidance for axons and synapse formation, and influence neuronal proliferation, migration and differentiation (Aschner et al., 1999). Astrocytic differentiation is mainly influenced by growth factors, neurotransmitters and cytokines and involves several intracellular pathways (Bhat, 1995; Post and Brown, 1996).

Oligodendrocytes are responsible for the myelination of the axons in the CNS that provides electrical insulation and makes transmissions along the axon more rapid (Baumann and Pham-Dinh, 2001). One cell usually myelinates axons from multiple neurons, which is why the location of the oligodendrocyte is especially important. The process involves complex metabolic and biological events including adhesion, synthesis, accumulation of myelin sheets and axonal ion channel rearrangements. The myelination mainly takes place during the last trimester in humans and in the second week postnatal in rats (Rice and Barone, 2000), but is continuous even in the mature brain (Hunter et al., 1997; Wiggins, 1982). Failure in the axonal development or myelin formation may lead to severe neuronal functional damage (Wiggins, 1986). In addition, oligodendrocytes are especially sensitive to disturbance as they work close to their metabolic capacity.

**Apoptosis**

During development of the CNS, neurons are produced in excess and therefore need to be regulated in order to establish the accurate final number of cells (Oppenheim, 1991; Raff et al., 1993). This is accomplished by programmed cell death (apoptosis) mediated by gene induction, signal molecules and caspase activation (Gorman et al., 1998). The event is initiated either by the release of cytochrome c from the mitochondria into the cytosol, by binding to the plasma-membrane death receptors or with an increase in intracellular calcium concentrations (Madden and Cotter, 2008; Shield, 1998; Wajant, 2002). This is followed by cleavage of a caspase leading to its activation. The cleaved caspase continues to cleave and activate additional caspases that finally stimulate key structural and nuclear proteins that cause the disassembly and death of the cell. Several caspases and their regulators have been identified and have been shown to be crucial for mammalian development (Cecconi et al., 1998; Madden and Cotter, 2008), e.g., bcl-x, bax, caspase-9 and caspase-3 (Roth and D’Sa, 2001). Apoptosis takes place both pre- and postnatal in the CNS. It is believed that the type and amount of cell-cell connections is one factor that determines the fate of the cell, whether it gets to live or to die (Shield, 1998). Interference with this event has been suggested as a possible cause of autism (Corbett et al., 2007; Sacco et al., 2007).
Advanced Cellular Models and Techniques

The need for in vitro models to study developmental neurotoxicity

Only about 150 of over 100,000 substances on the market today have been subjected to the internationally agreed guideline studies for developmental neurotoxicity (DNT). This relatively small number of compounds contrasts strongly with the potential risk. Only a dozen compounds have been identified as definitive DNToxicants in humans, which include methyl mercury, lead, arsenic, PCBs, toluene, and ethanol (Grandjean and Landrigan, 2006), the list has been recently expanded to include manganese, fluoride, chlorpyrifos, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane, tetrachloroethylene, and the polybrominated diphenyl ethers (Grandjean and Landrigan, 2014) (Figure 10.1). The developing brain is much more sensitive to chemical perturbation than the adult one, due to the still immature blood/brain-barrier, increased absorption versus low body weight, and diminished ability to detoxify exogenous chemicals (Adinolfi, 1985; NRC, 2000; Tilson, 2000). Moreover, CNS development is a complex and highly coordinated chain of events (see above), which occur within strictly controlled time frames and, therefore, each event creates a different window of vulnerability to xenobiotic exposure (Rice and Barone, 2000; Rodier, 1994). There is little potential to repair the disturbed neurodevelopment and it often leads to permanent consequences. Thus, there is concern about the lack of DNT data.

The main reasons for the lack of DNT data are the time consuming and costly guidelines for DNT (OECD, 2007; USEPA, 1998), which are based entirely on in vivo experiments. In rare cases, the animal-based DNT study is performed, but the data

![Figure 10.1. Only the top of the iceberg of potential developmental neurotoxic (DNT) compounds has actually been tested in current in vivo guidelines leading to very few compounds with known DNT effects.](image)
can then be difficult to interpret, extrapolate to human health and, therefore, rarely contribute to regulation and risk assessment.

Thus, the identification of DNT effects of chemicals using a battery of human relevant high-throughput tests of modern toxicology is a priority (Bal-Price et al., 2015a). To improve and accelerate DNT testing, experts in the field from industry, academia, and government have been discussing the current status and problems of DNT assessment, and have suggested promising alternative approaches to be included in an integrated testing strategy (Bal-Price et al., 2012; Bal-Price et al., 2010; Coecke et al., 2007; Crofton et al., 2011; Lein et al., 2007).

The concept of an integrated testing strategy (ITS) (Hartung et al., 2013; Leist et al., 2014; Rovida et al., 2015) utilizing cell-based in vitro approaches (Krewski et al., 2010) is emerging rapidly in the field of toxicology. Bioinformatics plays a key role here by interpreting the high-content technologies and making sense of the output by modeling. With interdisciplinary collaborations, toxicology can take advantage of such expert knowledge. The challenge and the opportunity lie in the transition from mode of action (MoA) models to pathway modeling (Bouhifd et al., 2015; Hartung and McBride, 2011; Kleensang et al., 2014), i.e., increasing the resolution of analysis, and then going back to building ITS on this understanding of adverse outcome pathways (Bal-Price et al., 2015b; Krug et al., 2014; Smirnova et al., 2014) and, ultimately, a systems integration of this mechanistic knowledge (Hartung et al., 2012).

Moreover, environmental contaminations do not present themselves in isolation but as mixtures with possible synergistic effects. Traditional animal testing approaches are not suitable to test many combinations of doses and exposure times. New pathway-based tests, in contrast, could allow the identification of critical combinations and would provide better environmental protection.

Human relevant models to study neurodevelopmental disorders and neurodegenerative diseases

The aforementioned issues and shortcomings of animal models for DNT also apply to the studies of mechanisms and pathology of human diseases. Animal models do not reflect the human in vivo situation precisely enough. Many diseases are artificially induced, since they do not occur spontaneously in animals (Merkle and Eggan, 2013). For example, to model Parkinson’s disease (PD) specific symptoms and pathology, animals are injected with the compounds methyl-phenyl-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP), 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA), paraquat or rotenone (Blesa et al., 2012). Interspecies differences create uncertainties in the extrapolation of results based on animal studies to the in vivo situation in humans. This may contribute to the small number of therapeutic compounds that have succeeded in clinical trials (Mullard, 2012; Scannell et al., 2012).

Many neurodevelopmental disorders have an unknown etiology and a broad spectrum of symptoms that makes it difficult to model them in animals. Today one out of six children is diagnosed with a developmental disorder (Boyle et al., 1994; Decoufle et al., 2001; Schettler, 2001) that often involves the central nervous system (CNS). About 10–15% of all born children show disorders of neurobehavioral development (Grandjean and Landrigan, 2014), including learning disabilities, neurodevelopmental
delays, autism spectrum disorder (ASD), and attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). ASD affects 1 in 68 in the US (CDC, http://www.cdc.gov/NCBDDD/autism/data.html); In the United States, ADHD affects 14% of children (Landrigan et al., 2012) and learning disabilities affect up to 10% of the children attending public schools (Schmid and Rotenberg, 2005). There is scientific evidence suggesting that such an increase is not only due to better assessment, reporting and reclassification of these disorders (Sullivan, 2005). ASD rates increased by 290% over the decade from 1997 to 2008 (Schmid and Rotenberg, 2005) (http://www.cdc.gov/NCBDDD/autism/data.html). Both genetic and environmental factors appear to play a role. The US National Academy of Sciences (NAS) estimated that 3% of neurobehavioral disorders are caused directly by toxic environmental exposures and that another 25% are caused by interactions between environmental factors and inherited susceptibilities (NRC, 2000). It was estimated that about 4% of prescription drugs have been withdrawn from the market because of observed adverse neurological effects (Fung et al., 2001), adding to such concerns. Evidence for the contribution of drugs derives from studies specifically linking autism to exposures in early pregnancy to thalidomide, misoprostol, and valproic acid (Landrigan, 2010). Research into gene/environment interactions is needed to model and predict developmental neurotoxicity of chemicals. Modern human relevant cell systems and technologies, including high-throughput testing of potential DNT compounds will facilitate and speed up risk assessment and identification of possible environmental/gene interactions leading to neurodevelopmental disorders and prevent a further increase of such diseases in the future.

**Human induced pluripotent stem cells as cellular systems to study neurobiology and toxicology**

There are several neural in vitro models already developed or under development today (Smirnova et al., 2014). These include both simple two-dimensional cultures of cell lines to more complex primary cells, stem cells, 3D-cell cultures, and non-mammalian organisms (Figure 10.2). Many in vitro neurodegeneration models are based on rodent primary cell cultures or have been performed in human (SH-SY5Y) or rodent (PC12) transformed cell lines that have cancer origin, and therefore, are not stable in their chromosomal composition, do not reflect the true state of neural cells in vivo (Breier et al., 2010; Zurich and Monnet-Tschudi, 2009) and are not optimal to study the molecular mechanism of disease and/or mode of action of a potential neurotoxicant. It is widely assumed that DNT is ultimately the consequence of the disturbance of relatively basic biological processes (Bal-Price et al., 2012; Hogberg et al., 2009; Kadereit et al., 2012; Kuegler et al., 2010), such as differentiation, proliferation, migration, and neurite growth. Therefore, several in vitro approaches have been established to focus on such biological activities (Frimat et al., 2010; Harrill et al., 2011; Hoelting et al., 2013; Leist et al., 2013; Radio and Mundy, 2008; Zimmer et al., 2011).

The breakthrough discovery of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) has created new opportunities to study human neurodevelopment, diseases and toxicant effects directly in specific cell types, affected by the disease or toxicant (Takahashi et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2007). Fibroblasts from healthy and diseased individuals can
be reprogrammed into iPSCs, and subsequently be differentiated into all neural cell types. Recently somatic cells have even been directly converted into proliferating neural precursor cells (iNPCs) or induced neuronal cells (iN) bypassing the iPSC stage (Han et al., 2012; Thier et al., 2012; Vierbuchen et al., 2010).

The human (h)iPSC-based approach overcomes interspecies differences, inaccessibility of primary neural tissue, and the shortcomings of cancer cell lines. This makes the use of hiPSC a valuable tool to study disease mechanisms, progression and pathology in different genetic backgrounds. Furthermore, increasing evidence demonstrates that iPSC is a promising platform for drug discovery and toxicological risk assessment (Bellin et al., 2013; Grskovic et al., 2011; Marchetto and Gage, 2012; Trounson et al., 2012).

There are several iPSC-based disease models (Pamies et al., 2014). The most promising and successful are monogenetic diseases, where phenotype can be linked to one gene. An example of such a model is iPSC derived from patients with spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), where disease phenotype (loss of motor neurons) can be recapitulated in vitro (Chang et al., 2011; Ebert et al., 2009). Other examples of successful iPSC-derived neurological disease models include Rett syndrome (Ananiev et al., 2011; Dajani et al., 2013; Farra et al., 2012), familial dysautonomia (Lee et al., 2009), spinocerebellar ataxia (Koch et al., 2011), Fragile X Syndrome (Sheridan et al., 2011) and Parkinson’s disease (Nguyen et al., 2011; Reinhardt et al., 2013; Sanchez-Danes et al., 2012).

Although diseases-derived iPSC lines contribute tremendously to the understanding of molecular mechanisms of disease development, it becomes challenging to model more complex neurological disorders caused by mutations in several genes and/or external factors (e.g., exposure to environmental toxicants or drugs). ASD is an example of such a disorder. The use of iPSCs will overcome many limitations of other sources, for example, ethical issues, limited accessibility, and restricted genetic backgrounds. In addition, iPSCs seem to be more stable, with higher neuronal differentiation efficiency than, for example, somatic stem cells (Caldwell et al., 2001; Colombo et al., 2006). It is important to note, however, that it is challenging to achieve full differentiation of any cell type from stem cells. In addition, the problems of generating iPSCs are that the percentage of reprogrammed cells is often low and reprogramming and differentiation protocols still require further optimization (still very time-consuming and often exhibit low reproducibility and efficiency of differentiation). Stem cells have been shown
to be more genetically stable than other cell lines, but we have increasingly learned about their limitations in that respect, as well (Lund et al., 2012; Mitalipova et al., 2005; Steinemann et al., 2013). The limitations experienced first are costs of culture and slow growth—many protocols require months, and labor, media, and supplement costs add up. The risk of infection increases unavoidably. We still do not obtain pure cultures and often require cell sorting, which, however, implies detachment of cells with the respective disruption of culture conditions and physiology.

**Gene/environment interactions during neural development**

Since many neurodevelopmental disorders, including ASD, do not have a clear or known genetic basis, they appear at least partially to be due to gene/environment interactions. Undoubtedly, there is a substantial genetic component to ASD etiology: gene mutations, copy number variants, and other genetic anomalies (Landrigan, 2010). ASD is a family of diseases with common phenotypes linked to a series of genetic anomalies, each of which is responsible for no more than 2–3% of cases while the total fraction of ASD attributable to genetic inheritance has been estimated to about 30–40% (Landrigan et al., 2012). At the same time, findings from neuropathology, brain gene expression, twin and sibling concordance/recurrence risk analyses, as well as evidence from studies of now-rare teratogens, all suggest that environmental influences during the prenatal period also have a substantial impact on ASD risk. For this reason, there is a need for cell models, which allow the combination of genetic background and environmental exposures.

The effects of (epi)genetic backgrounds cannot be tested in standard animal tests, which use inbred rodents (“identical twins”). On the contrary, using iPSC from patients with developmental disorders, such as ASD, makes it possible to study the molecular mechanisms of the disease, and test substance sensitivity of different genetic backgrounds. Thus, using *in vitro* models based on iPSC may prove the hypothesis that gene/environmental interactions indeed contribute to development of certain disorders. Another advantage of iPSC as a model of drug sensitivity is that their differentiation *in vitro* is similar to the stages of brain development *in utero* (Nat and Dechant, 2011). Each stage of neurodevelopment is unique and displays different sensitivities to different xenobiotics. An *in vitro* model to test chemicals for toxicity during development must thus be able to screen possible toxicants at several of these different stages.

**Organo-typic cell cultures**

Cell cultures are prone to artifacts: Cells are pushed to survive in an artificial environment giving a selection of cells that is most adapted to the culture condition. Nevertheless, for a long time, scientists have been trying to reproduce biological functions in a dish in order to discern molecular mechanisms. However, due to the complexity of some tissues and organs, traditional *in vitro* models have several limitations. The recent development of organo-typic cell cultures has improved the *in vitro* models. These models including microphysiological systems are often three-
dimensional (3D) cultures and co-cultures of different cell types, which enable the interaction of at least two or more cell types to better mimic tissue and organ complexity. These models have the potential to help us understand biological mechanisms as well as improve predictions of organism responses to medical treatment, disease agents and chemical exposure (Andersen et al., 2014; Hartung, 2014) and also to provide more relevant results (Alepee et al., 2014; Marx et al., 2012). Traditional 2D cultures poorly represent the in vivo conditions, with reduced cell-to-cell interactions, reduced cell density and completely different structural properties. Organo-typic cultures on the other hand provide notable benefits: better cell differentiation, long-term stability, higher cell-to-cell interaction and a more in vivo like environment (Alepee et al., 2014). However, these new models require special equipment, are more costly and time-consuming. In addition, the size of the 3D spheroid culture may decrease oxygen and nutrient supply and can lead to necrosis in the core (Lancaster et al., 2013). The choice of cell source for the specific organo-typic culture presents a challenge. Tumor cell lines used as 3D cultures do not restore their genetic make-up; they have multiple point mutations, chromosomal aberrations, etc., which cannot be turned back. On the other side, primary human cells present an availability problem. The use of stem cells may be a solution to this problem (Hartung, 2013).

Organo-typic models of the nervous system

In vitro models are preferred for studying different molecular and cellular processes of neurogenesis, neurodegeneration and neurotoxicity, which is key to the elucidation of the biological mechanisms of brain development and function. CNS includes different cell types (different types of neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, microglia) with high spacial and temporal organization and architecture that makes it challenging to model in vitro. The use of more complex cell models such as organo-typic cultures may improve this state, as these models have the potential to better reflect the physiology and function of the brain and nervous system.

The presence of different cell types and the specific structure of the brain are crucial to mimic the biological mechanisms involved in CNS development and function. Brain structural organization affects the connectivity between cells, network formation and communication; it secondarily affects the electrical activity, metabolism and/or specific differentiation processes. An example of a 3D multicellular structure is the blood-brain barrier (BBB). Different 3D models have been established in this area (Vandenhaute et al., 2012). Biological barriers (such as BBB and placenta) are important for studies in DNT and neurodevelopmental diseases (Giordano et al., 2009). But there are other important aspects beside structural organization and cell composition. Specific cell structures are formed during brain development by precise cell compositions and gradients of different molecules that provide a highly defined environment that supports cell differentiation and function. Organo-typic cultures combined with other new techniques (bioprinting, organ-on-chip technologies and microfluidics) have the opportunity to advance the modeling of the CNS. Finally, cell maturation is a fundamental aspect to consider where 3D models often provide the possibility to
study long-term processes. Enhanced survival in 3D is an important prerequisite for experiments addressing neurodegenerative diseases and chronic toxicity.

Two basic approaches are most commonly used to generate tissue-like cell cultures, in layers and spontaneous aggregation. Cells cultured in multilayer, have different neuronal and/or glial cells on top of each other (Schildknecht et al., 2012; Viviani et al., 1998). This can be performed in the normal dish, perforated membranes (Al Ahmad et al., 2011), scaffolds (Lu et al., 2012) or even at an air-liquid inter-phase (Dubois-Dauphin et al., 2010; Preynat-Seauve et al., 2009). 3D spheroid cultures can also be generated by spontaneous aggregation of dissociated cells (Hogberg et al., 2013; Honegger et al., 2011; Honegger et al., 1979; Honegger and Richelson, 1976; Lancaster et al., 2013) or by gravity using the hanging drop technique (Leist et al., 2012).

Spheroid cultures without external material have many advantages. Organo-typical 3D aggregates can be formed from undifferentiated cells allowing them to proliferate and differentiate all together (Chiasson et al., 1999; Kuhn and Svendsen, 1999). Moreover, neurospheres have the ability to produce their own extracellular matrix molecules (such as fibronectins and laminins), β-integrins and growth factors (Campos et al., 2004; Lobo et al., 2003). The cellular milieu of the neurosphere has been suggested to exhibit an *in vitro* microenvironment similar to the *in vivo* compartment (Conti and Cattaneo, 2010). Spheroids may also be plated onto a planar substrate. This technique has been used for electrophysiological studies and to follow differentiation during radial migration (Moors et al., 2007; Moors et al., 2009; van Vliet et al., 2007). It is noteworthy that despite differentiation onto a surface, this culture technique presents some advantages over traditional 2D cells and monolayer cultures. Cells are differentiated in 3D with the consequent organization of organoid cell systems (Ahlenius and Kokaia, 2010; Bal-Price et al., 2012; Liu and Sun, 2011; Schreiber et al., 2010). Spheroid organo-typic cultures have been generated from different cell sources, e.g., induced pluripotent stem cells (Hogberg et al., 2013) (*Figure 10.3*), neural stem cells from fetal brains, embryo carcinoma cell lines (Serra et al., 2009; Serra et al., 2007) (Serra et al., 2007; Serra et al., 2009) and primary rodent cells (van Vliet et al., 2008).

Novel 3D *in vitro* models are continuously emerging in parallel areas (regenerative medicine, neuronal diseases, neurotoxicology, and developmental biology). The

*Figure 10.3*. 3D organo-typic brain model derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells using gyratory shaking that lead to spontaneous aggregation. (A) Shows 3D cultures under constant gyratory movement. (B and C) Shows four weeks differentiated iPSC-derived neural spheroids stained positive for the neuronal marker Neurofilament 200 (NF200) (green) and dopaminergic marker Tyrosine hydroxylase (red).
combination of organo-typic culture with other technologies such as organ-on-chip, microfluidics, bioprinting, etc. is a powerful tool to study neuronal development and disease.

There are still some challenges in the application of 3D models, which have to be overcome. Some of them are specific for 3D models while others apply equally to 2D models. For instance, complex culture media do not necessarily recapitulate the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in brain. Also, the in vitro models usually do not have any barrier function that limits the access of toxicants the same way the blood-brain or the placental barrier do. A specific problem of 3D models may be limited compound diffusion to the cells, e.g., for larger models and high molecular mass compounds (e.g., nanoparticles), and limited accessibility of the cells far from the surface also needs to be considered.

**Microfluidic systems**

As mentioned above, in order to mimic the in vivo cell environment it is critical to reproduce biological functions. Traditional in vitro cultures poorly represent in vivo nutrients and oxygen perfusion. Medium change produces a drastic change of the cell environment when wastes, together with produced soluble factors are removed and new nutrients are replenished; in addition, thermal changes produce an important impact on the cells (Hartung, 2014). Moreover, long-term cell cultures present a higher risk for contamination, caused by the repeated manual intervention (Wu et al., 2010). Microfluidics were established by combining micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) and fluidic channels and have been used in numerous applications such as cell culture microenvironment control, collection of quantitative data, high-throughput analysis, constant supply of soluble factors and application of physiological stress (Kim et al., 2014). This technology is able to handle small amounts of fluids in a variation of micrometer scales (Whitesides, 2006). Perfusion can make cultures more homeostatic by continuous access to nutrients, oxygen, and cytokines, and the removal of metabolic waste. Laminar flow within microchannels can be used to control all these soluble factors of the in vitro cell environment. Different examples of microfluidics can be found in the literature with the aim to study diverse processes such as migration (Cheng et al., 2007), polarization (Shamloo et al., 2008) and axonal injury (Dolle et al., 2014). Moreover, microfluidics are used to generate different organ-on-chip approaches such as heart (Grosberg et al., 2011), lung (Huh et al., 2012) and intestine (Ramadan et al., 2013) among others. In many cases, laminar flowing fluids are used to pattern cells and their microenvironment (Pedron et al., 2015). In addition, multiple microenvironments can be applied simultaneously incorporating the cell cultures on an interface between two or more streams (Takayama et al., 2001). For example, in the lung-on-chip developed by the Wyss Institute, endothelial cells are plated between two fluids, artificial blood in one microchannel and oxygen perfusion in the other (Huh et al., 2010). Multi-stream technologies can be applied to study gradient and asymmetrically oriented signals (Xu et al., 2013).
**Bioprinting**

These techniques are based on the generation of spatially-controlled cell patterns using biological 3D printing technologies and are believed to solve some problems related to synthetic tissue/organ formation, creation of extracellular matrixes, cell isolation, etc. (Yoo, 2015). Recently, the advances in tissue engineering technologies were able to generate tissues and parts of organs (Akhyari et al., 2008; Hamilton et al., 2014). Bioprinters differ from regular 3D printers due to some modifications that keep cells functional and viable during the formation of the construct. The standard technique is to seed cells onto solid and biodegradable scaffolds, and print different cell types in a specific conformation. However, there are several variations of bioprinting technologies (see below).

The structure, cell composition and biological function of different organs and tissues are extremely diverse. The production of artificial human organs is challenging due to the current limited knowledge about the composition of functional cells along with the appropriate epicellular environment (Yoo, 2015). 3D bioprinting often uses organ/tissue templates from different sources such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computerized tomography (CT) (Zhang and Zhang, 2015). Computer-aided design and manufacturing (CAD/CAM) technologies are commonly applied to the 3D bioprinting technologies in order to manufacture a structure with an accurate anatomical shape (Seol et al., 2012). In recent years, the increment of open-source software algorithms and shared control hardware platforms has made these technologies more affordable (Yoo, 2015).

Bioprinting presents an important advantage in tissue/organ *in vitro* design, however, there are many challenges that need to be addressed besides the further development of these technologies such as, the optimization of bioscaffolds, increase of biomaterial availability, increase of similarities between biological tissues and bioprinted products, development of technologies to incorporate nutrients and oxygen supply and enhancement of the extracellular matrix incorporation (Billiet et al., 2012; Seol et al., 2012).

Currently there are three main bioprinting technologies available: Microextrusion 3D Bioprinting, Inkjet 3D Bioprinting and Laser-Assisted 3D Bioprinting.

- **Microextrusion 3D Bioprinting** is the most common due to its lower price. It consists of a temperature-controlled biomaterial dispensing system that generates continuous beads of material through 3 axes (Zhang and Zhang, 2015).

- **Inkjet 3D Bioprinting** (or drop-on-demand printer) is based on the incorporation of biomaterial droplets of variable size into the scaffold. Inkjet-based 3D bioprinting methods can produce high resolution structures due to the possibility of altering the drop size and density and due to the capacity to introduce concentration gradients of cells, materials, or growth factors throughout the droplet (Phillippi et al., 2008).

- **Laser-Assisted 3D Bioprinting** allows the precise control of cell location and microenvironment. This technology is based on the Laser-Induced Forward Transfer (LIFT) principle, where the Laser-Assisted bioprinter is able to introduce...
the biomaterial into a multilayer system made of a transparent glass slide onto which a thin layer of liquid (bio-ink) is applied (Devillard et al., 2014).

Additional technologies have been developed in recent years. Extrusion of high-viscosity, for example, a technique that generates layer-by-layer structures of embedded cells on a novel hydrogel precursor material (via gelation) has recently been developed (Pati et al., 2014). In order to increase resolution, the spherical cell-hydrogel aggregates technology has been developed, which requires high-precision technologies (Marga et al., 2012).

Only a limited number of studies have successfully printed cells from the central nervous system. Rat primary embryonic hippocampal and cortical neurons were printed into soy agar and collagen hydrogels using a modified thermal Hewlett Packard (HP) 550C printer with 90% cell viability (Xu et al., 2005). Moreover, the NT2 neuronal precursor cell line was printed together with prefabricated fibrin gels (Xu et al., 2006). Recently, Inkjet 3D Bioprinting was applied to cells of the adult rat central nervous system (CNS), retinal ganglion cells (RGC) and glial cells; RGC/glial survival and RGC neurite outgrowth did not show any alterations after the printing process as compared to normal cultures (Lorber et al., 2014). Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge there is no bioprinting technology available so far that allows the generation of in vitro tissue or organ-like structures.

**Organ-on-chip technologies**

In recent years, bioengineers and biologists have developed the organ-on-chip approach. The increment activity in these cell culture technologies has been driven by the increased awareness of the limitations of traditional approaches and the need for more complex systems. Organ-on-chip by definition is the incorporation of organotypic cultures into a chip in order to better simulate and measure biological functions of the organ-tissue. Novel platforms combining microfluidic and microfabrication technologies have the potential to simulate the function of an organ-on-chip. Microengineering has been used to mimic biological complexity by producing tissue-like structures (Khademhosseini et al., 2006) and is able to precisely control different aspects of the cell environment. In the living organism, cells are presented in a controlled microenvironment with complex regulation of cells, intracellular factors and extracellular matrix molecules. Monolayer in vitro systems cannot reproduce the 3D environment sufficiently. Microscale approaches allow better control of the cell environment, by increased cell-cell, cell-matrix and cell-soluble factor interactions (Semino, 2003). Inclusion of microfluidics in the chip will further enhance perfusion of the functional organs (Selimovic et al., 2013).

Different agencies are currently promoting programs to fund these types of technologies. One example is the collaborative R&D funding initiative by the US agencies NIH, DARPA and FDA, and another similar program sponsored by DTRA, where together $200 million have been made available over a period of 5 years (Huh et al., 2010). These programs aim to model the structure and function of human organs (Hartung and Zurlo, 2012). The organ-on-chip approaches have not only focused on generating a more physiological environment for tissue/organ-like models, but also
on mimicking the impact of physical factors such as stretch, peristaltic and pressure. Initially, these tools are being developed to help medical countermeasures for chemical and biological warfare and terrorism. Their broader use in drug discovery and toxicology is foreseeable. Numerous new applications appear daily (e.g., development of disease models). The interest shown in organs-on-chip has also been reflected in the biotechnology market. Different companies have developed and commercialized these approaches. Companies such a Nortis (US) have announced the fabrication of such technologies for 2015 and in Europe TissUse and MIMETAS are already selling “organs-on-chips” microfluidic platforms combined with organo-typic cultures. Other companies have emerged with focus on the development of organo-typic culture tools (e.g., InSphero).

Different organ-on-chip models have been published over the last years. One of the most famous examples is the lung-on-chip, developed by Wyss Institute, with a 3D model that reproduces breathing (Huh et al., 2010). The device consists of human lung cells compartmentalized on PDMS microchannels to mimic alveolar-capillary barriers. The chip reproduces mechanical stretching on the capillary by applying vacuum to the side chambers (Huh et al., 2010). Another example is the heart-on-chip that consists of cardiac myocytes isolated from ventricles in sub-millimeter-sized thin film cantilevers of soft elastomers on a channel fluidic microdevice (Agarwal et al., 2013).

**Brain-on-chip**

Several organo-typic brain cultures have been developed (Lancaster et al., 2013; Pamies et al., 2014), however, not many have yet been incorporated into a chip. Recently, Dollé and collaborators (Dolle et al., 2014) presented a brain-on-chip technology to study axonal responses to diffuse axonal injury. Hippocampal slices were isolated from brains of Sprague-Dawley rat pups and were placed on a flexible substrate (PDMS). Axon extensions were guided down the PDMS microchannels where they eventually exited and connected to the adjacent hippocampal slice. Injuries were induced by pressurizing the device and causing the PDMS to strain in a uniaxial direction. Images were taken before and after applying the uniaxial strain injury and axons were evaluated (Dolle et al., 2014). Another brain-on-chip example is the microfluidic chip based on three-dimensional (3D) neurospheroids generated by Park and collaborators (Park et al., 2015). The neurospheroids were generated from prenatal rat cortical neurons. This study showed that neurospheroids cultured with flow obtain more robust and complex neural networks than those cultured under static condition. This suggests that the perfusion of continuous nutrient, oxygen, and cytokine transport and the removal of metabolic wastes increase cell viability and maturation. However, only viability was evaluated in this study and not the other biological brain functions (Park et al., 2015). In addition, some examples of organ-on-chip technologies can be found in the blood brain barrier research, with the use of different microfluidic technologies (Booth and Kim, 2012; Cucullo et al., 2013; Griep et al., 2013; Prabhakarpandian et al., 2013; Yeon et al., 2012).
### Advanced Technologies to Measure Molecular Mechanisms of Biological Changes

#### Imaging techniques

Many different *in vitro* models can be used to study the CNS: monolayer cell culture, co-cultures, histotypic or organo-typic culture, stem cells, primary cultures, small organisms or even more complex like organ-on-chip technologies. Depending on the complexity of the model and the technology available for the parameter of interest there are different challenges in the measurements. As *in vitro* science is moving towards more representative complex systems, microscopy has faced some important limitations. For the quantitative analysis of 3D biological structures an excellent signal-to-noise ratio is needed. Moreover, other factors such as optical sectioning capability, large field of view, good spatial resolution and fast stack recording are required (Dickinson, 2006). Point-scanning techniques or wide-field microscopes are invaluable for studying complex models of the nervous system (Grienberger and Konnerth, 2012; Grutzendler et al., 2002; Lichtman and Denk, 2011). Confocal microscopy represents the best commercial technique available to measure thick biological samples, however, the excitation light has to illuminate the entire biological sample, producing photo-bleaching and phototoxic effects in all planes (Pampaloni et al., 2013). In addition, the reflection of the light makes it difficult to obtain good resolution and the limited penetration of the light makes it difficult to reach the deepest point of the tissue. As an alternative, two-photon microscopy presents a twofold higher penetration depth than confocal microscopy (Gilbert et al., 2000), however, it has lower resolution (Stelzer et al., 1994). Tomographic techniques, such as optical coherence tomography (OCT) and optical projection tomography (OPT), have been used in order to image large 3D samples (organs, tissues, 3D culture, etc.) and allow the imaging of objects from different angles and then combine them together. However, they still possess low spatial resolution (Huang et al., 1991; Sharpe et al., 2002).

Plane illumination microscopy (PIM) consists of side-on illumination of the sampler with a thin laminar sheet of light. Combining PIM with the new generation of scientific cameras (such as metal oxide silicon (sCMOS) cameras) that are able to obtain 200 image planes per second with a large number of pixels (Planchon et al., 2011) will improve the speed, resolution, reduce the energy and avoid photo-bleaching and phototoxic effects (Keller and Ahrens, 2015). Light-sheet microscopy has been used for live-imaging of different dynamic neuronal processes such as tracking of neural progenitors in the developing nervous system (Amat et al., 2014), whole-brain functional imaging at cellular resolution (Ahrens et al., 2013; Panier et al., 2013), and imaging sizeable volumes of the mammalian brain (Holekamp et al., 2008).

Another novel technology is High-Content Imaging (HCI). HCI is the combination of automated microscopy with image analysis approaches to quantify multiple phenotypic and/or functional parameters in biological systems. Both phenotypic parameters such as size, cell morphology, axon length, nuclei, mitochondria or functional parameters such as signal transduction, gene expression and metabolism can be measured by using different fluorescent dyes, antibodies and gene reporters (van Vliet et al., 2014). The major advantage of HCI is the quantity of data, which
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can be obtained from a single experiment. The application of multiple HCI read-outs from in vitro models can provide insight into the spatial distribution and dynamics of responses over time (Massoud and Gambhir, 2003) and allow identification of signaling pathways (Wink et al., 2014). Different conditions (e.g., growth factors, toxic exposure, differentiation protocols and drug identification) can be studied in the same experiment to obtain a valuable quantity of data (Barbaric et al., 2010; Buchser et al., 2004; Henn et al., 2009).

Automated imaging data is analyzed using imaging analysis algorithms that can be set up to discriminate a specific target element of the picture (e.g., nucleus, cell shape, axon elongation, branch points) or discriminate a group of parameters to define a specific situation (distinguish between cell population, detect double staining, etc.). Algorithms can be trained to define the exact size, shape and volume of biological structures (such as neurites and axons microtubules) or track cell movements over time, axon elongation and proliferation (van Vliet et al., 2014).

Still, microscopy faces some challenges such as, limited fluorescent probes, biosensors and reporters on the market; incompatibility between different probes and reporters; limitation of imaging systems for complex biological systems (3D culture, non-adherent cultures, organ-on-chip technologies, etc.) and limitations of the software. Moreover, automated analyses are not always able to identify specific cell phenotypes or biological processes (Gerhardt et al., 2001; Hansson et al., 2000). However, new technologies are tackling these problems and have advanced in the last couple of years.

**Multi-omics**

Advanced technologies allow us to use multi-omics techniques including (toxico) genomics, epigenomics, proteomics, transcriptomics, metabolomics, and miRNomics (miRNA profiling) as an integrated approach for a global assessment of biological changes. These methods have the potential to study systems biology in terms of, e.g., development, disease, pharmacology and toxicology. Quantitative measurements with multi-omics technologies can bridge the gap between molecular initiating events and relevant adverse outcomes that will be a significant step towards a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying neurological disease development as well as DNT. Furthermore, a new testing strategy can be developed and established by demonstrating the potential of human organo-typic cell models in combination with multi-omic approaches to assess biological changes.

Neural differentiation during development or after perturbation by toxicant or drug exposure is commonly analyzed by gene expression assays. Based on these data, alterations associated with a specific developmental process or disease can be identified. This approach can also be useful to classify chemicals with regard to their toxicogenomic response, and to compare in vivo and in vitro data as well as data across different species. Currently, guidelines are being developed for experimental design and bioinformatic analysis to improve the quality of transcriptomic and toxicogenomic studies.
LC-MS-based Metabolomics have been commonly used for biomarker discovery in diagnosis, prognosis, and therapeutic response applications. For example, metabolic changes in biofluids from patients with ASD have been observed (Ratajczak, 2011). Metabolomics approaches are also increasingly being applied in toxicology (Bouhifd et al., 2013; Ramirez et al., 2013). Metabolomics has several advantages: it measures the phenotype in a disease or the final outcome after a toxic insult in a cascade of events, such as alterations of genes, transcripts, proteins and finally metabolites. Owing to the biological complexity, changes in one of them may or may not lead to changes in the others. Determining the final alteration (metabolites) enhances the possibility of understanding the actual disease or toxicity and to associate the effects with adverse outcomes or phenotypic changes. Cell-based metabolomics has the advantage that both extracellular and intracellular metabolites can be measured. Metabolites detected in extracellular samples (cell culture media), can be associated with in vivo identified biomarkers from biofluids, while the intracellular samples (cell lysate) can provide information about the toxic mechanism and key pathways on the cellular level.

In the last decade, the understanding of post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression has emerged, thanks to the discovery of miRNAs, small (~22 nt) non-coding regulatory RNA molecules, which bind to specific binding sites in 3'UTR of target mRNAs and repress their translation. The important role of miRNA networks in maintenance of crucial cellular processes and cascades, especially during development (Chua et al., 2007; Rana, 2007), and that 50% of all miRNA are expressed in the brain, make them powerful regulators of brain development. Increasing number of publications elucidating the role of miRNAs in cellular response to environmental stress, including xenobiotics (reviewed in Smirnova et al., 2012), make miRNA profiling an attractive tool to study cellular responses to toxicant exposure or in disease. miRNA microarrays or RNA sequencing are used to generate miRNA profiles that are then validated by real-time PCR.

Other epigenetic mechanisms, including DNA methylation, histone modification, and nucleosome remodeling regulate lineage-specific gene expressions by influencing chromatin structure and modulating DNA-protein interactions (Cantone and Fisher, 2013). Recent studies have revealed that dynamic regulation of epigenetic programming occurs during early development; methylation patterns are then mitotically inherited in somatic cells, thus preserving the cell identity of differentiated cells (Cantone and Fisher, 2013). Emerging data suggest that non-traditional roles for CpG and non-CpG (i.e., CpT, CpA, or CpC) methylation may be particularly important during brain development (Guo et al., 2014; Kozlenkov et al., 2014). In addition, imprinted genes heavily influence neurodevelopment and disruption of their brain-specific expression patterns can lead to a variety of behavioral phenotypes (reviewed in Plasschaert and Bartolomei, 2014). To identify candidate genomic regions with perturbations in DNA methylation, genome-wide DNA methylation profiles using Whole Genome Bisulfite Sequencing can be applied (WGBS). The high-content data from WGBS is then validated by targeted bisulfite clonal Sanger sequencing for imprinted regions and bisulfite pyrosequencing for other candidate regions.
**Microelectrode arrays (MEAs) to study neuronal functionality**

Neuronal functionality is most commonly assessed by measuring synaptic activity using traditional techniques such as Ca\(^{2+}\) live imaging or electrophysiology measurements by patch-clamping. However, these methods are not suitable for more complex 3D cell systems such as organo-typic and brain-on-chip models. Recording of extracellular field potential using microelectrode arrays (MEAs) instead is a useful tool in these models as it measures the collective activity of many cells.

The first recordings using MEAs were performed on neurons from the spinal cord of mice in the 1980’s (Gross et al., 1982) and since then the technique has evolved into better and easier recording systems. MEAs have mainly been used to characterize functionality and pharmacological responses of *in vitro* systems from primary cells, such as hippocampal slices and dissociated cultures from the spinal cord and cortex. However, recently MEAs have been used for more complex models such as 3D brain cell cultures and human stem cells (Makinen et al., 2013; van Vliet et al., 2007; Yla-Outinen et al., 2010; Yla-Outinen et al., 2014).

A significant advantage of MEA recordings is the possibility of measuring electrical activity without disturbing the cellular integrity. The same culture can therefore be measured repeatedly over a long period of time (Esposti et al., 2009). Furthermore, neurons cultured *in vitro* reveal spontaneous electrical activity with the traditional spiking and bursting pattern as observed *in vivo*. In addition, they respond in a similar way to a wide variety of neurotransmitters and pharmacological agonists and antagonists (Gramowski et al., 2006; Keefer et al., 2001; Martinoia et al., 2005; van Vliet et al., 2007).

Recently, electrical activity measurements using MEAs have shown to be a promising tool for both neurotoxicity (Johnstone et al., 2010; Mack et al., 2014; Valdivia et al., 2014) and DNT assessment (Hogberg et al., 2011; Robinette et al., 2011). MEAs also have the potential to be valuable in studies of neuronal disorders, especially with the opportunity to develop disease models using iPSCs.

**Better cell systems also need better quality assurance**

Good Cell Culture Practice (GCCP) (Coecke et al., 2005) and publication guidance for *in vitro* studies (Leist et al., 2010) are highly important for the implementation of complex cell systems like 3D cultures, microfluidic systems, and organ-on-chip. There is currently little standardization, harmonization and comparison of these cell models. However, such quality assurance will be necessary to make these new approaches valuable tools for basic research, drug discovery and safety assessments.

Cell cultures are prone to artifacts (Hartung, 2007)—far too many artificially chosen and difficult-to-control conditions influence our experiments. Therefore, quality assurance is an essential aspect of successful and reliable research projects. While Good Laboratory Practice (GLP)—at least originally—addressed only regulatory *in vivo* studies, and ISO guidance is not really specific for life science tools, neither addresses the key issue, i.e., the relevance of a test. This is the truly unique contribution of validation, which is far too infrequently applied in other settings.
Conclusions

Developmental neurobiology and neurotoxicity are areas in desperate need of predictive human cell-based test models. The complexity of the CNS requires advanced culture techniques to approximate this in the laboratory set-up. The advent of stem cell technologies, especially iPSC, and organo-typic cultures, has made new models available, which might help close this gap.

Figure 10.4. Summary of advanced cell systems and techniques to study neural biology.
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Neural Cell Biology


Anesthesia and Neural Plasticity

Laszlo Vutskits

Introduction

Every day worldwide, millions of patients receive general anesthesia for surgical and diagnostic procedures. The principal goals of general anesthesia are to provide loss of consciousness or sedation along with related anxiolysis and amnesia, analgesia and, if needed, muscle relaxation. These goals are usually achieved using a combination of drugs, and are aimed to protect the patient from harmful stimuli of many kinds during the perioperative period. Drugs which dose-dependently induce sedation or loss of consciousness are called general anesthetics (GA). While the exact mechanisms of actions through which GA induce loss of consciousness are to be yet incompletely elucidated, it is nevertheless well established that these drugs act on a wide variety of ligand-gated ion channels and, thereby, exert major influence on several neurotransmitter systems, including γ-amino butyric acid (GABA) and glutamate (Rudolph and Antkowiak, 2004). These neurotransmitters play a major role in the maintenance of central nervous system (CNS) homeostasis and are of primary importance to determine the excitation/inhibition balance in neuronal networks (Akerman and Cline, 2007; Baroncelli et al., 2011; Eichler and Meier, 2008; Nguyen et al., 2001). Hence, GA-induced pharmacological interference with the physiological patterns of neurotransmission might rapidly induce dysregulation of brain homeostasis, which, in turn, could lead to loss of consciousness.
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While exposure to general anesthesia has been initially considered to induce a rapidly reversible state of CNS function, increasing number of both clinical observations and laboratory studies conducted during the past few decades suggest that this might not be the case (Lin et al., 2014). There is now ample experimental evidence, along with some human clinical data, suggesting that even a single and relatively short exposure to GA might generate lasting effects extending far beyond the perioperative period (Lei et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2014). Importantly, these effects have been suggested to represent both protective and toxic or even of therapeutic value (Vutskits, 2012; Vutskits, 2014). Indeed, the very same GA have been demonstrated to induce neurotoxicity when administered at critical periods of development, neuroprotection in the event of ischemic brain injury and even clinically relevant therapeutics in some psychiatric disorders such as depression. The ensemble of these observations thus strongly suggests that the impact of GA on the CNS depends on the pathophysiological context in which any given organism is exposed to these drugs. If so, GA should be considered as context-dependent modulators of neuronal homeostasis and thereby of neuronal plasticity. The aim of this chapter is to provide insights into this concept. First, to better understand the concept of how and why GA should be considered as context-dependent modulators of neural plasticity, some definitions on plasticity will be provided. This will be followed by presenting the potential impact of these drugs on the developing central nervous system. Mechanisms of plasticity in the context of anesthesia exposure in the adult brain will then be discussed. Finally recent insights into the therapeutic role of general anesthesia in major depressive disorders will be given. Wherever possible, fundamental molecular and cellular mechanisms linking neural plasticity to these phenomena will be discussed.

Neural Plasticity versus Neurotoxicity: Definitions

The word plasticity stems from the greek word “plasticos” (πλαστίκος) that depicts the capability of an object or an organism of being shaped. Accordingly, neural plasticity can be defined as changes in neuronal systems due to changed environment or altered homeostatic conditions. These changes can involve both structural and molecular alterations, like neuronal loss or, in contrast, the generation of new neurons. Synaptic plasticity is an important aspect of neuronal plasticity and depicts the ability of a neuron or neuronal networks to change the strength of their connections in order to adapt to changed environment. This can be achieved via modulation of receptor number and/or composition of synapses as well as via changes in the morphology or even in the number of synaptic contacts. Synaptic plasticity has strong physiological relevance not only during CNS development but also in adults where it is generally considered to underlie fundamental mechanisms such as cognition and memory. Last but not least, synaptic plasticity does play a major role in recovery following lesions of the brain. Understanding the mechanisms guiding plasticity of neural networks is therefore of utmost importance.

It is very important to realize the fundamental differences between the concepts of “plasticity” and “toxicity”. Indeed, toxicity derives from the Greek word “toxicon” (τοξικόν), which refers to the poison the solders put on their arrows (toxon - τοξόν)
to kill the enemy. Thus, neurotoxicity rather reflects the degree to which a substance can cause physical harm or damage to the nervous system such as cell death. This is of course in striking contrast to plasticity used by biological systems to adapt their continuously changing environment.

**Anesthesia and the Developing Brain: An Emerging Public Health Concern**

The possibility that exposure to anesthesia during early life may induce long-term adverse effects on the central nervous system is an intensely discussed issue with potential public health implications (Rappaport et al., 2015; Servick, 2014). There is experimental evidence in laboratory animals, from rodents to non-human primates, that exposure to GA can induce cell death, impaired neurogenesis and synaptic development as well as cognitive deficits in crude behavioral tests (Lin et al., 2014). These effects depend on the developmental stage at which the animals exposed to GA and the length of exposure. While most currently used GA, with some possible exceptions, have been shown to induce cell death at distinct stages of brain development, we do not know whether there is a causal relationship between this phenomenon and altered neurocognitive function. Also, since the majority of these experiments have been performed in the absence of surgery, we do not know if GA in that context exacerbate injury or, in contrast, would protect from the surgical insult. The situation is by far more complicated in the human setting. There is a weak and mixed evidence for an association between anesthesia/surgery in early childhood and increased risk of poor neurodevelopmental outcome (Davidson et al., 2015; Lei et al., 2014). However, we have largely incomplete knowledge of which children are at greatest risk of poor developmental outcome in this context. Most importantly, we do not know whether the observed association is indeed linked to GA per se or other factors like surgery-induced inflammation and problems linked to anesthesia management might also contribute to these findings. At present, our knowledge is based on results obtained from retrospective human cohort studies with a large number of confounding factors. Given that adequate analgesia and reduction of perioperative stress response plays a major role in patient outcome following surgery (Anand and Hickey, 1992), it is definitely clear that anesthesia should not be withheld from young children at the current state of our knowledge. Neither there is evidence that any anesthetic regimen is better or worse in this context. Future research, both fundamental/translational and clinical is needed to provide answers to these issues.

**Biological Rational for Anesthetics-induced Modulation of Neuronal Plasticity during Brain Development**

General anesthetics have been demonstrated to influence neurotransmitter signaling through a wide variety of ligand-gated ionotropic transmembrane receptors (Rudolph and Antkowiak, 2004). Many of these receptors are expressed from early stages of development and were proposed to act as potent morphogens during the assembly of the CNS guiding both progenitor proliferation, cell migration and neuronal
differentiation (Nguyen et al., 2001; Owens and Kriegstein, 2002; Represa and Ben-Ari, 2005; Waters and Machaalani, 2004). A specific ontogenic progression in the expression of different receptor subunit genes characterizes the subunit assembly of the majority of ionotropic receptors suggesting that these protein complexes might respond differently to endogenous and exogenous ligands at distinct stages of neural development (Herlenius and Lagercrantz, 2004; Lujan et al., 2005). For example, in humans, AMPA/kainate receptors are expressed in the neural tube as early as the 5th gestational week, while GABA\(_\alpha\) receptors appear around the 6th and NMDA receptors around the 10th week of gestation (Bardoul et al., 1998; Ritter et al., 2001). Anatomical mapping of ionotropic receptor subunits in the developing rodent CNS reveals a complex, transient and region-specific expression pattern of these proteins, and it is now well established that signaling characteristics of these receptors in the embryonic/early postnatal period differ markedly from those expressed in the adult brain (Hutcheon et al., 2004; Laurie et al., 1992; Poulter et al., 1992; Yu et al., 2006). This, in turn, implies that the effects of anesthetics on neural plasticity might depend upon the developmental stage at which neural systems are exposed to these drugs.

In the vertebrate CNS, formation of synaptic contacts takes place over a protracted period of development, extending from early embryonic life into adulthood. The most intense phase of synaptogenesis, also called the brain growth spurt, is highly species-dependent. In the rodent cerebral cortex, this period is restricted to a relatively narrow time window taking place between the second and fourth postnatal weeks (De Felipe et al., 1997; Juraska, 1982). The brain region-specific temporal evolution pattern of synaptogenesis is by far the most described in the rhesus monkey, where an up to 17-fold increase in the number of synapses occurs within a few months during the perinatal period (Rakic et al., 1994). In humans, based on analysis of postmortem tissue, a comparable extension in the number of synapses is observed between the third trimester of pregnancy and the first few years of postnatal life (Huttenlocher, 1979; Huttenlocher and Dabholkar, 1997; Huttenlocher and de Courten, 1987; Huttenlocher et al., 1982; Petanjek et al., 2011). In all these aforementioned species, the perinatal exponential synaptic growth leads to an initial overproduction of synapses and then to a selective pruning of synaptic contacts extending from puberty to adolescents and beyond (De Felipe et al., 1997; Juraska, 1982; Petanjek et al., 2011; Rakic et al., 1994).

Early life experience plays a decisive role to prime life-long behavioral patterns. This has been convincingly demonstrated by the pioneering work of Spalding regarding filial imprinting in domestic chicken (Spalding, 1872), and later by Lorenz who demonstrated that incubator-hatched greylag geese would imprint on the first suitable stimulus they detect within a well-defined temporal window between 13–16 hours following hatching (Lorenz, 1958). These initial observations, providing convincing evidence on the persistent impact of brief stimuli when applied at critical developmental stages, were subsequently confirmed by a large amount of experimental and clinical data suggesting periods of extreme vulnerability in the majority of developing cognitive, motor and sensory systems (Hensch, 2004). Today, critical periods are defined as brain region-specific and temporally restricted time windows when brain circuits that subserve a given function are particularly receptive to acquiring certain kinds of information, or even need that instructive signal for their continued normal development (Hensch, 2004). Since this enhanced receptivity implies high modulability of
developing neural circuitry, critical periods are characterized by significantly heightened levels of neural plasticity.

Experience translates into neuronal activity that, in turn, exerts a preponderant epigenetic influence on the development of neural circuitry (Zhang and Poo, 2001). Indeed, while type-specific morphology of CNS neurons as well as their initial connectivity patterns are genetically determined (Jan and Jan, 2003), neuronal activity triggers a variety of molecular pathways involved in axon pathfinding, dendritic differentiation and synaptogenesis aimed to refine developing synaptic networks (Zhang and Poo, 2001). Electrical activity has both direct and indirect effects on intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis and on the transduction efficacy of non-ionophoric membrane proteins (Cooper et al., 1998; Ghosh and Greenberg, 1995).

Importantly, synaptic currents-generated focal electric fields can guide electrophoretic or electroosmotic migration of various intracellular components, such as receptors and transporters, into the cell membrane or in within the cytoplasm (Poo and Young, 1990; Ranck, 1964). This, in turn, would lead to gradients in the cellular distribution patterns of these molecules, facilitating thereby specific molecular interactions and localizations at synaptic sites.

Pharmacological interference with physiological patterns of neuronal activity during critical periods of development can have significant long-term effects on neural circuitry assembly and function (Hensch, 2004). Through drug-induced targeting of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurotransmission, as achieved by general anesthetics, both hyperexcitation and silencing of neural networks have been shown to disrupt critical period plasticity, leading thereby to markedly altered information processing in the CNS (Hensch, 2005). A recent series of experiments shed light on the particular role of GABA\(_A\) receptor-mediated neurotransmission on the control of timing regarding the onset and the duration of critical period plasticity (Hensch, 2005; Hensch et al., 1998). Indeed, the onset of critical periods can be brought forward by enhancing GABAergic neurotransmission with benzodiazepines or by promoting the maturation of interneurons through excess of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) expression. Conversely, delaying maturation of GABAergic systems delays the onset of plasticity. These findings are of fundamental importance and constitute the first steps towards understanding how critical period plasticity can be manipulated in order to enable the development of novel training paradigms and therapies for efficacious life-long learning or recovery following brain injuries.

**Impact of General Anesthetics on Synaptic Plasticity during the Brain Growth Spurt**

The aforementioned decisive role of neural activity in shaping brain development along with the fact that GA can exert major modulatory effects on physiological patterns of neural activity raise the plausible hypothesis that these drugs could display a major impact on developing neuronal networks. A substantial amount of initial research investigated this possibility in the context of environmental exposure of pregnant operating room personnel to anesthesia gases such as halothane. Using experimental paradigms where rats were chronically exposed to low concentrations of halothane in
uterine and/or during the early postnatal period, the ensemble of these studies revealed that administration of this drug during CNS development permanently impaired dendritic arbor development, decreased synapse density and induced significant functional deficits in learning and behavior (Chang et al., 1974; Quimby et al., 1974; Quimby et al., 1975; Uemura et al., 1985).

The question of whether and how anesthetics impact on differentiating neural networks during the brain growth spurt has only been addressed during the last few years. One difficulty to study this question is that, as described above, developmental synaptogenesis is species-specifically actively ongoing over a period of weeks to years, an issue that has important implications regarding experimental design and extrapolation of animal data to human relevance. Indeed, since significant evolution in ionotropic receptor systems and transporters is observed during the brain growth spurt (Lujan et al., 2005), functional characteristics of ionotropic receptor-mediated neurotransmissions can be fundamentally different between earlier and later stages of this period (Nusser, 2012). Of utmost importance, due to high intracellular concentrations of chloride compared to the extracellular space, GABA_A receptor-mediated neurotransmission is depolarizing during the early phase of the brain growth spurt. The functional switch towards the hyperpolarizing actions of this neurotransmitter is linked to the developmental expression of the K^+ - Cl^- cotransporter KCC2, which extrudes actively intracellular Cl^- from neurons (Kaila et al., 2014; Rivera et al., 1999). Significant increase in the expression pattern of this protein occurs between the 10th and 14th postnatal days in rodents and from around the last trimester of pregnancy in humans (Kaila et al., 2014; Vanhatalo et al., 2005). The ensemble of these observations thus strongly suggests a differential impact of GA on neurotransmitter systems and related neural network formation at distinct stages of the brain growth spurt. Hence, in laboratory investigations aimed to evaluate potential anesthesia-related adverse neurodevelopmental effects, focusing on one sole experimental time point most probably does not allow us to draw general conclusions on the effect of a given drug on synaptic growth.

In a seminal series of experiments, the group of Jevtovic-Todorovic exposed 7-day-old rat pups to a mixture of midazolam—nitrous oxide—isoﬂurane for 6 hours (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003). In addition to observe significantly increased neuronal apoptosis one day following this treatment, they also demonstrated impairment in hippocampal neuronal networks by electrophysiological recordings using the long-term potentiation paradigm 3 weeks post anesthesia exposure (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003). These latter results indicated that single anesthesia exposure during early stages of the brain growth spurt induces persistent alterations in synaptic function. In line with these data, the same group have subsequently demonstrated anesthesia induced neurite scarcity, mitochondrial degeneration and a 30–40% decrease of synaptic profiles in the developing subiculum when evaluated two weeks after the anesthesia exposure (Lunardi et al., 2010). In depth morphometric analysis of synaptic structure revealed a comparable loss of both excitatory and inhibitory synapses in the anesthesia exposed group. Also, the relative ratios between axo-spinous and axo-dendritic synaptic contacts between drug exposed and control littermates remained comparable, further suggesting a lasting and non-selective synaptotoxicity of anesthetics under these experimental conditions. In recent works, the authors also demonstrated a significant
decrease of mitochondrial profiles in presynaptic terminals following the same anesthesia regimen, and this was correlated with highly disturbed synaptic transmission in the subiculum as well as in the CA1 region of the hippocampal formation. Since the important roles of mitochondria in fueling synaptogenesis and maintaining synaptic plasticity are well established, these results suggest that GA-induced interference with mitochondrial homeostasis might play a central role in anesthetics-induced impairment of synaptogenesis and thus of neural network function (Sanchez et al., 2011). They also raise the possibility that pharmacological protection of mitochondria during general anesthesia protects developing neural circuitry (Boscolo et al., 2013). In line with this hypothesis, recent laboratory work suggests that mitochondria in fact could be a potential therapeutic target to abolish or at least temper GA-induced morphological damage and related cognitive impairment in the developing brain (Ben-Shachar and Laifenfeld, 2004; Boscolo et al., 2012).

Further insights into the molecular mechanisms involved in GA-induced synaptic loss during the early stages of the brain growth spurt from the group of Dr. Patel. These studies, conducted principally on 5–7-day-old primary neuronal cultures isolated from neonatal rodent brains, reveal a central role for GA-induced modulation of tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) release and a relatedly altered brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) signaling in anesthesia-induced synaptic loss and neuroapoptosis (Head et al., 2009; Lemkuil et al., 2011; Pearn et al., 2012). Indeed, in this model, both isoflurane and propofol have been shown to reduce presynaptic release of tPA that is needed to convert plasminogen to plasmin which, in turn, is required to cleave constitutively released pro-BDNF to mature BDNF. While mature BDNF acts on its high affinity tyrosine kinase B receptor to promote cell survival and synaptic plasticity, pro-BDNF primarily binds to the low affinity neurotrophin receptor p75 (p75NTR). Activation of p75NTR, via the downstream effector RhoA kinase, induces actin depolarization and related synapse loss and cell death. In addition to providing a potential framework for future neuroprotective approaches, these results also bring important arguments in favor of the possibility that GA are powerful modulators of highly relevant signaling pathways implicated in the modulation of neural plasticity.

Another approach to study morpho-functional aspects of synaptic plasticity is to focus on dendritic spines, representing primary postsynaptic sites of excitatory synaptic contacts onto pyramidal neurons (Holtmaat and Svoboda, 2009). These structures are particularly well-suited for studying morpho-functional aspects of synaptogenesis in the developing as well as in the adult brain (Arellano et al., 2007a). Given that less than 4% of dendritic spines lack synapses (Arellano et al., 2007b), changes in dendritic spine densities reflect alterations in the amount of excitatory synaptic inputs onto these principal cells. Importantly, correlation between spine morphology and function is now well established. Among them, spine head volume has been shown to be directly proportional to the size of postsynaptic density, the presynaptic number of docked vesicles, and thus the releasable pool of neurotransmitters (Harris and Stevens, 1989; Schikorski and Stevens, 2001). Iontophoretic injection of Lucifer Yellow into pyramidal neurons is an extensively validated method to reliably reveal dendritic spine morphology (Benavides-Piccione et al., 2002; Briner et al., 2010; Briner et al., 2011). Using this technique, and in line with existing ultrastructural data, our research group have recently shown a more than tenfold increase in dendritic spine densities of
layer 5 pyramidal neurons during the first postnatal month in the rat medial prefrontal cortex (Briner et al., 2011). To assess how GA affect this intense dendritic spine growth on these neurons, rat pups were exposed to propofol at distinct developmental time points throughout the brain growth spurt. These experiments revealed that the effect of propofol on dendritic spines depends on the developmental stage at which this drug is administered. In fact, when administered at postnatal day 5 or 10, even a single dose of propofol induced a significant decrease in the number of dendritic spines, while an important increase in spine density was observed when the drug was administered at later stages of the peak synaptogenic period, such as postnatal day 15 or 20 (Briner et al., 2011). Of utmost importance, the acute effects of propofol exposure on dendritic spines during early postnatal life persisted into adulthood in these animals, suggesting thereby that even brief exposure to this drug could permanently alter circuitry assembly and function. Since propofol, similarly to the majority of currently used GA, is a powerful potentiator of GABA\(_A\) receptor-mediated neurotransmission, one plausible hypothesis is that these differential effects depend on the developmental switch regarding the functional modalities of GABAergic neurotransmission. To gain insights into this issue, we have thus precociously expressed KCC2 in a subset of pyramidal neurons by means of in utero electroporation resulting in an increased efficacy of chloride extrusion and thereby of GABAergic inhibition, in these cells. This genetic manipulation completely prevented propofol-induced dendritic spine and synaptic loss during early stages of the brain growth spurt (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2013). These results mean that KCC2-dependent developmental increase in the efficacy of GABA\(_A\)R-mediated inhibition is a major determinant of the age-dependent actions of propofol, and probably of other anesthetics acting on the GABA\(_A\)R, on synapse assembly in the developing cerebral cortex.

The developmental stage-dependent effects of anesthetics on circuitry development might also be related to the important changes in GABA\(_A\) receptor subunit composition during early postnatal life which, in turn, significantly modify GABAergic inhibitory tone modalities (Bosman et al., 2002; Fritschy et al., 1994; Laurie et al., 1992; Yu et al., 2006). In this context, a role for the \(\alpha_1\) subunit of this receptor complex in excitatory synaptogenesis has recently been suggested (Heinen et al., 2003). In the rodent brain, the expression of the \(\alpha_1\) subunit is upregulated around PND 14, and genetic deletion of this subunit results in significantly impaired dendritic spine development (Heinen et al., 2003). It is also conceivable that, independent of their actions on the GABA\(_A\) receptor complex, anesthetics can also modify the secretion or signaling pathways of trophic factors that are directly involved in synaptogenesis. Amongst these molecules, brain-derived neurotrophic factor is an interesting candidate and, as mentioned above, a dual role for this neurotrophin has recently been suggested to explain the developmental stage-dependent effects of anesthetics on synaptogenesis (Head et al., 2009). Finally, it is important to note that the early postnatal period is characterized by major changes in the expression patterns and functions of several molecular classes, including, amongst others, adhesion molecules, growth factor and neurotransmitter signaling pathways, all of which are known to be important determinants of synaptogenesis. Clearly, a large number of further studies are needed to investigate these important issues.
The fact that propofol rapidly induces significant increases in dendritic spine densities during the brain growth spurt gives rise to the possibility that GA could also exert an important impact on the assembly of neural circuitry at developmental stages when these drugs no longer induce neuroapoptosis anymore. To further extend this possibility, in a related series of works, we have shown that other anesthetics, such as volatile agents, midazolam or ketamine exert similar boosting effects on dendritic spine densities (Briner et al., 2010; De Roo et al., 2009). The phenomenon of GA-induced increase in dendritic spine densities is not restricted to the medial prefrontal cortex but could also be found in other cortical regions as well as in the hippocampus. Most importantly, using quantitative electron microscopy, we have shown that increases in the number of dendritic spines indeed reflect an increase in the number of excitatory synaptic profiles (Briner et al., 2011). Altogether, these observations further strengthen the view that GA can act as powerful modulators of synaptogenesis during critical periods of brain development.

During the early postnatal period, dendritic spines display intense protrusive motility resulting in the possibility of spine formation/elimination in within the time scale of minutes. Post-hoc analysis of dendritic spine and synapse density or shape will thus not allow us to gain insight into how anesthetics modulate these highly dynamic processes. On the other hand, since in vivo tracing of dendritic spine plasticity is only feasible under general anesthesia, these experiments would be hindered by the necessity of exposing the animals to anesthetics. To circumvent this issue, we took advantage of organotypic hippocampal slice cultures where the three-dimensional organization of neural circuitry is preserved (Stoppini et al., 1991) and the temporal dynamics of dendritic spines can be traced using two-photon confocal microscopy (De Roo et al., 2008a; De Roo et al., 2008b). Using this approach, we have shown that the GA-induced increase in spine density is mediated through an increased rate of protrusion formation, a better stabilization of newly formed spines that, ultimately, led to an increased formation of functional synapses (De Roo et al., 2009).

Findings that both anesthetics that enhance GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-mediated inhibition and those blocking NMDA receptor mediated excitation promoted spine growth and synaptogenesis suggests that GA-induced interference with physiological patterns of E/I balance is a major determinant of this drug-induced synaptic growth process. This possibility is in line with previous observations where prolonged pharmacological interference with excitatory or inhibitory receptors impaired spine densities and synapse properties (Adesnik et al., 2008; Luthi et al., 2001; Mateos et al., 2007; Muller et al., 1993; Ultanir et al., 2007; Zha et al., 2005). Compared to these previous reports, our observations demonstrate that spine growth triggered by changes in E/I balance can be extremely fast, and is achieved by blockade of both AMPA and NMDA receptors, indicating that it is very sensitive to NMDA-dependent mechanisms (De Roo et al., 2009). The functional relevance of this anesthesia-triggered, E/I balance-mediated massive reorganization of synaptic circuitry during the brain growth spurt remains to be determined. It is tempting to speculate that the fast regulation of synapse number could adjust the level of excitatory activity required for ensuring plasticity-mediated mechanisms. This could be particularly important at times when synapse turnover is high and selection of appropriate contacts is a major issue.
Whether anesthetics-induced perturbations of synaptogenesis are translated to behavioral or cognitive alterations is an important issue. In this context, previous experimental work suggests a causal link between early anesthesia exposure and impaired cognitive testing in multiple behavioral paradigms at later periods (Fredriksson et al., 2007; Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003; Stratmann et al., 2009). How these changes are related to impaired neural circuitry development remains to be explored. A growing body of human pathological evidence indicates that many psychiatric and neurological disorders, ranging from mental retardation and autism to Alzheimer’s disease and addiction, are accompanied by alterations in spine morphology and synapse number (Penzes et al., 2011; van Spronsen and Hoogenraad, 2010). It is thus tempting to speculate that the anesthetics-induced alterations in synaptic density and structure might stand as a morphological basis underlying impaired neurocognitive performance associated with early anesthesia exposure in humans (Flick et al., 2011; Sprung et al., 2012; Wilder et al., 2009).

Most experimental research in the context of developmental anesthesia neuroplasticity has been focused on the excitatory components of neural transmission and much less is known about how anesthetics influence the development of GABAergic systems. The functional units of these networks are GABA-releasing interneurons, representing 10%–25% of all neurons in the cerebral cortex (Le Magueresse and Monyer, 2013). These cells are primarily generated in embryonic life in the ganglionic eminences of the ventral telencephalon, from where they migrate toward the cerebral cortex to control and orchestrate the activity of pyramidal neurons. Compared to principal cells, GABAergic interneurons display a wide variety of morphological and physiological characteristics, rendering their classification rather challenging (Petilla Interneuron Nomenclature et al., 2008). Additionally, interneurons display a high degree of functional plasticity by means of environmentally-induced changes in the expression patterns of several intracellular calcium binding proteins such as parvalbumin, calbindin or calretinin (Markram et al., 2004). This form of GABAergic plasticity has been suggested to play an important role during critical periods of early postnatal life when immature neural circuitry is particularly sensitive to extrinsic cues (Hensch, 2004). To gain insights into this issue, in a recent series of experiments, we evaluated whether and how the benzodiazepine midazolam influences expression patterns of calcium binding proteins in developing GABAergic interneurons (Osterop et al., 2015). We found that exposure at P5 led to a subsequent increase in the number of parvalbumin positive neurons in lower cortical layers, and midazolam administration at P15 increased the number of both parvalbumin and calretinin expressing neurons 5 days following exposure in the rat medial prefrontal cortex. The physiological significance of these findings remains to be determined.

**General Anesthetics and Neural Plasticity in the Adult Brain**

In the majority of mammalian species, including humans, generation of adult-born neurons takes places in two distinct brain regions: the anterior subventricular zone and the hippocampal dentate gyrus (Abrous et al., 2005; Curtis et al., 2007; Eriksson et al., 1998). An increasing number of experimental studies support a role
for adult neurogenesis in memory processing of the adult brain (Abrous et al., 2005; Deng et al., 2010; Koehl and Abrous, 2011). Newly generated neurons from the adult anterior subventricular zone migrate into the olfactory bulb where they are involved in olfactory memories (Arenkiel, 2010; Breton-Provencher et al., 2009; Mandairon et al., 2011), whereas adult-born dentate granule cells integrate into hippocampal circuitry and play a role in mediating complex forms of spatial and associative memories (Deng et al., 2010; Koehl and Abrous, 2011). Significant alterations in the rate of adult neurogenesis have been reported in experimental models of depression, epilepsy, neurodegenerative disorders and stroke (Kokaia, 2011; Samuels and Hen, 2011; Winner et al., 2011). Although direct evidence is currently lacking, animal data strongly suggest a causal link between altered neurogenesis and cognitive dysfunction (Villeda et al., 2011).

Neural network activity plays a crucial role in hippocampal neurogenesis (Deng et al., 2010). Initial differentiation of newly born dentate granule cells relies on tonic activation by ambient GABA. These cells then establish GABAergic synapses and thereby start to integrate into the hippocampal network during the second week after their birth while glutamatergic synaptic inputs are detectable from the third week (Deng et al., 2010). The physiological balance between E/I signaling is of paramount importance for appropriate dentate granule cell development and function (Liu, 2004; Marin-Burgin et al., 2012). Related to this context, an important series of recent works evaluated how anesthetics interfere with hippocampal neurogenesis (Stratmann et al., 2010; Stratmann et al., 2009). In these experiments, exposure of 7-day-old rats to isoflurane decreased neuronal progenitor proliferation and caused persistent deficit of hippocampal function as revealed by fear conditioning and spatial reference memory tasks. In contrast, isoflurane-induced interference with neuronal homeostasis in 2-month-old rats increased progenitor proliferation and neuronal differentiation and this was correlated with improved memory function. Interestingly, the same treatment paradigm did not seem to interfere with hippocampal neurogenesis and function in aged rats. An important issue in the context of adult neurogenesis is to determine whether and how anesthetics modify the integration of adult neurogenic zone-derived newly born neurons into the existing functional circuitry in these brain regions. To study this question, we labeled progenitors of hippocampal neurons using a retroviral vector expressing the green fluorescent protein in adult mice. We then exposed these animals to a 6-hour-long propofol anesthesia at eleven or seventeen days after the labeling procedure, corresponding to two functionally distinct stage of hippocampal progenitor differentiation (Krzisch et al., 2013). Our results revealed that propofol impaired the survival and maturation of adult-born neurons in an age-dependent manner by inducing reduced dendritic maturation of 17-day-old but not that of 11-day-old neurons. These data are further strengthened with new observations demonstrating that it is most probably the age of the neuron rather than the post conceptual age that determines vulnerability to anesthesia exposure (Hofacer et al., 2013).
The Influence of General Anesthesia on Experimental Designs in Young Animals

The fact that, at least during the brain growth spurt, anesthetics rapidly and significantly impair dendritic spine growth and synaptogenesis have major technical implications regarding experimental design in studies where young animals should undergo general anesthesia during the early postnatal period for surgery or, most importantly, for live imaging of dendritic spine plasticity. For example, recent studies using in vivo 2-photon confocal imaging have reported important pruning in spine number between the second and fourth week of life in mice (Holtmaat et al., 2005). This is, however, at variance with in vivo studies based on classical staining methods demonstrating a continuous increase in spine densities during this very same period (De Felipe et al., 1997; Micheva and Beaulieu, 1996; Petit et al., 1988). One plausible explanation for this apparent discrepancy is that the in vivo imaging studies have been carried out in mice that had undergone a long anesthesia for the preparation and image acquisition during the 2-photon imaging approach. This manipulation probably boosted spine density in these young mice and, as shown by our studies (Briner et al., 2010; Briner et al., 2011; De Roo et al., 2009), analysis in animals anesthetized around postnatal day 15 then suggest a subsequent pruning of spines over the next two weeks, while analysis of mice that did not undergo anesthesia conversely indicate a progressive increase in spine density. This example, among probably many others, underlines the importance of anesthesia as an important source of misinterpretation of experimental data during the early postnatal period.

Based on the developmental stage-dependent differential effects of anesthetics on synaptic growth, assessing multiple developmental time points in experimental studies is of utmost importance in view of human relevance. Initial seminal works revealing the possibility of anesthesia-related developmental neurotoxicity focused on 7-day-old rodent pups (Ikonomidou et al., 2000; Ikonomidou et al., 1999; Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003). Although it is very difficult to properly extrapolate developmental stages between species, recent studies attempting to draw temporal correlation between rodent and human brain development suggest that the developmental stage of the 7-day-old rodent brain corresponds to the maturational state of the human brain at the very beginning of the third trimester of pregnancy (Clancy et al., 2001; Clancy et al., 2007). In line with these observations, electron microscopic data from the rodent cerebral cortex demonstrates only a low number of synaptic contacts in 7-day-old pups while the most intense phase of synaptogenesis occurs between the 15th and 20th postnatal days (De Felipe et al., 1997). Similar intensity of synaptic growth in the human cerebral cortex takes place during the first year postnatal life (Huttenlocher and Dabholkar, 1997). Together, these data suggest that administration of anesthetics to 7-day-old rodent pups might reflect drug exposure of mid-term fetuses or very premature neonates in humans while experiments conducted in 15- to 20-day-old animals would be more relevant to a period situated somewhere during the first few years on the human scale.
**General Anesthetics as Therapeutics in Major Depressive Disorders**

Clinical observations, accumulating over the past 30 years, suggest that GA could exert important therapeutic effects under some psychopathological conditions such as major depressive disorders. The idea for such a possibility appeared with the advent of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), where seizures were most often induced under general anesthesia. Since general anesthesia, in itself, is a robust modulator of electrical activity in the brain, determining whether the therapeutic value of ECT is indeed linked to the passage of electrode-delivered electricity or to the impact of general anesthetics on neural networks is of utmost importance. Results from early observational studies aimed to address this problem were conflicting and open to important methodological criticism due to very low sample size and to the lack of adequate comparison groups (Brill et al., 1959; McDonald et al., 1966; Miller et al., 1953). The first randomized trial, where 70 patients who fulfilled indications for ECT were randomly allocated either to a course of 8 simulated ECT (i.e., barbiturate anesthesia alone) or to a course of 8 real ECTs (under barbiturate anesthesia) nevertheless showed no significant long-term difference between the anesthesia only and the ECT group when evaluated by psychiatrists unaware of the treatment paradigm (Johnstone et al., 1980).

It has been suggested that the brief period of electrical silence in the cerebral cortex following ECT-induced grand mal seizure could be a crucial biological determinant for the therapeutic effects of ECT (Ottosson, 1962; Volavka et al., 1972). Based on this assumption, the effects of isoflurane-induced cortical burst suppression have been studied in treatment-refractory depressed patients. In a first series of observations, global psychopathological assessment demonstrated rapid improvement following this narcotherapy in 9 out of 11 patients (Langer et al., 1985), and this has been confirmed by another independent study (Carl et al., 1988). Following these observations, a prospective double-blind study compared high isoflurane concentration-based narcotherapy (associated with cortical electrical silence) with ECT (in the presence of standard isoflurane concentrations for anesthesia maintenance) in drug-refractory severely depressed women (10 patients per group) (Langer et al., 1995). Patients from each group were subjected to treatment twice a week for a total of 6 sessions. Interestingly, rapid antidepressant effects of the first treatment session were only significant in the isoflurane narcotherapy group. At subsequent sessions, the effects were comparable between the two groups. During follow-up, patients in the isoflurane group improved further, while those in the ECT group tended to relapse.

Recent data indicate robust and rapid antidepressant effects of subanesthetic concentrations of ketamine. In a seminal randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind crossover study, subjects with major depression were given intravenous infusion of ketamine at subanesthetic doses (0.5 mg/kg) or placebo on two test days a week apart (Zarate et al., 2006). Significant improvement in the 21-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale was found as early as 2 hours following ketamine but not placebo injection, and this amelioration was maintained for at least one week in more than one third of patients. These observations are supported by several subsequent works (Covvey et al., 2012). Therefore, they raise powerful new therapeutic options in a
Anesthesia and Neural Plasticity

pathology that is of major public health concern and where weeks or months are required for standard medications to be effective. New experimental observations have provided cellular and molecular insights into the mechanisms underlying these antidepressant effects of ketamine (Jernigan et al., 2011; Li et al., 2010). In these studies, ketamine rapidly activated the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway, leading to an increased number of dendritic spines and improved behavioral response in a rodent model of depression. Ketamine has also been shown to reduce the phosphorylation of eukaryotic elongation factor 2 (eEF2) and a related de-suppression of translation of BDNF, which, in turn, induces fast-acting behavioral antidepressant-like effects (Autry et al., 2011). Altogether, these observations clearly suggest a potential for GA to modulate synaptic plasticity in the adult brain. They could also provide potential therapeutic value of these drugs in a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders with impaired neural plasticity such as schizophrenia (Ben-Shachar and Laifenfeld, 2004) or even Alzheimer’s disease (Baloyannis, 2011). Investigating further on these concepts is clearly of fundamental importance.

Conclusions

Clinical observations along with experimental research over the past few decades provided us with a wealth of convincing data suggesting that GA, in addition to provide loss of consciousness to facilitate surgery, also exert a powerful, context-dependent modulatory impact on the CNS. During development, exposure to these drugs can induce life long changes in neural circuitry and, therefore, in function. In adults, GA have been shown to interfere with cellular and molecular events subserving the maintenance of plasticity. On one hand, this could explain the potentially, although as yet unproved, harmful effects of these drugs on cognitive function in the elderly. On the other hand, the possibility to modulate neural plasticity by GA could provide us with therapeutic tools to improve function. This has been convincingly demonstrated in major depressive disorders and raises the, at least theoretical, basis of neuroprotection in other CNS disorders and insults. Altogether, these observations tend to switch the view of anesthesia neurotoxicity toward the concept of context-dependent modulation of neural plasticity by GA, and, thereby, offer a new level of understanding on how these drugs affect the CNS.
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Introduction

Delivery of neuroprotective or therapeutic agents to specific regions of the brain presents a major challenge, largely due to the presence of the Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB). Physiologically, the BBB consists of an intricate network of vascular endothelial cells (ECs) that isolate the central nervous system (CNS) from systemic blood circulation except the circumventricular organs. A combination of physical and biochemical barriers establishes the BBB endothelium as quite distinct from other endothelia (Abbruscato and Davis, 1999; Audus and Borchardt, 1986; Audus and Borchardt, 1987; Joo, 1992; Reese and Karnovsky, 1967). The BBB is formed by capillary endothelial cells, surrounded by basal lamina and astrocytic perivascular endfeet with astrocytes providing the cellular link to the neurons (Figure 12.1A). The astrocyte endfeet form an envelope around the blood vessels and are attached to the basement membrane tightly by their adhesion molecules. The basement membrane is composed of extracellular matrix molecules such as type IV collagen, laminins, fibronectin, heparan sulfates, and proteoglycans. An intact basement
The microcapillary endothelium is characterized by the presence of tight junctions, a lack of fenestrations and minimal pinocytotic vesicles (Hawkins and Davis, 2005), which limit the transport pathways across the BBB (Figure 12.1B). Small (< 500 Da) lipid-soluble substances such as alcohol, narcotics and anticonvulsants, are believed to pass through the BBB with relative ease. However, for most other substances, tight junctions between the cerebral ECs form a diffusion barrier. Even in the event of successful crossing of the barrier, the drug efflux pump P-glycoprotein (P-gp), an important component of the BBB, pumps unrecognized substrates from the CNS thereby limiting exposure. The tight junction consists of transmembrane proteins (claudin, occludin, and junction adhesion molecules) and cytoplasmic accessory proteins. Claudins form dimers and bind to claudins on adjacent endothelial cells to establish the primary gate of the tight junction. The main functions of occludin appear to be to regulate the electrical resistance across the barrier and decrease paracellular permeability. Zonula occludens proteins (ZO-1, ZO-2, and ZO-3) serve as recognition proteins for tight junction placement and connect transmembrane proteins to the actin cytoskeleton. Dissociation of ZO-1 has been shown to be associated with increased barrier permeability (Abbruscato and Davis, 1999; Kago et al., 2006).

It has been estimated that the tight junctions of the BBB prevents the brain from taking up 100% of the large (> 1 kDa) molecule therapeutics comprising of genes and recombinant proteins as well as more than 98% of potential neurotherapeutics (Pardridge, 2005) comprising of small molecule proteins and peptides (500–1 kDa). The development of therapeutics that can cross the BBB is a formidable challenge,
and an important one, considering that a large number of emerging therapeutics are based on peptides, recombinant proteins and genes, and are macromolecular in nature.

Recently, a study (Shityakov et al., 2015) evaluated the ability of multi-wall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) functionalized with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) as potential targeting delivery system to permeate the BBB and they found that these MWCNTs–FITC conjugate are able to penetrate microvascular cerebral endothelial monolayers without causing any toxicity to the BBB. In other studies, MWCNTs modified with angiopep-2 and single wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) enhanced immunotherapy using CpG oligonucleotides inhibited tumor growth in glioma models (Ren et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2011). These and other studies suggest that designing a successful nanomaterial conjugated with drugs that cross the BBB without effecting its integrity could be the potential treatment for many neurological diseases such as Parkinson’s Disease (PD), Alzheimer’s Disease (AD), Multiple Sclerosis (MS), Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS), and even Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI).

In parallel to the efforts focused on drug delivery across the BBB, there has been a recent interest and surge of literature describing the effects of nanoparticles (NPs) on the BBB. Most of these studies are performed in vitro using various cell lines and devices/systems. Some of them have been described in the later part of this chapter. Physicochemical properties play an important role in the effects of these NPs on the BBB. Factors such as surface, size, charge, and coating can make a difference in the nanotoxicity of any NPs on the BBB. Several recent studies have reported that various metallic colloidal nanoparticles (such as silver, gold and copper) can produce significant changes in the BBB integrity (Trickler et al., 2014; Trickler et al., 2010; Trickler et al., 2011; Trickler et al., 2012). These reports suggest that smaller sizes of silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs) are more toxic to the BBB integrity, compared to a larger size. Ag-NPs can produce significant morphological changes in rBMECs (Figure 12.2A) and these changes could be due to higher accumulation of Ag-NPs in rBMECs (Figure 12.2B). Further studies also showed size and dose dependent viability in these rBMECs (Figure 12.2C). Ag-NPs also produce size dependent changes in several neuroinflammatory markers such as TNF, PGE2, IL-1B (Trickler et al., 2014; Trickler et al., 2010). However, other NPs such as gold (Au-NPs) did not show any changes in any of neuroinflammatory markers, cell viability and membrane permeability (Trickler et al., 2011). Copper nanoparticles (Cu-NPs), on the other hand, have a different and more pronounced effect on the BBB integrity (Trickler et al., 2012).

Mechanism of action of nanomaterials toxicity is still not clear, however, one hypothesis puts forward that these NPs generate oxidative stress and reactive oxygen species (ROS). Several reports suggested that both in vitro and in vivo, Ag-NPs and Cu-NPs triggered ROS production (Rahman et al., 2009; Sharma et al., 2009a; Trickler et al., 2005). Injections of Ag-NPs or Cu-NPs in rats produced BBB dysfunction, astrocytes swelling and neuronal degeneration along with leakage of radiodiode and Evan’s blue dye, marker of increase permeability of the BBB (Sharma et al., 2009b; Wang et al., 2009).
Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB)

In recent years, the somewhat limiting notion that the Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) is defined by the vascular cells alone has been replaced by the concept of the “neurovascular unit” (or NVU), which aims to recognize that the complex interplay between the various cells of the BBB such as endothelial cells, pericytes, glia, and the neuronal cells determines the structure and function of the brain.

Cells of the Blood-Brain Barrier

In recent years, the somewhat limiting notion that the Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) is defined by the vascular cells alone has been replaced by the concept of the “neurovascular unit” (or NVU), which aims to recognize that the complex interplay between the various cells of the BBB such as endothelial cells, pericytes, glia, and the neuronal cells determines the structure and function of the brain.

Endothelial cells

The endothelial cells of the brain vasculature are significantly different from the endothelial cells of different organs. Brain vascular endothelial cells are extremely thin with the luminal and abluminal membranes separated by less than 300 nm. The endothelial cells lack fenestrations and are held together by tight junctions (TJs) resulting in high transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER). The presence of these TJs is responsible for development of the polarized membranes that regulate transport.
across the BBB using both active and efflux transporters. The inter-endothelial space is characterized by a junctional complex comprising of TJ and adherens junction (AJ) which primarily restrict the permeability of the barrier. The transmembrane molecules regulating the TJ include claudins, occludin and junctional adhesion molecule (Warner et al. 2014). Brain endothelial cells also synthetize special molecules such as the multidrug-resistance protein P-glycoprotein (P-gp). This transporter machinery is highly dependent on ATP generation, hence the reason for copious amount of mitochondria in these endothelial cells. Finally, the brain endothelial cells have minimal expression of adhesion molecules thereby regulating the immune cells trafficking across the BBB.

Claudins are 20- to 24-kDa proteins with four transmembrane domains. The extracellular loops of claudins interact via homophilic and heterophilic interactions between endothelial cells to form the primary component of the TJ with claudins-1 and -5 being responsible for formation of the TJ. Occludin is a 65-kDa phosphoprotein with no common sequence homology with claudins. They have four transmembrane domains with the COOH-terminal and NH$_2$ terminal oriented towards the cytoplasmic domain. The two extracellular loops of occludin and claudin originating from neighboring cells form the paracellular barrier of TJ.

Adherens junctions (AJs) are composed of a cadherin–catenin complex and its associated proteins responsible for the formation of adhesive contacts between endothelial cells. The cytoplasmic domains of cadherins bind to actin cytoskeleton via α-catenin which is bound to the sub-membranal plaque proteins β-catenin and γ-catenin. AJ components including cadherin, alpha-actinin, and vinculin (α-catenin analog) are known to interact with other cytoplasmic proteins, particularly ZO-1 and catenins, to determine the fate of the TJ formation.

Cytoplasmic proteins link membrane proteins to actin for the maintenance of structural and functional integrity of the endothelium. Cytoplasmic proteins primarily involved in TJ formation include zonula occludens proteins namely ZO-1 (220 kDa), ZO-2 (160 kDa), and ZO-3 (130 kDa). All of these proteins have sequence homology and belong to class of proteins called membrane-associated guanylate kinase-like protein (MAGUKs). They contain three PDZ domains (PDZ1, PDZ2, and PDZ3), one SH3 domain, and one guanyl kinase-like (GUK) domain. These domains function as protein binding molecules and thus play a role in organizing proteins at the plasma membrane. The PDZ1 domain of ZO-1, ZO-2, and ZO-3 has been reported to bind directly to COOH-terminal of claudins. Actin binds to COOH-terminal of ZO-1 and ZO-2, and this complex cross-links transmembrane elements and thus provides structural support to the endothelial cells.

Junction Adhesion Molecules (JAMs) are immunoglobulin superfamily members that form homotypic interactions at tight junctions in brain endothelial cells. JAMs have been shown to regulate leukocyte extravasation as well as paracellular permeability. The transmembrane components of the TJ at the BBB include junctional adhesion molecule (JAM-1), occludin, and the claudins. JAM-1 is a 40-kDa member of the IgG superfamily and is believed to mediate the early attachment of adjacent cell membranes via homophilic interactions. JAM-1 is composed of a single membrane-spanning chain with a large extracellular domain. Related proteins JAM-2 and JAM-3 are also present in endothelial tissues but their function is still not fully understood.
Astrocytes

Astrocytes (star-like cells) are the most numerous and diverse glial cells of the brain and envelop >99% of the endothelium. Expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) is commonly used as a specific marker for the identification of astrocytes. They extend polarized cellular processes providing a link between the neuronal processes and the endothelium of the blood vessels. They also regulate the contraction and dilation of vascular smooth muscle cells (SMCs) to control blood flow in response to neuronal activity. Interaction of astrocytes with endothelial cells greatly enhances TJ. Astrocytes are also known to secrete a large number of substances including peptides, growth factors and chemokines which modulate the BBB function. These changes are driven by changes in the TJs formation specifically of the proteins such as ZO-1, occludin and claudin in addition to TGF-β1 and glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF). Astrocytes are also known to modulate the expression and localization of transporters such as P-gp or multi-drug resistance protein (MRP) as well as BBB-specific enzymes.

Pericytes

Pericytes are flat, undifferentiated, contractile connective tissue cells that form a key component of the vascular walls. Brain vasculature has high content of these cells and the pericytes to endothelial cells ratio is known to correlate with the barrier properties. They also extend long cellular processes along the abluminal surface of the endothelium that can often span several endothelial cell bodies. Pericytes are also actively involved in maintenance of the integrity of the vessel, vasoregulation and restricted BBB permeability. However, the effect of pericytes on BBB modulation is not fully understood. Pericytes also secrete factors that modulate BBB such as TGF-β and GDNF that increase production of tight junction proteins comprising of Claudins, occludin and cytoplasmic proteins. Therefore, similar to astrocytes, pericytes are capable of modulating TJs by their interaction with the endothelial cells. Pericytes play significant role in regulating other responses such as angiogenesis, wound healing, immune and cell trafficking.

Neurons

The brain’s neurovascular unit comprises of the complex interactions between endothelial cells, pericytes, astrocytes and neurons. Neuronal projections are in contact with astrocytes and pericytes thereby allowing neuronal mediators to affect cerebral blood flow and vessel dynamics. However, the precise physiological influences of neuronal interactions and signaling onto the BBB still remain unclear.

In Vitro Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) Models

Panula et al. first demonstrated that brain endothelial cells could be maintained in culture as a prototype in vitro BBB model (Panula et al., 1978). However, over time, these primary cultures of Central Nervous System (CNS) endothelial cells lose many of the characteristics of the in vivo phenotype, suggesting that factors inherent to
the *in vivo* environment are required to maintain an optimally functioning BBB. For example, it has been shown that brain endothelial cells become highly impermeable and exhibit extensive tight junction formation when cultured in the presence of astrocytes or astrocyte-conditioned medium (ACM) (Janzer and Raff, 1987; Rubin *et al.*, 1991). The formation of these tight junctions in *in vitro* BBB models is often characterized by the expression levels of one or more of the tight junction proteins. In addition to biochemical assays, some devices feature electrodes to measure trans-endothelial electrical resistance (TEER) (Biegel *et al.*, 1995; Matthes *et al.*, 2011) as an indicator of barrier permeability.

**Transwell apparatus**

Transwell apparatus based devices are the most commonly used model of the BBB (Figure 12.3). They feature a porous membrane that separates two chambers, in which endothelial cells and astrocytes are cultured (Czupalla *et al.*, 2014). These *in vitro* cellular models have had a beneficial impact on a diverse range of scientific fields ranging from classical pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetic and toxicological research, to drug design and discovery (Mizuno *et al.*, 2003), as well as nanotechnology (Lockman *et al.*, 2003). However, these models do not reproduce the BBB microenvironment comprising of endothelial cells continuously exposed to

**Figure 12.3.** Transwell apparatus based BBB Model. Endothelial cells and astrocytes (and pericytes) are cultured on either side of a membrane in a static environment (Xu *et al.*, 2013)-Reproduced by permission of Frontiers in Pharmacology, http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2013.00140.
shear generated by the flow of blood across their apical surfaces. These static assays (Biegel and Pachter, 1994; de Vries et al., 1996; Fitsanakis et al., 2005; Vu et al., 2009) do not represent important morphological and physiological aspects of the microcirculatory environment in the brain and as a consequence provide little correlation or predictive ability in comparison with in vivo data.

**Hollow fiber bioreactor**

Seeking to overcome the limitations of the static incubation approaches, researchers have developed a hollow fiber apparatus (Cucullo et al., 2005; Cucullo et al., 2002; Santaguida et al., 2006). In this device, brain endothelial cells are cultured in the lumen of hollow fibers (mimicking capillary vessels) and exposed to flow while astrocytes are seeded in the extra-luminal compartment to form the BBB (Figure 12.4). The results obtained from the device for TEER and biochemical assays are very encouraging. However, the dimensions of the device are not representative of the microvasculature. Secondly, high flow rates and large volumes (~ ml) are needed to maintain physiological shear in the system. Finally, real-time visualization is a significant challenge with hollow fiber based systems.

**Figure 12.4.** Hollow Fiber based BBB Model. Endothelial cells are cultured under flow inside the lumen of the hollow fiber and the astrocytes (and pericytes) are cultured on the outside wall of the hollow fiber. Reprinted from Palmiotti et al. (2014), with kind permission of Springer Science and Business Media.

**Microfluidic (Transwell) device**

A recent review (Ribeiro et al., 2010) provided a chronological history of in vitro BBB models, including both static and dynamic models, but overlooked the rising field of
microfluidics based cell culture models (Wolff et al., 2015). Endothelial cells have been cultured in flow based devices for over a decade for studying vascular biology (van der Meer et al., 2009). These flow based devices ranged from larger parallel plate flow chambers to microfluidic based in vivo mimetic flow chambers. Endothelial cells of different origin can be readily cultured and monitored real-time for functional assays (Prabhakarpandian et al., 2011). Taking advantage of these microfabrication technologies, a microfluidic BBB model was recently demonstrated (Booth and Kim, 2012) using a co-culture of endothelial cells and astrocytes cultured on a membrane (Figure 12.5). This model featured a top-bottom architecture, which limits the ability to simultaneously visualize in real-time both the vascular and neuronal sides of the BBB.

**Figure 12.5.** Microfluidic “Transwell” BBB Model. As with the traditional Transwell apparatus, endothelial cells and astrocytes (and pericytes) are cultured on either side a membrane. However, endothelial cells are subject to fluid flow to mimic the in vivo like phenotype (Booth and Kim, 2012)-Reproduced by permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry, http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2lc40094d.

**Synthetic Microvascular Blood-Brain Barrier (SyM-BBB)**

To overcome these limitations, a microfluidics based Synthetic Microvasculature model of the Blood-Brain Barrier (SyM-BBB) was developed (Prabhakarpandian et al., 2013). The SyM-BBB model comprises of microchannels partitioned into two side-by-side chamber (Figure 12.6) by utilizing pillars or posts which mimic the use of membranes in conventional models. The posts are separated by small gaps (3–10 μm, similar to Transwell membranes), which ensures communication between the two chambers. This approach is more manufacture-friendly than other top-bottom microfluidic approaches to the creation of two chamber assays, which typically use a filter or micromachined insert between the top and the bottom chambers. An immortalized rat brain endothelial cell line; RBE4 (Couraud et al., 2003; Roux et al., 1994) was cultured in the apical chamber under fluidic shear conditions and in continuous contact with astrocyte-conditioned medium (ACM) in the basolateral chamber. RBE4 cells preserve the endothelial phenotype, show differentiation characteristics of brain endothelium in
The presence of glial factors express P-glycoprotein (Begley et al., 1996; Roux et al., 1994) and have been used for wide range of *in vitro* assays to characterize endothelial function and transport (Aschner et al., 2006; dos Santos et al., 2010; Fitsanakis et al., 2005; Fitsanakis et al., 2006; Santos et al., 2013; Yang and Aschner, 2003). The basolateral chamber can house neuronal components, such as astrocytes leading to a more accurate structural representation of the BBB. This model has been demonstrated (Deosarkar et al., 2015) for co-culture of primary endothelial cells and astrocytes and validated against *in vivo* permeability data.

![Figure 12.6. SyM-BBB Model. Endothelial cells, pericytes, and astrocytes are cultured in individual chambers separated by engineered porous gaps in a side-by-side architecture. Flow and other environmental parameters in a cell compartment may be controlled individually and independent of other chambers.](image)

**Other models**

The discussion on *in vitro* models in this chapter is not intended to be exhaustive. Certainly, there are variations and other models that researchers have used to study BBB physiology and transport. For instance, hanging drop methodology, commonly used to develop 3D tumor spheroids or 3D organotypic cultures, is gaining traction in development of the BBB model. A key differentiation compared to the other models being used is self-assembly of the interacting cells (e.g., endothelial, astrocytes and pericytes) rather than the arranged architecture of the cellular layers. A key limitation is the ability to generate a vascular blood flow environment necessary to quantify permeability across the barrier in addition to quantitation of therapeutic distribution and measurement of TEER values.

**Cells for *In Vitro* Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) Models**

**Primary cells**

Primary brain endothelial cells are the closest in resembling the *in vivo* phenotype (Bernas et al., 2010). They also show characteristic brain endothelial markers, robust expression of tight and adherens junction proteins and functional properties (such as, active transporters, low permeability and high TEER formation). In addition, depending on the species used for isolation of the endothelial cells, they can be used for multiple
experiments with ease. However, there are significant hurdles for use of primary cells and these include the time and technically skilled staff for isolation of pure population of brain endothelial cells, batch to batch variability especially with large mammalian samples (e.g., porcine, bovine and human). Although, several commercial entities are providing the brain endothelial cells, cost of these primary cells and the quick loss of in vivo phenotypic properties following multiple passages in vitro is a significant concern. To overcome these limitations, researchers often use cells lines that have been immortalized from primary brain endothelial cells from different species.

**Cell lines**

There have been a large number (> 25) of brain endothelial cell lines developed in the past three decades from mouse, rat, porcine, bovine and human for a better representation in an in vitro model. The cell lines with most published studies include RBE4 rat cell line (Roux et al., 1994), b.End3 mouse cell line (Montesano et al., 1990), SV-BEC bovine cell line (Durieu-Trautmann et al., 1991), PBMEC/C1-2 porcine cell line (Teifel and Friedl, 1996) and hCMEC/D3 human cell line (Weksler et al., 2005). However, these cell lines lack the in vivo relevance of paracellular permeability, exhibit discontinuous tight junction and have very low TEER values. They are also not amenable for culture under fluid flow conditions which are very relevant for obtaining physiological relevant and predictive information.

**Stem cell derived cells**

Stem cells have been recently identified as a natural source for the development of the BBB models. Specifically, induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) can be readily differentiated into brain endothelial cells under well controlled conditions (Lippmann et al., 2012). These endothelial cells demonstrate unique BBB endothelium properties such as uniform tight junction formation, active transporters with polarizable property and high TEER values (~ 1000s Ω × cm²). Use of iPSC cells also enables development of pure culture of not only endothelial cells, but also astrocytes (Lippmann et al., 2013) and pericytes (Lippmann et al., 2013) which are difficult to obtain for a human population. Co-culture iPSC derived brain endothelial cells with astrocytes and pericytes highlighted TEER values (Lippmann et al., 2014) in the range of 5,000 Ω × cm² which brings it closer to the in vivo scenario.

**Analytical Methods**

The in vitro Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) models may be interrogated using a variety of methods to evaluate and investigate the structure and function of the BBB. These methods include optical, electrical or biochemical measurements for quantifying the tight junction formation, permeation, and transport across the BBB.
Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB)

Cell morphology

Cell morphology is the most obvious indicator of the cell culture in an *in vitro* model. Figure 12.7 shows a co-culture of endothelial cells (RBE4, an immortalized rat brain cell line) with astrocytes. Astrocytes were cultured on Matrigel™ and endothelial cells were cultured on fibronectin. Figure 12.7A shows confluent layer of endothelial cells cultured on the device with integrated electrodes for TEER measurements. Figure 12.7B shows endothelial cells stained with Hoechst, a nuclear stain. Figure 12.7C shows endothelial cell stained with CD31 marker. Figure 12.7D shows astrocytes stained for glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) marker, nucleus and actin indicating fully functional environment. Figure 12.7E shows co-cultured images.

Permeability assays

Permeation studies may be carried out using optical or radio methods which involved molecular agents (fluorescent or radio-labeled small-to-medium molecules), or electrical methods, or cells or particles, which can be used to model transport of particles across the BBB.
**Fluorescent dextran permeation**

Confluent layer of cells in SyM-BBB—with and without Astrocyte Conditioned Medium (ACM)—were perfused with fluorescent-dextran (3000–5000 Da, Sigma Aldridge) at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml on the apical side at a flow rate of 0.1 µl/min. At regular time points, the entire device was scanned using an automated stage (LEP Ltd.) and imaged using a cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Roper Coolsnap HQ2) to quantify the dextran permeation in the basolateral side. The images were post-processed using NIKON Elements to yield the intensity ratio between the apical chamber and the basolateral chamber.

For the Transwell experiments, RBE4s were plated on a 3.0 µm polyester membrane insert (same size as the gaps in SyM-BBB). The cells were subsequently maintained in ACM and RBE4 media for 48 hours similar to SyM-BBB. At the end of 48 hours, 150 µl of fresh media with fluorescent dextran was added to the cells and 600 µl of media was added to the bottom chamber. At regular intervals starting at 1 minute, 100 µl of the volume was withdrawn from the bottom chamber to assess the fluorescent intensity. The withdrawn volume was replenished with fresh media to eliminate any volume dependent permeation. Experiments were repeated for RBE4 with no ACM and with no cells in the device. The intensity profiles of RBE4 (no ACM) and RBE4 (with ACM) were normalized with the intensity profiles of the cell free conditions to determine temporal evolution of fluorescent-dextran permeation.

Figure 12.8 shows the intensity profiles of SyM-BBB following fluorescent-dextran perfusion. The optically clear compartments in SyM-BBB allow real-time monitoring of the permeation of dextran, which is not possible with Transwell chambers. The top panel shows the intensity profiles at regular time points, indicating that by 60 min, the basolateral chamber of the cell-free SyM-BBB was completely perfused with fluorescent dextran. However, in the case of SyM-BBB with cells but no ACM and SyM-BBB with cells and ACM, there was a significant difference in the dextran permeation, even at 60 min. The presence of cells, even in the absence of ACM, significantly decreases the permeability of the barrier as reflected by lower fluorescent dextran intensity levels in the basolateral chamber. The barrier permeability is further reduced by the use of the ACM. The decrease in dextran permeation can be attributed to the presence of cell layer and the formation of tight junctions in the presence of ACM, which block the 3 µm pores thereby reducing the diffusion of the molecules from the apical chamber to the basolateral chamber. Lower permeation in ACM treated SyM-BBB than the non-ACM treated RBE4 cells suggest that the presence of ACM and flow have an additive effect, which cannot be attained under static conditions.

**Neutrophil migration**

Transendothelial migration of leukocytes on dysfunctional BBB may also be studied. Endothelial cells cultured in the SyM-BBB device were activated with TNF-alpha, a well-known inflammatory protein, to disrupt the tight junction formation. A potent chemoattractant, Formyl-Methionyl-Leucyl-Phenylalanine (fMLP) was injected in
the basolateral side while leukocyte surrogates (HL-60 cells) were injected into the apical side. Migration of leukocytes towards the basolateral chamber was monitored in real-time.

Figure 12.9 shows time lapse images of leukocyte migration in SyM-BBB with increased migration with time. Note that a majority of the leukocytes get transported on the apical side without interacting with the activated endothelium located at the vessel wall. The leukocytes that do interact with the endothelium exhibit the entire leukocyte adhesion cascade—including rolling on the activated endothelium, firm adhesion and arrest, followed by transendothelial migration towards the chemoattractant in the basolateral chamber.

**TEER measurements**

One of the common methods to determine the effectiveness of the BBB is to determine the transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) which is a quantitative measurement of the resistance across the endothelial cells. TEER values have been found to increase
from < 500 Ω × cm² for immortalized endothelial cell lines to ~ 1000 Ω × cm² for primary endothelial cells and endothelial cells derived from stem cells. Co-culture of endothelial cells with ACM or astrocytes also increases the TEER values in static systems. The presence of flow in the system has led to a significant increase in the TEER values for both cell lines and primary cells compared to basal levels under static conditions. For instance, the DIV-BBB model (Cucullo et al., 2008) showed that compared to transwell systems, TEER values were found to be 15–20 fold higher under fluidic shear conditions. A recent study (Lippman et al., 2014) showed that use of stem cell derived cells under the presence of retinoic acid reached unprecedented high levels of TEER (~ 5000 Ω × cm²) in vitro.

Proteomics

Protein analysis may be carried out using one of three methods—*in situ* immunostaining of the cells, extraction of the supernatant from the model for further analysis, or harvesting the cells from the model to subject them to off-line measurements.

Tight junction proteins

Figure 12.10A-B shows cells stained for tight junction proteins in the SyM-BBB device, whereas Figure 12.10C shows the Western Blot analysis of the harvested cells for selected tight junction and transporter proteins. The RBE4 cells were cultured in
SyM-BBB in the presence and absence of ACM as mentioned before. As a control, RBE4 were also cultured in Transwell chambers both in presence and absence of ACM. Cells were harvested in trypsin and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), ice cold RIPA (Radio Immuno Precipitation Assay) buffer (150 mM sodium chloride, 1.0% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mMTris, pH 8.0) and an additional mixture of protease inhibitors were added to cells and sonicated. Protein concentration of cell homogenates was determined with bicinchoninic acid (BCA™, Pierce) protein assay. Samples were loaded onto 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gels, and the separated proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated for 1 hour with blocking solution (5% fat free dry milk in PBS) followed by incubation overnight at 4°C with primary antibody for tight junction molecules zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) and claudin-1 and efflux transporter P-glycoprotein (P-gp). Following a 3X wash, the membranes were further incubated with secondary antibody for 2 hours at room temperature. Final detection was performed with enhanced chemiluminescence methodology (Super Signal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate) with the intensity of the chemiluminescence signal normalized with β-actin expression.

Figure 12.10. Tight junction protein expression (A-B) ZO-1 staining. (C) Western Blot analysis of tight junction proteins shows significant expression of ZO-1, Claudin-1 and P-gp. Adapted from Prabhakarpandian et al., 2013 by permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1039/C2LC41208J.
Expression levels of the tight junction proteins (ZO-1 and claudin-1) and the efflux transporter system (P-gp) are shown in Figure 12.10. The upregulation was found to be maximal for ZO-1 (P < 0.01), but other molecules (Claudin-1 and P-gp) were also upregulated significantly (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively) under flow in SyM-BBB with ACM amplifying the signal significantly. This is likely due to the additive effect of flow with ACM, which will be prevalent under in vivo conditions. Since ZO-1 dissociation has been associated with increased barrier permeability (Kago et al., 2006) and claudins (Abbruscato et al., 2002) establish the primary gate of the tight junction, the minimal dextran permeability and the upregulation of these proteins show that tight junctions are formed in SyM-BBB.

**Transporter proteins**

Efflux activity of Rhodamine 123 in RBE4 cells cultured in the presence and absence of ACM in SyM-BBB was investigated (Figure 12.11). In order to demonstrate drug inhibition studies, we used Verapamil, an L-type calcium channel blocker of the phenylalkylamine class and a potent inhibitor of P-gp. Cells in SyM-BBB were equilibrated with 150 ng/ml of Rhodamine 123 (Sigma Aldridge) for 20 min at 37°C. After washing the cells with PBS containing 0.1% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA), the cells were imaged for Rhodamine permeation. Subsequently, the dye was allowed to efflux for two hours at 37°C to calculate the efflux rates. RBE4 cells pre-incubated with verapamil (5 µM) for 30 min before the efflux served as a model for P-gp inhibition.

![Figure 12.11. Rhodamine 123 efflux in SyM-BBB in the absence and presence of astrocyte conditioned medium (ACM) and verapamil (VER). Reproduced from Prabhakarpandian et al., 2013 by permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry, http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C2LC41208J.](image-url)
The presence of ACM in SyM-BBB allowed significant (P < 0.05) uptake and increased efflux compared with the endothelial cells in the absence of ACM. In addition, although Verapamil reduces the efflux of Rhodamine 123, the efflux increases in the presence of ACM. This shows that P-gp is functionally active in SyM-BBB and that it efficiently regulates the efflux activity. Furthermore, these studies establish the modulation of the functional characteristics of SyM-BBB by known transport blockers.

**Genomics**

**Tight junction genes**

It is well known that shear stress induces tight junction formation in endothelial cells. There have been several studies focused on identifying these shear dependent changes from a genomic perspective. One of the earlier studies on the effect of flow on brain endothelial cells at a genomic level was conducted by Cucullo et al. (Cucullo et al., 2011), whose findings highlighted significant shear dependent upregulation of tight junction and adherens genes (Figure 12.12). This upregulation in gene expression was corroborated by studying the permeability of a variety of molecules as well as fold changes in expression levels of corresponding tight junction and adherens protein.

![Figure 12.12. Shear stress promotes tight junction (TJ) formation in endothelial cells (A) Comparison of the level of TJ and adherens junction RNA in endothelial cells grown under flow vs. static condition. (B) The tightness of the vascular endothelial bed was confirmed by permeability measurements. (C) Gene expression findings were also supported by comparative analysis of TJ and adherens junction proteins (Cucullo et al., 2011). Reproduced with permissions from Biomed Central.](image)
Toxicogenomic response

One of the primary motivations for developing a BBB model is to study diseases states and to perform toxicological investigations. As an example, this section highlights the type of studies that may be carried out. In this case, we studied the genomic level response of Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVECs), a common endothelial cell model, to Doxorubicin, a well-known chemotherapeutic. Figure 12.13A shows the total number of significant genes that were identified at 30 min, 4 hr and 24 hr while Figure 12.13B shows the temporal changes up- and down-regulated genes at the various time points.

Seven transcripts (MT1H, TIE1, RPL26P37, MT1E, FADD, MT1JP and NSUN2) were found to be commonly up/down-regulated at all three time points. While all seven genes were upregulated at the early stage (30 min), most of them (five out of seven) were down-regulated by 24 hr. Among other shared transcripts, 34 are common to the first two time points (30 min and 4 hr) while 36 transcripts are common to the second and the third (4 hr and 24 hr) time points. The third time point has the largest number of down regulated genes. This is a near reversal of the early-stage response where most of genes are up-regulated and indicates that by 24 hours, the treated cells have down-regulated their internal signaling and metabolic demands.

These genes were used to query the KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) database to identify the cellular pathways that are activated in response to the treatment by the drug. All differentially regulated pathways based on the expressed genes can be analyzed to identify pathways with a statistical significant difference (P < 0.05, shown in Table 12.1).

The canonical pathways that are activated at each of the time points were used to construct pathway models which are shown in Figure 12.14. CFDRC-developed bioinformatics tool, SBMLForge was used to merge multiple canonical pathways into a single large pathway model in an automated fashion. These figures show the most connected components of these pathways. As it can be seen, the complexity of the pathway significantly increases by 24 hours owing to the activation of a large number of pathways.
Future Perspectives

Understanding the dynamics of the Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) is critical to the development of novel therapeutics that aim to target Central Nervous System (CNS) disorders. The BBB is also critical to further our understanding of the way environmental toxicants impact the brain. \textit{In vitro} models provide a controlled environment, which can not only elucidate the mechanistic underpinnings of the barrier pathophysiology, but also accelerate the collective insight into the structure and function of the BBB.

Table 12.1. List of statistically significant (P < 0.05) pathways that are activated in HUVECs treated with doxorubicin at different time points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway ID</th>
<th>KEGG Pathway Name</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>has03010.xml</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
<td>2.9e-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has00190.xml</td>
<td>Oxidative Phosphorylation</td>
<td>8.1e-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has05012.xml</td>
<td>Parkinson's disease</td>
<td>6.1e-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has04120.xml</td>
<td>Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis</td>
<td>1.1e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has05016.xml</td>
<td>Huntington's Disease</td>
<td>1.6e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has05010.xml</td>
<td>Alzheimer's Disease</td>
<td>3.6e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has04144.xml</td>
<td>Oocyte Meiosis</td>
<td>3.1e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has03050.xml</td>
<td>Proteasome</td>
<td>4.1e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>has03010.xml</td>
<td>Ribosome</td>
<td>2.9e-14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 12.14.** Pathway networks constructed from gene expression data of doxorubicin-treated HUVECs at: (A) 30 min (B) 4 hr (C) 24 hr. Genes with unlinked cellular pathways are not shown.
There are several methodologies available or currently under development for reproducing a robust and accurate \textit{in vitro} model of the BBB. These range from the classical Transwell apparatus to hollow fiber bioreactors to newly emerging microfluidic models. These models aim to capture the dynamics of the BBB—for instance, by incorporating flow, or the realism of the BBB—for instance, by incorporating 3D co-culture or tri-culture of cells. However, there is a lack of consensus on the best model and parameters to be used. In this context, we believe there are two steps that need to be taken to enable widespread use of the \textit{in vitro} BBB models in scientific and translational research. First, the \textit{in vitro} models need to be validated against \textit{in vivo} data, both animal as well as human, on a number of different elements including morphology, permeability, proteomics, gene expression and drug delivery, before they can be reliably used in practice. Second, a combination of models may be the best solution moving forward, with the model to be used will depend on the scientific question being posed.

The models discussed in this chapter focus on the BBB, with emphasis on the ability to reproduce cell-cell interactions and the microenvironment. The xenobiotic interactions with the BBB manifest themselves via neurological responses in the brain or the entire CNS. So, future developments should target the development and integration of additional elements of the brain or the CNS, so as to facilitate an integrative response at the physiological level. Another key \textit{in vivo} element that needs to be faithfully captured is that in the body, the drug or the toxin may interact with the BBB or the brain via multiple routes—direct as well as indirect (mediated by other organs). In order to capture the dynamics of \textit{in vivo} behavior such as ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism and elimination), it is critical to capture the presence of other organs in the body. Propelled by recent technological developments in the field of ‘organ on a chip’ or OOC and ‘human on a chip’ or HOC, it is indeed within reach to bioengineer \textit{in vitro} platforms with sufficient complexity to capture these organ-organ interactions. For instance, the SyM-BBB architecture may be engineered to build other organoid models such as liver, heart, kidney, etc., which can then be coupled in the proper \textit{in vivo} like architecture to yield a synthetic environment for evaluating drug (or toxicant) transport, toxicity and efficacy.
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Neurotrophins and Alzheimer’s Disease

Jyotshna Kanungo

Introduction

Neurotrophins belong to a family of proteins that are essential for the nervous system development, function and survival of neurons. These secreted proteins are trophic/growth factors, which not only promote the differentiation, growth, maintenance and survival of neurons, but also play critical roles in synaptic plasticity (Chao and Lee, 2004; Chao et al., 2006). Members of the neurotrophin family include the nerve growth factor (NGF), the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), neurotrophin 3 (NT-3) and neurotrophin 4/5 (NT-4/5) (Allen et al., 2013; Reichardt, 2006; Skaper, 2012).

The most studied neurotrophins are NGF, BDNF, and NT-3. Their specific receptors are tropomyosin related kinase (Trk) receptor- TrkA (NGF), TrkB (BDNF), and TrkC (NT-3). There is also the p75 receptor, which is common to all Trk-expressing neurons (Chao and Hempstead, 1995; Huang and Reichardt, 2001) (Figure 13.1). In adults, p75NTR expression is relatively low (Friedman, 2010). All the neurotrophins are originally translated as larger pro-forms and upon proteolytic cleavage, form the mature neurotrophins that exist in the cells as homodimers (Aloe et al., 2012). The role of neurotrophins in the survival of developing neurons in the peripheral nervous system (PNS) has been well established (Crowley et al., 1994; Smeyne et al., 1994; Zweifel et al., 2005) whereas their roles in developing neurons in the central nervous system (CNS) were recognized later (Baydyuk and Xu, 2014).
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a CNS neurodegenerative disease. The pathological presentation of AD, the leading cause of senile dementia, involves regionalized neuronal death and an accumulation of neuronal and extracellular lesions termed neurofibrillary tangles and senile plaques, respectively (Smith and Perry, 1997). Several independent hypotheses have been proposed to link the pathological lesions and neuronal cytopathology with, among others, apolipoprotein E genotype (Corder et al., 1993; Roses, 1995), hyperphosphorylation of cytoskeletal proteins (neurofilaments and Tau) (Trojanowski et al., 1993), and amyloid-β (Aβ) metabolism (Selkoe, 1997). However, none of these theories alone is sufficient by itself to explain the diversity of biochemical and pathological abnormalities of AD.

It’s expected that the prevalence of AD will increase dramatically over the next decade. AD accounts for between 60% and 70% of dementias; which translates to 1–2% of the US population. Reductions in these neurotrophic factors have been associated with a number of neurodegenerative disorders including AD (Allen et al., 2013). Preclinical drug development directed towards enhancing neurotrophin signaling are considered to provide novel therapeutic approaches for neurodegenerative disorders (Steiner and Nath, 2014). Efforts toward developing successful therapies to treat AD include replenishing endogenous neurotrophic factors by supplying BDNF, NGF or functional mimetics. This chapter summarizes the studies involving neurotrophins, especially the most common ones, NGF and BDNF and their potential therapeutic values in treating AD.
NGF

NGF was the first neurotrophin to be identified after the discovery of its ability to promote neurite growth when applied to explanted dorsal root ganglia (Cowan, 2001). NGF is initially translated as a precursor polypeptide, proNGF, which is cleaved extracellularly by plasmin and matrix metalloproteases to produce the mature form of NGF (Lee et al., 2001b). NGF functions in the neuronal development and survival of specific neurons in the CNS and PNS and was identified as a survival factor during the period of programmed cell death for small sensory neurons (Huang and Reichardt, 2001; Mendell, 1999). NGF transcripts are highly expressed in the spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia (DRG) (Sobue et al., 1998). NGF is produced in the cortex and hippocampus and NGF-null mice exhibit significant sympathetic and sensory deficits. These mice only survive a few weeks after birth (Crowley et al., 1994; Huang and Reichardt, 2001) and during their few weeks of survival, the mice possess an intact cholinergic basal forebrain (Crowley et al., 1994). On the other hand, mice, heterozygous for NGF knockout, survive to adulthood, display memory deficits with a significantly reduced cholinergic innervation of the hippocampus (Chen et al., 1997). These findings suggest that NGF is essential during maturity of the cholinergic–hippocampal system.

The “neurotrophic factor hypothesis” proposed that developing neurons will not survive unless they can compete for limited supplies of a target-derived neurotrophic factor, which was suggested then to be NGF (Yuen et al., 1996). Consistent with this hypothesis, target tissues of sensory and sympathetic fibers were shown to produce NGF that was taken up by the fiber terminals, and then transported back to the neuron cell body, a process critical for the neuron’s survival. NGF facilitates electrophysiological long-term potentiation (LTP) and its blockage inhibits LTP in rat cholinergic septohippocampal system. The retrograde transport of NGF from the hippocampal sites of synthesis to projecting cholinergic neurons nested in the basal forebrain has been shown to modulate the cholinergic system within the innervated targets and thus can indirectly control neuronal plasticity leading to learning and memory retention (Conner et al., 2009).

NGF receptors

NGF exerts its biological effects by binding and activating the Trk receptor, TrkA (Reichardt, 2006). Just like NGF knockout mice, mice with homozygous knockout of TrkA although perinatally survive only a few weeks, have an intact cholinergic basal forebrain (Huang and Reichardt, 2001; Smeyne et al., 1994) with significantly reduced cholinergic basal forebrain’s hippocampal and cortical projections (Smeyne et al., 1994). In the first week, these mice have relatively smaller postnatal cholinergic neurons and in a month, there is significant depletion in neuron numbers associated with abnormal cholinergic innervation of the hippocampus (Fagan et al., 1996). The homodimeric NGF also binds to a low affinity p75 pan neurotrophin receptor (p75NTR) (Aloe et al., 2012). p75NTR is a member of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamily and does not contain a catalytic motif (Gruss and Dower, 1995).
NGF-activated TrkA promotes neuronal survival, differentiation and cell growth (Allen et al., 2013). The p75NTR alone in the absence of TrkA and NGF, can promote neuronal apoptosis via activation of the TNF receptor associated factor (Trafs), NFκB (nuclear factor-κB) and ceramide (Blochl and Blochl, 2007) (Figure 13.2). Without the Trk receptors or the neurotrophins, p75NTR can trigger signaling pathways leading to apoptosis (Blochl and Blochl, 2007).

**Figure 13.2.** Major NGF signaling pathways that induce CREB phosphorylation via the MAPK/ERK activation, and NFκB activation. Binding of either NGF/TrkA or NGF/p75NTR can differentially modulate two different signaling pathways; the latter when activated can inhibit the former. Deregulation of both the pathways can lead to neuronal apoptosis and induce Aβ oligomerization, primers for developing pathological symptoms observed in AD.

**NGF-mediated signaling pathways**

NGF promotes cell survival and proliferation effects by binding to its receptor TrkA and inducing the TrkA-mediated signaling. The activation of cyclic AMP response element-binding protein (CREB) downstream of TrkA signaling inhibits the oligomerization and thus, formation of Aβ and therefore, Aβ-induced toxicity (Defelice and Ferreira, 2002; Gong et al., 2004). On the other hand, the activation of NGF/p75NTR signaling inhibits NGF/TrkA signaling (Fortress et al., 2011; Song et al., 2010) (Figure 13.2). Exogenous NGF injection has been shown to activate TrkA signaling, which then
activates the MAPK/ERK pathway in aging rats (Williams et al., 2007). These results indicated a possible mechanism of NGF signaling associated with memory loss in the CNS, since CREB is an important substrate of ERK signaling and impaired CREB phosphorylation is believed to be a pathological component in AD (Scott Bitner, 2012).

In the absence of Trks and neurotrophins, p75NTR may elicit apoptosis via ceramide and NFκB activation through TNF receptor associated factors (Gruss and Dower, 1995). p75NTR can bind to both the mature and proforms of the neurotrophins, and along with other signaling proteins, can promote neuronal out-growth, proliferation and cell death (Chao et al., 2006). TrkA/p75NTR signaling imbalance can contribute to amyloidosis and neurodegeneration since inhibition of TrkA signaling shifts NGF signaling toward p75NTR signaling (Capsoni et al., 2010) (Figure 13.2). Activated p75NTR can mediate apoptosis and amyloidosis (Costantini et al., 2005a; Costantini et al., 2005b; Hashimoto et al., 2004). Furthermore, p75NTR-mediated signaling pathway reportedly leads to c-jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) activation, increased expressions of the tumor suppressor, p53 and cleaved-caspase 3 (Diarra et al., 2009; Kaplan and Miller, 2000; Kenchappa et al., 2010; Suhl et al., 2012). Memantine, an NMDA receptor antagonist activates NGF/TrkA signaling, possibly by increasing endogenous NGF levels while inhibiting the p75NTR signaling, JNK activation, and increase in p53 and cleaved-caspase 3 levels (Liu et al., 2014).

**BDNF**

BDNF is synthesized from the precursor proBDNF (Teng et al., 2010). Intracellular cleavage of proBDNF to mature BDNF can occur via furin or by other proprotein convertases and extracellular cleavage occurs by metalloproteases or plasmin (Greenberg et al., 2009). BDNF is essential for normal neuronal development and multiple functions in the adult brain (Yoshii and Constantine-Paton, 2010). In addition to promoting survival and inducing synaptic plasticity, BDNF also regulates adult neurogenesis (Bath et al., 2012). BDNF is the most widely distributed neurotrophin in the CNS (Tapia-Arancibia et al., 2008) and plays a major role in regulating axonal and dendritic growth and guidance, long-term potentiation and participation in neurotransmitter release (Jeanne et al., 2010).

The expression levels of BDNF vary during development. BDNF is expressed by a number of cells in the mouse hippocampus on embryonic day 15.5 (E15.5), and by E17 it is found in the piriform cortex, hippocampus, thalamus, hypothalamus and amygdala, but in only a few cells in the cortex and none in the striatum (Bayduyk et al., 2013). In the adult brain, BDNF is found in many regions, including the cerebral cortex, basal forebrain, striatum, hippocampus, hypothalamus, brainstem, and cerebellum (Conner et al., 1997). While both Bdnf mRNA and BDNF protein are present in most brain regions including the cortex, in the striatum BDNF protein levels are high but Bdnf mRNA is almost absent (Ma et al., 2012). In mice, BDNF is expressed in the substantia nigra at P0 and E16.5 specifically in neurons positive for tyrosine hydroxylase (Bayduyk et al., 2013). In adult rodents, BDNF mRNA is located in all of the cell layers of the hippocampus, but BDNF is primarily synthesized in granule cells and is anterogradely transported to its axons. Levels of BDNF protein in whole hippocampus are relatively low at birth and increase during early life (Harte-Hargrove et al., 2013).
During the development of the cerebral cortex and hippocampus, BDNF triggers the differentiation of neural stem cells into mature neurons, and promotes the survival of nascent neuronal progenitors (Barnabe-Heider and Miller, 2003). At synapses, BDNF signaling enhances LTP (Saarela et al., 2003) and may involve gene-expressions induced by the cAMP-response-element binding protein (CREB) (Ernfors et al., 2003).

In humans, a common single nucleotide polymorphism of BDNF (Val66Met BDNF) has been associated with deficits in social and cognitive functions (Baj et al., 2013).

**BDNF receptors**

BDNF binds and activates the TrkB receptor (Reichardt, 2006). In mice, TrkB is present in high levels in the brain during development and its expression is variable among specific cell types. In adults, TrkB expression is observed in specific regions harboring neuronal subtypes. Both TrkB mRNA and protein are present in the developing and adult striatum (Baydyuk et al., 2011; Yan et al., 1997). BDNF and TrkB are highly expressed in the hippocampus, where BDNF exerts effects on the neuronal structure, function and plasticity of hippocampal neurons by activation of TrkB (Baydyuk and Xu, 2014; Yoshi and Constantine-Paton, 2010). TrkB mRNA and protein levels generally decrease with age (Silhol et al., 2005). While mature BDNF and TrkB expression increase during development, proBDNF and p75NTR follow an opposite pattern (Yang et al., 2009). Both proBDNF and BDNF bind to p75NTR (Deinhardt and Chao, 2014). Because of the relatively high levels of p75NTR and proBDNF found during early development, it has been suggested that proBDNF plays an important role in the developing brain to reduce neuronal number and refine dendrites and axons of emerging circuits (Teng et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2009).

Deletion of either the \( \text{TrkB} \) or \( \text{Bdnf} \) gene leads to cell atrophy, dendritic degeneration, neuronal loss and learning deficits as shown in the excitatory neurons of the dorsal forebrain (Gorski et al., 2003). ProBDNF negatively regulates neuronal remodeling, synaptic transmission, and synaptic plasticity in hippocampus (Yang et al., 2014a). In the human brain, there are three main isoforms of TrkB: a full-length and two truncated forms, which lack the tyrosine kinase domain. Full-length TrkB can initiate signaling pathways leading to survival, differentiation and synaptic plasticity, while its truncated forms can inhibit these effects by heterodimerization with it (Wong et al., 2012). More recently, sortilin (a type-I receptor expressed in neurons) has been shown to be a receptor for the pro-neurotrophins including proBDNF but not the mature neurotrophins like BDNF (Glerup et al., 2014). ProBDNF binds to sortilin in the presence of p75NTR when released by cultured neurons and proBDNF-induced apoptosis requires the cell surface interaction of proBDNF with sortilin and p75NTR (Teng et al., 2010).

**BDNF-mediated signaling pathways**

Upon binding to BDNF, activated full-length TrkB triggers multiple intracellular signaling cascades through protein-protein interactions (Smith, 2014) (Figure 13.3). The three major pathways, activated by TrkB are (1) the mitogen activated protein
kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (MAPK/ERK) pathway that activates regulators of protein translation; (2) the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI 3-kinase) pathway that activates AKT, which mediates anti-apoptotic effects; and the PLC-g pathway that leads to the production of diacylglycerol and an increase in intracellular calcium, and as a result the activation of CAM kinases and PKC (Smith, 2014). By activating these diverse signaling cascades in neurons, BDNF can regulate neuronal development and survival, initiation of neurite outgrowth and path-finding (Orefice et al., 2013). Upon BDNF binding, autophosphorylation of TrkB occurs, leading to activation of signaling cascades such as the MAPK pathway (Yoshii and Constantine-Paton, 2010). BDNF-mediated transcriptional changes are thought to be initiated by the PI-3 kinase and/or Ras/MAPK/ERK pathways (Yoshii and Constantine-Paton, 2010). CREB is also a major target of BDNF signaling (Minichiello, 2009). These signaling pathways culminate in activation of several transcription factors thus leading to many effects of BDNF on hippocampal function. Truncated TrkB has been shown to have complex biological effects including neuronal development and calcium signaling (Minichiello, 2009).

**Figure 13.3.** BDNF upon binding to its specific receptor, TrkB, activates major signaling pathways, Ras/MAPK/ERK, PI-3 kinase/AKT, and PLCγ. These pathways can lead to neuronal survival, axonal branching, neuronal migration and neuroplasticity.

BDNF binding to p75NTR activates intracellular signaling cascades including NFκB and JNK signaling pathways (Teng et al., 2010). ProBDNF-activated p75NTR leads to the regulation of cell survival, pruning of neuronal processes and modulation of synaptic plasticity in hippocampal area CA1 (Teng et al., 2010). BDNF activated TrkB has been shown to have effects opposite to those of proBDNF activated p75NTR.
Neurotrophins and Alzheimer’s Disease

While BDNF activated TrkB appears to facilitate LTP of the Schaffer collateral synapse in CA1 (Bramham and Messaoudi, 2005; Rex et al., 2006), proBDNF bound p75NTR facilitates long-term depression (LTD) (Woo et al., 2005). BDNF activated TrkB promotes spine growth and complexity (Tyler et al., 2002) whereas proBDNF activated p75NTR initiates pruning and process retraction (Teng et al., 2010). p75NTR can also interact with Trk receptors to alter the affinity of mature neurotrophins (Esposito et al., 2001).

In a transgenic mouse model, exercise has been shown to normalize the dendritic outgrowth of neurons and increase the expression of hippocampal BDNF and normalize the hyperactivation of cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5). Exercise has trophic activity on the neuronal lineage which is mediated by Cdk5 modulation of the BDNF pathway (Lee et al., 2013). Hyperactivated Cdk5 has been implicated in neurodegenerative diseases including AD (Su and Tsai, 2011).

Alzheimer’s Disease (AD)

AD is a CNS neurodegenerative disease, which was first described in 1906 by Dr. Alois Alzheimer. The pathological presentation of Alzheimer’s disease, the leading cause of senile dementia, involves regionalized neuronal death and an accumulation of intraneuronal and extracellular lesions termed neurofibrillary tangles and senile plaques, respectively (Smith and Perry, 1997). A progressive neurodegenerative disease, AD is clinically characterized by cognitive impairments and pathologically characterized by deposits of Aβ peptides as senile plaques in the AD brain (Walsh and Selkoe, 2007). AD patients exhibit neuronal loss in the hippocampus with progressive cognitive deficits (Chao et al., 2006; Hefti et al., 1996; Mufson et al., 2000; Tuszynski et al., 2005). As mentioned earlier several independent hypotheses have been proposed to link the pathological lesions and neuronal cytopathology with, among others, apolipoprotein E genotype (Corder et al., 1993; Roses et al., 1995); hyperphosphorylation of cytoskeletal proteins (Trojanowski et al., 1993), and Aβ metabolism (Selkoe, 1997); tauopathy (Lee et al., 2001a); inflammation (Weiner and Selkoe, 2002) and oxidative stress (Perry et al., 2002). However, as stated before none of these theories alone is sufficient by itself to explain the diversity of biochemical and pathological abnormalities of AD. Furthermore, attempts to mimic the disease by a perturbation of one of these elements using cell or animal models, including transgenic animals, do not result in similar pathological alterations. The most striking case is that when Aβ plaques are deposited in some transgenic rodent models over-expressing Aβ-protein precursor (Hsiao and Chiu, 1996), there is no neuronal loss—a seminal feature of Alzheimer’s disease. Aβ peptide, usually 40 or 42 amino acids in length, is produced from the amyloid precursor protein (APP) by proteolytic cleavage. In most cases of AD, during the progression of dementia, the neurofibrillary tangles appear first in the transentorhinal cortex, then in the hippocampus and finally in various other parts of the neocortex (Braak and Braak, 1996). MRI (magnetic resonance imaging) studies based on longitudinal analysis of an asymptomatic population show alterations in the basal forebrain and the entorhinal cortex four years prior to the onset of symptoms (Hall et al., 2008).
A majority of the cases of AD are ‘sporadic’ (www.alz.org). A number of risk factors that are either genetic or environmental or both, are likely the causative factors. However, rare familial cases of AD (FAD) occur with a much earlier onset albeit with similar symptoms and neuropathology observed in the sporadic cases (www.alz.org). Genes associated with FAD are APP and presenilin 1/2 (PSEN1 and PSEN2). PSEN1 and PSEN2 act as the catalytic parts of gamma secretase and specific mutations in these genes can cause FAD, by inducing increased production of total Aβ or an increase in the Aβ42:40 ratio (Borchelt et al., 1996). These disease causing mutations have been the basis of producing transgenic animals as AD models (Howlett, 2011).

The amyloid hypothesis states that all AD pathology and symptoms arise from the altered biology of Aβ, thus implying a direct correlation between the presence of Aβ and occurrence of neurofibrillary tangles (Hardy and Allsop, 1991). There is no direct evidence yet to challenge this hypothesis (Goate and Hardy, 2012). In AD, aberrant hyperphosphorylation of proteins, such as Tau and NF is prominent and is considered to be the consequence of the perturbation in the balance between the activities of kinases and phosphatases (Gong et al., 2000). MAPK (Trojanowski et al., 1993), glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) (Picklo et al., 2002), and Cdk5 (Su and Tsai, 2011) pathways are known to be involved in Tau and NF phosphorylation.

Data obtained from biopsy samples have shown that in early-stage AD patients, cholinergic function, acetylcholine synthesis and choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) activity were significantly reduced (Sims et al., 1983). Moreover, > 90% of cholinergic neurons of the basal forebrain in rats and humans stain positive to a p75NTR antibody (Allen et al., 1989). In AD brain, neurons positive for p75NTR were relatively spared despite a 50–90% loss of cortical ChAT activity (Allen et al., 1989). This observation supported neurotrophins as possible therapeutic agents for support of cholinergic innervation of the cortex and hippocampus.

**Neurotrophins and the pathogenesis of AD**

A number of studies link cholinergic changes in AD to changes in the neurotrophins (Schindowski et al., 2008). Although the deficit of BDNF or NGF is not the initial trigger for the AD disease process, it may lead to increased neuronal loss adding to the symptoms (Figures 13.2 and 13.3). BDNF is important for the survival of dopaminergic and motor neurons. Both NGF and BDNF are required for cholinergic neuron survival, whereas BDNF plays a critical role in the survival and functioning of serotonergic, hippocampal and cortical neurons (Allen et al., 2013). Multiple studies link reduction in BDNF levels to a number of neurodegenerative conditions including AD (Hock et al., 2000; Holsinger et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2005).

NGF deficiency in the brain induces apoptosis, death and dysfunction of neurons, and accelerates Aβ deposits and Aβ-induced toxicity (Colafrancesco and Villoslada, 2011; Counts and Mufson, 2005). In rodents and primates, NGF deficiency leads to shrunken cholinergic neurons and memory deficits. NGF’s physiological role in synaptic plasticity, neocortical and hippocampal learning and memory processes has also been reported (Bonhoeffer, 1996; Conner et al., 2009). Degeneration of NGF-dependent basal forebrain cholinergic neurons (BFCNs) characterizes AD pathology. However, no reduction in NGF in the cortex or hippocampus of the AD patients could
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be seen by ELISA (Allen et al., 1991). On the contrary, there was an increase in NGF (Crutcher et al., 1993), which indicates that the retrograde transport of NGF could be compromised in AD (Allen et al., 2013). Later, proNGF but not NGF, was shown to be the dominant form in the human cortex (Fahnestock et al., 2001). The level of proNGF is doubled in the frontal and occipital cortex and in hippocampus in late-stage AD and an increase of 40–50% has been reported in cases with mild cognitive impairment (Peng et al., 2004). In addition, in human AD brains, altered balance in the expression of protease complexes (e.g., plasminogen/plasmin, tissue plasminogen activator, Neuroserpin, matrix metalloproteinase-9) resulting in a deficit of proNGF/NGF was observed (Cuello and Bruno, 2007). A transgenic mouse model (expressing an antibody to NGF) of cholinergic loss showed a reduction in ChBF neurons and exhibited memory deficits (Capsoni et al., 2000). The antibody showed increased binding affinity to the mature NGF than to the proNGF resulting in an increase in the proNGF/NGF ratio, which may have contributed to the presentation of an AD-like pathology. In a transgenic AD mouse model expressing APP and PSEN1, a mutant form of NGF (P61S/R100E) delivered intranasally blunted the progress of neurodegeneration and behavioral deficits (Capsoni et al., 2012) suggesting a link between NGF and AD.

In aged animals, an increase in APP processing occurs due to the higher expression of p75NTR as compared to TrkA (Costantini et al., 2005b). Aβ pathway directly induces TrkA phosphorylation and indirectly promotes NGF secretion in cultured neurons (Bulbarelli et al., 2009). There is also a potential link between p75NTR expression and changes occurring in AD (Costantini et al., 2005a; Costantini et al., 2005b), possibly due to direct binding between p75NTR and Aβ 1-42 peptide (Coulson et al., 2009). p75NTR mediates APP promoter activity, leading to an increase of secreted APP (Ge and Lahiri, 2002). Cultured hippocampal neurons, following exposure to NGF for 48 h, undergo apoptosis upon NGF withdrawal and show increased APP processing and both intra- and extra-cellular Aβ accumulation (Matrone et al., 2008b). These findings suggest that an alteration in TrkA function resulting from aberrant p75 processing with a concomitant increase in Aβ production, may be due to NGF signaling impairment that can lead to neuronal death (Figure 13.2).

Transgenic mice expressing recombinant antibodies to NGF show loss of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons, Tau hyperphosphorylation, accumulation of Aβ plaques, synaptic plasticity deficits, and an imbalance between proNGF and NGF signaling, hallmarks of a neurodegenerative phenotype observed in human sporadic forms of AD (Cattaneo and Calissano, 2012). Significantly reduced levels of NGF are also seen in the basal forebrain of older animals and AD patients that display cell shrinkage, reduction of nerve fiber density and down-regulation of transmitter-associated enzymes with a decrease of cholinergic transmission (Svendsen et al., 1991; Venero et al., 1994). Since NGF mRNA level is unchanged, the impairment of NGF transport along with the degeneration of cholinergic neurons may represent one of the earliest events during AD progression (Mufson et al., 1995).

NGF regulates Tau synthesis either directly by up-regulation of gene transcription or indirectly by its ubiquitination and proteosomal degradation (Babu et al., 2005; Sadot et al., 1996). Tau phosphorylation is also regulated by NGF deprivation in vivo (Capsoni et al., 2002). Tau controls the microtubule-dependent axonal transport in a concentration-dependent manner (Dixit et al., 2008) thus affecting intracellular
trafficking of neurotrophins (Mandelkow et al., 2003). In aged rats, retrograde microtubule-dependent NGF signaling is reportedly diminished as compared to young rats (Niewiadomska et al., 2011). These findings suggest that the failure of Tau-mediated axonal transport could curtail trophic support in aged or AD brains (Niewiadomska et al., 2011). Retrograde labeling of basal forebrain has shown an altered distribution of phospho-Tau, GSK3-β, and TrkA protein in aged cholinergic neurons (Niewiadomska et al., 2011). An Aβ-mediated down-regulation of BDNF retrograde trafficking in the neurons of a transgenic mouse (Tg2576) model of AD has been reported, whereas no obvious change in Tau phosphorylation was detected (Poon et al., 2011). Moreover, the loss of the N-terminal 25 amino acids of Tau has been shown in the cellular and animal models of AD induced by disrupted NGF signaling (Corsetti et al., 2008). In NGF-deprived hippocampal primary neurons, an early transient and site-specific GSK-3β-mediated Tau hyper-phosphorylation of two AD-related epitopes (Ser262 and Thr231) has been correlated with an activation of the endogenous amyloidogenic pathway (Matrone et al., 2008). A relationship between AD pathology and both the TrkA and p75NTR signaling is supported by a study in which a transgenic mouse (TgMNAC13) that expressed an anti-TrkA antibody displayed cholinergic function deficit and amyloid- but not tau-associated pathology (Capsoni et al., 2010).

A potential link between BDNF and AD pathophysiology presented by increased production of Aβ peptide and Tau hyperphosphorylation has been suggested (Arancibia et al., 2008; Carlino et al., 2013). BDNF regulates the processing of APP by stimulating the non-amyloidogenic processing pathway (Rohe et al., 2009). This may produce beneficial effects such as reduction in the production of Aβ peptides and the release of the secreted form of APP that has neurotrophic and neuroprotective effects (Nishitomi et al., 2006; Thornton et al., 2006). In primary neuronal cultures, pretreatment with BDNF protects against the cytotoxic effects of Aβ (Arancibia et al., 2008). The administration of BDNF is also able to restore changes in neurons pretreated with Aβ (Aliaga et al., 2010). Sublethal doses of Aβ down-regulate BDNF expression in cultured cortical neurons and impair BDNF intra-cellular trafficking (Poon et al., 2011). A report showing the increased production of BDNF by astrocytes rescuing neuritic degeneration in differentiated human neuroblastoma cells suggests a compensatory event to maintain adequate neurotrophic support to neurons in the initial stages of AD pathology (Kimura et al., 2014). Up-regulation of BDNF mRNA expression and protein synthesis is also found in microglia and astrocytes in the vicinities of amyloid plaques in a transgenic mouse model of AD (Burbach et al., 2004). BDNF and phospho-CREB immunoreactivity is decreased in correlation to age-dependent decline of neurogenesis (Hattiangady et al., 2005). Patients with AD show a reduced BDNF concentration in the CSF (cerebrospinal fluid) as compared to healthy older subjects without cognitive impairment (Laske et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2008) and higher BDNF serum levels are associated with a slower rate of cognitive decline in AD patients (Laske et al., 2011). It has been shown that BDNF and exercise enhance DNA repair in cerebral cortical and hippocampal neurons by a mechanism involving CREB suggesting that impaired synaptic activity and associated reductions in BDNF signaling may compromise BER (base excision repair) resulting in the accumulation of oxidative DNA damage (Yang et al., 2014b).
**Neurotrophin-based therapy for AD**

Based on preclinical and clinical studies, the roles of NGF and BDNF in cortical and hippocampal neuron plasticity and behavior open up new avenues in the AD therapeutic strategy (Allen *et al.*, 2013). The major objective in this direction has been to prevent Aβ production or clear Aβ from the brain. Preclinical studies on NGF protein administration as an AD therapeutic were later translated to a Phase I clinical trial begun in 2001 (Tuszynski *et al.*, 2005) and it was determined safe to proceed to Phase II trials. In this context, the safe, long-term and noninvasive delivery of recombinant human NGF to the brain to provide cholinergic trophic support is considered to be an encouraging therapeutic tool to treat cognitive impairment in AD (Cattaneo and Calissano, 2012).

*In vivo* studies also show promise towards the use of NGF as a therapeutic agent to treat AD (Williams *et al.*, 2006). In an AD mouse model, intranasal administration of NGF and an oral administration of two AchE inhibitors, gansstigmine and donepezil have been shown to improve memory and cognition (De Rosa *et al.*, 2005; Origlia *et al.*, 2006). Small molecule p75NTR ligands that can block Aβ-induced activation of signaling cascades associated with AD pathology by targeting calpain/Cdk5, GSK3β, JNK signaling, Tau phosphorylation and blunt Aβ-dependent AKT and CREB inactivation would have the ability to reverse synaptic impairment and inhibit Aβ-induced neuronal loss (Longo *et al.*, 2007; Yang *et al.*, 2008). Recently, a number of small molecule NGF agonists with high affinity to TrkA were characterized and were found to be active in NGF-dependent assays (Scarpi *et al.*, 2012) indicating that they possess NGF-like potency.

In order to develop novel therapeutic agents for neurodegenerative diseases, investigations to delineate the underlying mechanisms of BDNF upregulation in neurons and to induce biosynthesis of both proBDNF and BDNF using natural compounds are ongoing (Numakawa, 2014). BDNF has the potential to facilitate recovery from neurodegenerative diseases (Lynch *et al.*, 2007; Zuccato and Cattaneo, 2009). A number of preclinical studies have suggested that BDNF may be potent against both age-related as well as AD-associated pathology. Delivery of BDNF into the supracallosal gyrus or the third ventricle showed substantial protection from Aβ when given concurrently (Arancibia *et al.*, 2008). *In vivo*, BDNF improved disease-related symptoms in rodents and primate models of advanced age and AD (Nagahara *et al.*, 2009a). In AD transgenic mice, BDNF introduced by gene delivery, although did not affect the clearance of Aβ plaques, reversed synapse loss and restored cell signaling, learning and memory (Nagahara *et al.*, 2009b). In aged Fischer rats, BDNF infusions significantly improved spatial learning and memory in water maze performance (Nagahara *et al.*, 2009b). In a primate model showing age-related neurodegeneration and cognitive decline with neuronal function deficits but no extensive cell death, injections of lentiviral vector expressing BDNF showed a significant improvement in performance. Elevated levels of BDNF were also found in the hippocampus, suggesting anterograde transport (Nagahara *et al.*, 2009b). In a rat model of aging, in which entorhinal-associated cognitive function declined with no neuron loss, BDNF administration prevented cell death and reduced memory deficits (Nagahara *et al.*, 2009b). These findings establish BDNF delivery as a means for treating AD.
However, due to a variety of signaling cascades that BDNF regulates, whether other non-targeted signaling pathways alter to a detriment due to excess BDNF in the brain remains a concern (Murray and Holmes, 2011). BDNF virally delivered by injection into subcortical white matter induced BDNF levels and reversed memory deficits and synaptic degeneration in a mouse model of AD (Iwasaki et al., 2012).

A small molecule 7,8-dihydroxyflavone, which was characterized as a TrkB agonist was shown to activate downstream pathways similar to TrkB-mediated signaling, and protect neurons from kainic acid-induced apoptosis (Jang et al., 2010). Delivery of BDNF to the CNS has been successfully performed using stem cells. In an aged triple transgenic mouse model of AD expressing pathogenic forms of APP, PSEN, and Tau when treated with hippocampal neural stem cell transplantation, spatial learning and memory deficits were reversed, which involved BDNF-mediated enhancement of hippocampal synaptic density (Blurton-Jones et al., 2009). Additionally, in a transgenic AD animal model, neural stem cell transplantation reportedly increased hippocampal BDNF levels resulting in higher synaptic density, and thus could restore hippocampus-dependent cognition (Blurton-Jones et al., 2009).

Summary

Neurotrophins, such as BDNF and NGF, are important trophic factors that play critical roles in adulthood as well as during nervous system development. Subsets of neurons use either or both of these trophic factors for proper functioning. Studies show that a reduction in NGF or BDNF levels may be responsible for at least some of the symptoms of AD. Therefore, each of these factors has been used as a neuro-restorative therapy in animal models of AD or in clinical trials. Since the delivery of these proteins into the human brain has obvious difficulties, small molecules and mimetics, such as the p75NTR antagonists and Trk agonists, are being developed as cost-effective and accessible therapies. For NGF, BDNF and their mimetics, understanding their mechanism of action and the effective modes of delivery to the brain still remains a focus of many ongoing investigations. A critical approach during the AD disease process would involve intact neurotrophin receptors and their functional downstream pathways. In addition, timing of such therapy is another issue that needs consideration, because once a neuron dies, its replacement may not be feasible. Hence, for neurotrophin supplementation therapies to be effective, treatments need to begin before significant neuronal loss. Since AD is a very complex disease and its cause, as is known thus far, involves multiple pathways going awry at the same time or in succession, designing a neurotrophin-based therapy demands careful assessment. Nonetheless, the impact of neurotrophin-based therapies for AD is making great strides as continued insight keeps coming from ongoing studies.
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Neurotransmitters and Receptors

Vesna Jevtovic-Todorovic

Introduction

Over the past century the field of neuroscience has enjoyed unimaginable growth and numerous breakthrough discoveries. In particular, the domain of neurotransmitters and their receptor systems has been evolving with the speed of light not only in terms of detecting novel ones but also in terms of deciphering their biological functions with a keen eye on developing novel therapeutic targets. Hence, the true comprehensive review of presently available knowledge regarding all neurotransmitters and the role of their receptor systems during the central nervous system (CNS) development could not be accomplished in earnest with a chapter but rather would require a full-length book. Nevertheless, what could be accomplished is up-to-date overview of major neurotransmitter systems that are known to control and modulate important biological, physiological and psychological functions during brain development.

Ever since scientists have discovered that neuronal communication is not only electrical in nature (via gap junctions) but could be carried between neurons with endogenous chemicals, i.e., neurotransmitters, the quest for understanding the mechanisms as to how neurotransmitters get synthesized, delivered to the synapse, released, engaged with postsynaptic receptors and get up-taken has been relentless. Although the precise number of endogenous neurotransmitters is not known, it is believed that there are potentially more than one hundred. The first clue that neurons
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Neurotransmitters and Receptors communicate by releasing neurotransmitters was presented in the early 1900’s when Dr. Cajal reported the existence of synaptic cleft, a tiny gap between neurons, thus suggesting that a chemical messenger must be released to assure the communication between neurons. This notion was indeed confirmed in the early 1920’s when Dr. Loewi discovered the presence of the first known neurotransmitter, acetylcholine (Saladin, 2009).

Although the criteria important to identifying an endogenous chemical as a neurotransmitter are evolving over time some initial considerations remain an important guide: (1) they have to be present or synthesized in the neuron; (2) activation of a neuron must promote the release of it and cause a response in its target; (3) when the neurotransmitter is applied exogenously on the target it must trigger the same response as the endogenously released one; and, (4) some type of uptake mechanism or metabolic processing has to occur to remove the neurotransmitter once a desired effect was achieved. These well-established criteria are being expanded to include chemicals that have an influence on the release or uptake of the neurotransmitters or have an effect on changing the structure of the synapse. Interestingly, there are neurons that can release more than one neurotransmitter (especially neuropeptides) from their terminals (Breedlove et al., 2013). The requirement that the neurotransmitter should be present or synthesized in the neuron is now being challenged to include chemicals that can carry messages between neurons via the influence on the postsynaptic membrane regardless of their site of origin.

Neurotransmitter Release and Recycling

Neurotransmitters are normally synthesized in the cytosol of axon terminals and are stored in the organelles called synaptic vesicles typically about 40–50 nm in diameter (Bonanomi et al., 2006). Although any given neuron receives inputs from numerous others, each neuron typically synthesizes one kind of neurotransmitters. The process by which the neurotransmitters are released into the synaptic cleft of a ‘chemical’ synapse is called exocytosis. The neurotransmitter exerts its desired effect by binding to a specific receptor located on the plasma membrane of a post-synaptic cell (most commonly another neuron). The release of neurotransmitters via exocytosis is a complex process that is referred to as ‘vesicle-targeting and fusion’ and has some unique properties. Namely, it depends on the action potential that travels to the axon terminus and, once the synaptic vesicles fuse with the plasma membrane and the neurotransmitter is released into the synaptic cleft, they are quickly recycled (Schweizer and Ryan, 2006). The action potential serves an important purpose—it activates voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels localized in the plasma membrane adjacent to the synaptic vesicles. Their activation leads to an influx of Ca²⁺ ions from the extracellular space into the cytosol and this sudden and localized rise in the cytosolic Ca²⁺ from its resting level of < 0.1 nM to 1–100 nM promotes the fusion of the synaptic vesicles to the plasma membrane and exocytosis of the neurotransmitter. The key to this process being conducted in a synchronized and ‘on command’ fashion is for extra Ca²⁺ ions to be quickly pumped out of the cytosol by Ca²⁺ ATPases so that the next signal can be processed in timely fashion. It is important to note that two pools of neurotransmitter-containing synaptic vesicles have been recognized (Schweizer and Ryan, 2006). One is “docked” at the
plasma membrane and is considered to be immediately releasable pool and the other is a reserve pool located in the active zone serving as replenishment when needed. It is believed that only about 10% of the docked vesicles get released with a spike in the cytosolic Ca²⁺.

To assure the availability of a neurotransmitter after a wave of exocytosis occurs, the process of rapid recycling of synaptic-vesicle membrane has to occur via the process called endocytosis. Once released into the synaptic cleft, the neurotransmitter undergoes rapid metabolism (e.g., acetylcholine, neuropeptides), uptake (most common for the majority of the neurotransmitters) or diffusion away from the synaptic cleft in order to prevent prolonged stimulation of the postsynaptic cell.

The most well-known metabolic process for a rapid removal of the neurotransmitter from the synaptic cleft once its action on the postsynaptic receptor has been achieved is the one described for acetylcholine and it involves its hydrolysis to acetate and choline by the enzyme acetylcholinesterase. The enzyme located in the synaptic cleft is anchored to the plasma membrane by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor thus being in close proximity to the action site of the acetylcholine (Amenta and Tayebati, 2008) assure that acetylcholine gets replenished, choline is transported from the synaptic cleft back into the nerve terminal by a Na⁺/choline symporter, so that it could be used for de novo synthesis.

Unlike acetylcholine, the majority of other neurotransmitters are recycled intact, via an active uptake mechanism, from the synaptic cleft into the axon terminals that released them. Transporters are unique for each neurotransmitter; GABA, norepinephrine, dopamine, and serotonin were the first to be cloned and studied. They are transmembrane proteins and Na⁺/neurotransmitter symporters. Like with all Na⁺ symporters, the movement of Na⁺ into the cell down its electrochemical gradient provides the energy for uptake of the neurotransmitters (Kaila et al., 2014). The fact that the transporters play such an important role in removing the neurotransmitter from the synaptic cleft has been used for the development of novel therapeutic strategies. In particular, antidepressant medications such as fluoxetine and imipramine are based on blockage of serotonin uptake (Abbas et al., 2012) and desipramine on blockage of norepinephrine uptake (Brunello et al., 2002).

**Classification of Neurotransmitters**

Neurotransmitters can be classified based on their basic chemical composition and based on their mode of activity. Five main categories based on the chemical composition of a neurotransmitter are: amino acids, monoamines, peptides, gasotransmitters and ‘others‘. The examples of the major neurotransmitters that are amino acids are: glutamate, N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA), D-serine, γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glycine. The examples of the major neurotransmitters that are monoamines are dopamine, epinephrine (adrenaline), norepinephrine (noradrenaline), histamine and serotonin. Also trace amines such as phenethylamine, N-methylphenylethamine, tyramine, 3-iiodothyronamine, octopamine, tryptamine, etc., should be considered in this category. The examples of the major neurotransmitters that are peptides are: somatostatin, substance P, cocaine and amphetamine regulated transcript, opioid
peptides (e.g., endorphins and enkephalins). In addition to the major ones, there are over 50 neuroactive peptides that are often released in tandem with another transmitter (usually small-molecule ones) to help fine tune neuronal regulation. The examples of the major neurotransmitters that are gasotransmitters are: nitric oxide, carbon monoxide, hydrogen sulfide. The gaseous neurotransmitter are difficult to study since once synthesized they are immediately dispersed into the extracellular space and into the cell where they induce the cascade of events mediated via second messenger system. They are quickly broken down which is why their half-lives are measured in seconds. Although not belonging to any of these categories and thus considered as ‘others’ the important neurotransmitters that should be included are acetylcholine, adenosine, anandamide, nucleotides (e.g., ATP) and the corresponding nucleosides. It is noteworthy that single ions could be considered neurotransmitters as well. For example, synaptically released zinc is sometimes considered to be a transmitter (Marchetti, 2014).

Two of the most abundant neurotransmitters in the mammalian brain are glutamate and GABA, one considered to be the most prevalent excitatory neurotransmitter and the other the most prevalent inhibitory neurotransmitter, respectively. This brings us to another classification based on functional properties of a given neurotransmitter and its ability to enhance or suppress neuronal electrical activity—the category of the excitatory and the inhibitory neurotransmitters. The excitatory neurotransmitters act via the excitatory (type I) synapses that are typically located on the neuronal processes (shafts and dendritic spines) (Matus and Walters, 1976). They promote neuronal excitation and the generation of an action potential. Once a neurotransmitter binds to an excitatory receptor located on the post-synaptic membrane, either Na+ or Na+/K+ channel transforms into an open state allowing the influx of ions and membrane depolarization resulting in the generation of an action potential and activation of the neuron. The inhibitory neurotransmitters act via the inhibitory (type II) synapses and are typically located on the neuronal soma (Matus and Walters, 1976). The activation of an inhibitory receptor on the postsynaptic cell leads to opening of K+ or Cl− channels and membrane hyperpolarization which in turn inhibits generation of an action potential. Neuronal communication achieved via neurotransmitter release is very complex and involves the reception of thousands of excitatory and inhibitory signals delivered to each neuron. Thus, it is known that a neurotransmitter can induce an excitatory response in some postsynaptic neurons and an inhibitory in others. The fact that any given neuron can receive inputs from numerous other neurons (especially interneurons) via inhibitory and excitatory receptors brings up a phenomenon called ‘signal computation’ (Bhalla, 2003). This is the mechanism by which the neuron continuously ‘averages’ incoming signals to decide whether action potential should be generated. By passively moving along the plasma membrane depolarization and hyperpolarization are summed together usually at the axon hillock. If the axon hillock becomes depolarized to the so-called threshold potential, the action potential is generated; otherwise the cell remains inactive. So even though the membrane potential undergoes constant changes with each quantum of neurotransmitter release and subsequent activation of its receptor, the generation of the action potential is an all-or-none phenomenon, i.e., it occurs only when the threshold potential is reached.
Physiological Functions and Main Receptors Systems of the Major Neurotransmitters

The basic definition of a receptor is that it is a membrane protein that is embedded in the phospholipid bilayer of a postsynaptic membrane and is activated by a neurotransmitter. To begin to understand the role of post-synaptic receptors we can divide them into two main categories: ligand-gated ion channels (ionotropic receptors) and G protein—coupled receptors (metabotropic receptors). Either type of receptors can be excitatory or inhibitory, but the main distinction is based on the speed of their response. Although the majority of receptors in the CNS require rapid response (in seconds and minutes), there are many roles that slow synapses and receptors coupled to G-protein play in modulating neuronal activity. Once the neurotransmitter binds to this type of synapse on a post-synaptic membrane, G protein is activated and in many cases directly binds to a separate ion-channel protein. This in turn modulates its ion conductance (Takahashi, 2000). If the G protein action is not mediated via ion channels, it could also be modulated via activating adenylate cyclase or phospholipase C, triggering a rise in cytosolic cAMP or Ca\(^{2+}\), respectively (Takahashi, 2000). As second messengers, cytosolic cAMP or Ca\(^{2+}\) then modulate the ion conductance of an ion channel. An important feature of G-protein linked receptors is that their response is intrinsically slower and lasts longer compared to ligand-gated channels. Good examples of slow acting receptors that are coupled to G protein are the ones modulated by neuropeptide since they often need to exert their effect over a prolonged period of time (Zhang et al., 2011).

It is noteworthy that neurotransmitter receptors could become desensitized or downregulated if exposed to their neurotransmitter in prolonged fashion. It is considered to be a consequence of the protein allosteric modulation since each receptor has several discrete conformations, more or less amenable to the binding of its neurotransmitter.

The Physiology of Neurotransmitters and their Receptors during Brain Development

It is important to note that all key elements of neuronal development depend on a fine balance between various neurotransmitters. In particular, it has been known that two major neurotransmitters, glutamate and GABA, control all aspects of neuronal migration, differentiation, maturation and synaptogenesis, the key components of mammalian brain development (Komuro and Rakic, 1993). Synaptogenesis involves massive dendritic branching and formation of trillion synaptic contacts between neurons thus enabling the formation of meaningful neuronal circuitries and orderly neuronal maps. The processes by which axonal and dendritic projections find the right ‘target’ and the most appropriate pathways for growth are very complex and not within the scope of this chapter. However, it is worth mentioning that the synaptogenesis and the development of neuronal processes are based on activity-dependent remodeling suggesting that neuronal firing and interneuronal communications are crucial for timely and proper synaptogenesis (Brown et al., 1997; Dobbing and Sands, 1979).
All aspects of developmental synaptogenesis are tightly controlled by glia, which actively participate in neuron-glia signaling while providing an appropriate milieu for neuron-neuron interaction (Allen and Barres, 2005). The electrical activity and synaptic signaling are strategically important during synaptogenesis, so much so that the major inhibitory neurotransmitter, GABA serves as an excitatory neurotransmitter during early stages of synaptogenesis (Ben-Ari, 2002). Although synapses are very pliable and undergo constant remodeling where new synapses are being formed and others are being pruned away throughout ones life, the bulk of fundamental neuronal networks and synaptic contacts are formed during developmental synaptogenesis. In humans that time period occurs during the last trimester of in utero life and the first few years of post-natal life while being most intense during the first several months of post-natal life (Dobbing and Sands, 1979).

Unphysiologic Modulation of the Neurotransmitters and their Receptor Systems during Brain Development causes Neurotoxic Damage to the Immature Neurons

The fact that neuronal activity and communication are crucial for proper formation of synaptic contacts and for the establishment of stable receptor structures which form the foundation for cognitive and behavioral development brings into focus a class of drugs with main goal of unphysiologically ‘switching off’ or ‘turning down’ neuronal communication for the purpose of achieving amnesia, analgesia and hypnosis. This class of drugs is called general anesthetics. Both gaseous (e.g., nitrous oxide, isoflurane, sevoflurane, desflurane, etc.) and intravenous (e.g., benzodiazepines, barbiturates, propofol, etomidate, etc.) anesthetics are frequently used for the purpose of assuring the patients’ comfort during painful intervention.

General anesthetics are very potent and effective in transiently inhibiting neuronal communication (Hudetz, 2012). However, despite their widespread use, the mechanisms of their anesthetic action are not fully understood. Based on the studies published over the last few decades, it is becoming increasingly evident that there are specific cellular targets through which general anesthetics act (Franks, 2008). In general, enhancement of inhibitory synaptic transmission and/or inhibition of excitatory synaptic transmission have been reported. In particular, it is becoming widely accepted that many intravenous anesthetics, among them barbiturates, benzodiazepines, propofol, and etomidate (Franks, 2008; Hirota et al., 1998), as well as inhalational volatile anesthetics such as isoflurane, sevoflurane, desflurane, and halothane (Nishikawa and Harrison, 2003; Pearce, 2000), promote inhibitory neurotransmission by enhancing GABA\textsubscript{A}-induced currents in neuronal tissue. For this reason, they are often referred to as GABA\textsubscript{A}ergic agents. On the other hand, a small number of intravenous anesthetics [e.g., phencyclidine (PCP) and its derivative, ketamine (Lodge and Anis, 1982)] and inhalational anesthetics, nitrous oxide and xenon (Franks et al., 1998; Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 1998) inhibit excitatory neurotransmission by blocking N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, a subtype of glutamate receptors.

Although general anesthetics are powerful modulators of GABA and glutamate and thus cause significant imbalance in their functioning, only recently has it been
recognized that general anesthetics, given in clinically relevant concentrations and
combinations, are potentially damaging to neuronal cells in adult and immature brains
thus suggesting that these agents are potentially deleterious. This newly developing
knowledge suggests the importance of maintaining a fine balance in neurotransmitters
release and their receptor activation during critical stages of synaptogenesis. Since
general anesthetics are becoming rapidly recognized as potentially powerful
neurotoxins for the developing brain the remainder of this chapter will review presently
available animal and human findings regarding the neurotoxic potential of commonly
used intravenous and inhalational anesthetics.

The neurotoxic potential of general anesthetics in the developing
mammalian brain

Due to skillful and sophisticated pediatric anesthesia management, the exposure of
very young children, including premature babies as young as 24 weeks postconception,
to general anesthesia is becoming common resulting in the annual administration
of more than 3 million anesthetics (Calb, 2008; Sun et al., 2008). The frequency of
operating suite visits has grown exponentially, as has the length of stays in intensive
care units. Heroic attempts to save premature and very ill infants has resulted in
prolonged, deep sedation and repeated anesthesia during an extremely delicate period
of human development.

As stated earlier the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate promotes all key
aspects of neuronal development (Komuro and Rakic, 1993) and the fine balance
between GABA and glutamate neurotransmission is important for proper and timely
formation of neuronal circuitries. Neurons that are not successful in making meaningful
connections are considered redundant and are destined to die by programmed cell
death, i.e., apoptosis, which occurs naturally during normal development of the
mammalian CNS. Although this is a physiological process, apoptosis during normal
development is tightly controlled, resulting in the removal of only a small percentage
of neurons (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003). A disturbance of the fine balance between
 glutamatergic and GABAergic neurotransmission by excessive depression of neuronal
activity and unphysiological changes in the synaptic environment during a crucial
stage of brain development may constitute a generic signal for developing neurons
to “commit suicide”. Since the goal of surgical anesthesia is to render the patient
unconscious and insentient to pain, the ultimate question becomes whether general
anesthetics at doses that ensure substantial receptor occupancy and profound depression
of neuronal activity could be promoting excessive activation of neuroapoptosis and
the death of large populations of developing neurons.

Based on recently published findings (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003; Loepke
et al., 2009; Rizzi et al., 2008; Young et al., 2005), it is becoming widely accepted that
common general anesthetics do indeed cause significant and widespread neuroapoptotic
degeneration of developing neurons in various mammalian species, including rats,
mice, guinea pigs, and nonhuman primates. The peak of vulnerability to anesthesia-
induced neuroapoptosis in each species coincides with its peak of synaptogenesis,
with much less vulnerability observed during late stages of synaptogenesis
(Rizzi et al., 2008; Yon et al., 2005). Aside from prominent caspase-3 staining, a
hallmark of apoptotic death which could be detected at the light microscopic level, detailed examination at the ultrastructural level suggests that the initial insult, visible mainly in the nucleus, is marked by the clamping of chromatin, followed by disruption of the nuclear membrane, intermixing of the cytoplasm and nucleoplasm, and the formation of apoptotic bodies (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003) (Figure 14.1). In recent years, we have put forward a considerable effort into elucidating the mechanisms of anesthesia-induced developmental neuroapoptosis and found it to involve several cascades of cellular events that ultimately lead to neuronal deletion and impairment of proper synapse formation.

Figure 14.1. Triple anesthetic cocktail induces apoptotic neurodegeneration. (a–l) are light micrographic views of various brain regions of either a control rat (a, f, h, j) or a rat exposed to the triple anesthetic cocktail (0.75-vol% isoflurane with midazolam at 9 mg/kg, s.c., and nitrous oxide at 75-vol% for 6 hrs) (b-e, g, i, k, l). Some sections were stained by the DeOlmos silver method (a, b, d, f, g, k); the others were immunocytochemically stained to reveal caspase-3 activation (c, e, h–j, l). The regions illustrated are the posterior cingulate/retrosplenial cortex (a–c), subiculum (d, e), anterior thalamus (f, g), rostral CA1 hippocampus (h, i), and parietal cortex (j–l). The individual nuclei shown in the anterior thalamus (f, g) are laterodorsal (LD), anterodorsal (AD), anteroventral (AV), anteromedial (AM), and nucleus reuniens (NR). m and n are electron micrographic scenes depicting the ultrastructural appearance of neurons undergoing apoptosis. The cell in m displays an early stage of apoptosis in which dense spherical chromatin balls are forming in the nucleus while the nuclear membrane remains intact; few changes are evident in the cytoplasm. The cell in n exhibits a much later stage of apoptosis in which the entire cell is condensed, the nuclear membrane is absent, and there is intermixing of nuclear and cytoplasmic constituents. These are hallmark characteristics of neuronal apoptosis as it occurs in the in-vivo mammalian brain [Reproduced with permission from Journal of Neuroscience, 2003; 23(3): 876–882].
The importance of cellular organelles in anesthesia-induced developmental modulation of neuronal receptors

Apoptosis can occur via different biochemical pathways, resulting in activation of effector caspases as the final step. Mitochondria and endoplasmic reticuli became primary targets of interest for initiating the cascade of events ultimately leading to neuronal death by apoptosis.

Apoptosis can occur via different biochemical pathways that result in activation of effector caspases as the final step. The mitochondria-dependent pathway, also called the intrinsic pathway, involves the down-regulation of anti-apoptotic proteins from the bcl-2 family (e.g., bcl-xL), an increase in mitochondrial membrane permeability, followed by an increase in cytochrome c release into the cytoplasm. The cytochrome c, in turn, activates caspases-9 and -3, resulting in apoptosis. We found that the mitochondria-dependent cascade is activated within the first 2 h when general anesthesia exposure occurs at the peak of synaptogenesis. This activation is characterized by a significant decrease in levels of bcl-xL protein, a significant rise in cytochrome c, and activation of caspase-9 (Yon et al., 2006; Yon et al., 2005).

In addition to activation of the intrinsic apoptotic cascade, general anesthetics cause a significant and long lasting-disturbance in mitochondrial morphogenesis. Two weeks after anesthesia exposure, mitochondrial enlargement and deranged, fragmented cristae and inner membranes were noted, suggesting significant impairment of mitochondrial membrane integrity (Sanchez et al., 2011). Although anesthesia-induced mitochondrial enlargement could be due to swelling, mitochondrial regeneration in neurons depends on fine dynamics between mitochondrial fusion and fission (Chan, 2006); deranged fusion leads to mitochondrial fragmentation while deranged fission leads to mitochondrial enlargement. An imbalance between fission and fusion appears to have a causal role in initiating several adult neurodegenerative diseases (Bossy-Wetzel et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2009). For example, in Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases, impaired fission/fusion can lead to an increase in a large mitochondrial pool, with a medium-sized population remaining relatively intact (Trimmer et al., 2000). General anesthetics also may disturb mitochondrial dynamics favoring excessive mitochondrial fusion and impaired fission since the anesthesia-induced changes in mitochondrial size distribution were found to be similar to those observed in these neurodegenerative diseases (Sanchez et al., 2011). Disturbances in fission-fusion balance may not be tolerated well by immature and functionally busy mammalian neurons that are in need of adequate metabolic support. Indeed, impairment of mitochondrial morphogenesis may be, at least in part, the cause of the reported anesthesia-induced developmental neurodegeneration (Ikonomidou et al., 2000; Ikonomidou et al., 1999; Lu et al., 2006; Slikker et al., 2007; Yon et al., 2005).

Although mitochondrial enlargement is noted in very immature neurons, the existing literature often refers to large (and “giant”) mitochondria in aging neurons (Navarro and Boveris, 2010). Might there be a parallel between certain elements of mitochondrial neurodegeneration unique to aging and anesthesia-induced mitochondrial neurodegeneration unique to the developing brain? This possibility was examined further by determining how anesthesia affects developmental fusion and fission, especially by focusing on two important GTPase proteins—Drp1, and
mitofusin 2, which are critical for proper fusion and fission pathway activation and that are known to be modulated in aging neurons (Smirnova et al., 2001; Zorzano et al., 2010). It was reported that indeed anesthesia in the developing neurons causes acute sequestration of the main fission protein, Drp 1, from the cytoplasm to mitochondria, and its oligomerization on the outer mitochondrial membrane which in turn leads to the formation of the ring-like structures that are required for mitochondrial fission (Chan, 2006). The fission was further promoted by anesthesia-induced mitofusin-2, a protein necessary for maintaining the opposing process, mitochondrial fusion (Boscolo et al., 2013).

Since mitochondria are generated in the neuronal body, initial work was focused on the morphology of mitochondria located at this site; however, neurons have multiple compartments (e.g., dendrites, axons, and synapses) that are located far from the cell body and hence depend heavily on proper mitochondrial distribution (Morris and Hollenbeck, 1993). As the main regulators of ATP production, mitochondria are frequently found in the vicinity of active growth cones in developing neurons (Morris and Hollenbeck, 1993) and in terminals with active synapses (Rowland et al., 2000; Shepherd and Harris, 1998). Thus, it is of equal importance to understand how anesthesia-induced morphological changes affect mitochondrial migration (Yaffe, 1999). Our recent work suggests that significantly fewer mitochondria are located in presynaptic neuronal profiles in anesthesia-treated brain than in controls (Sanchez et al., 2011). Since these mitochondria also are significantly larger than those in controls, it was proposed that anesthesia-induced mitochondrial enlargement causes mitochondria to be sluggish and ‘stuck’ in more proximal cellular compartments, thus shifting their regional distribution away from very distant thin and highly arborized dendritic branches at a time when their presence is necessary for normal synapse formation and development. In fact, we and others have reported that anesthesia impairs plasticity of dendritic spines and the formation, stability and function of developing synapses (Briner et al., 2010; Briner et al., 2011; Head et al., 2009; Lunardi et al., 2010). Since mitochondrial ATP production at the vicinity of an active synapse regulates all the elements of neurotransmitter synthesis, release (via exocytosis) and uptake it is clear that mitochondrial dysfunction during critical stages of synaptogenesis may be devastating for the developing neurons.

Since neurons are highly dependent on ATP synthesis and produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) as byproducts of oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria it is important to understand how excessive ROS production may impair normal neurotransmitter release and receptor function. Because of their high oxygen requirements and relative deficiency in oxidative defenses—in particular, low to moderate activity of catalase and Mn-superoxide dismutase (SOD)—neurons are highly sensitive to excessive ROS production. This vulnerability, combined with their high content of polyunsaturated fatty acids, makes them susceptible to excessive lipid peroxidation and cellular damage (Halliwell, 1992). Morphological distortion and impaired regional distribution of mitochondria are accompanied by production of excessive ROS and significant peroxidation of cellular and subcellular lipid membranes. These effects are important in the development and progression of several neurological diseases that are marked by severe cognitive decline (Bennett, 2005; Reddy, 2006; Reddy, 2007; Trushina et al., 2004). Early exposure to anesthesia makes developing neurons susceptible to
mitochondria-induced ROS up-regulation, lipid peroxidation, and protein oxidation. This in turn may contribute to the observed impairment in receptors function and could ultimately be responsible for the reported cognitive impairments. To address a potential functional link between disturbances in receptor function, ROS up-regulation and cognitive disturbances, we administered EUK-134, a synthetic ROS scavenger having both Mn-SOD and catalase activity (Baker et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2003), or R(+) pramipexole [R(+)] PPX], a synthetic aminobenzothiazol derivative that blocks permeability transition pores, restores the integrity of mitochondrial membranes (Sayeed et al., 2006), and limits ROS production (Cassarino et al., 1998; Le et al., 2000). We found that curtailing ROS up-regulation and lipid peroxidation with these inhibitors preserved mitochondrial morphogenesis and neuronal viability and also prevented the development of learning and memory impairment in adolescent rats that were exposed to general anesthesia at the peak of synaptogenesis (at post-natal day 7) (Boscolo et al., 2012). This result suggests that anesthesia-induced developmental neurotoxicity is, in part, the result of mitochondria-induced ROS that ultimately leads to neuronal damage and behavioral impairment.

Significant inhibition of anesthesia-induced developmental neurodegeneration also has been provided by other strategies to protect mitochondria. For example, melatonin, a naturally occurring sleep hormone that up-regulates bcl-xL (Yon et al., 2006) and prevents cytochrome c leak, and carnitine, a nutritional supplement that protects mitochondrial integrity (Zou et al., 2008), both provide significant protection against neuronal apoptosis. Although it remains to be determined whether melatonin and carnitine also protect against anesthesia-induced cognitive impairment, it is clear that mitochondria play an integral role in proper development of the immature neurons and their synaptic connections. Collectively, this line of work suggests that preventing excessive lipid peroxidation and protecting mitochondria may permit safe use of general anesthesia during early stages of brain development.

The upstream trigger for impairment of mitochondrial function may be excessive increase in intracellular Ca^{2+}. Since the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the primary source of releasable Ca^{2+} in neurons, it plays an important role in neuronal function and survival. As discussed earlier, intracellular Ca^{2+} regulates many aspects of neuronal development, including synapse development and functioning, membrane excitability, protein synthesis, neuronal apoptosis and autophagy—in short, all important elements of neuronal survival (Berridge, 2009; Decuypere et al., 2011; Hanson et al., 2004). A moderate increase in Ca^{2+} release via activation of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptors may provide neuroprotection in some forms of brain injury (Wei et al., 2007); however, excessive activation of these receptors may lead to elevation of intracellular Ca^{2+} into the toxic range. A disturbance in Ca^{2+} homeostasis has been implicated in some forms of learning and memory deficits (Power et al., 2002; Rosenzweig and Barnes, 2003).

The elevated Ca^{2+} may cause cytochrome c leak (Hanson et al., 2004), which could promote further mitochondrial dysfunction. Thus, the ER could be an important initial target of anesthesia-induced developmental neurotoxicity. Indeed, Zhao and colleagues have shown that the inhalational anesthetic isoflurane activates inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptors to induce significant Ca^{2+} release from the ER, resulting in modulation of mitochondrial bcl-xL protein, which then promotes apoptotic neuronal
death in the immature rat brain (Zhao et al., 2010). Similar modulation of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptors was reported with the general anesthetics propofol, desflurane and sevoflurane, with resultant cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) overload and an increase in mitochondrial permeability transition pore activity resulting in mitochondrial swelling and uncontrolled release of pro-apoptotic factors (Inan and Wei, 2010).

**The Importance of Anesthesia-induced Modulation of Neurotransmitters in the Formation of Neuronal Networks**

The morphological changes described thus far represent substantial changes in neuronal structure that can be detected easily using histological assessments. An important issue that has been brought to light on several occasions is that seemingly subtle changes that cannot be detected morphologically remain in surviving ‘normal’ neurons after the grossly damaged neurons have been removed. Based on presently available evidence, these neurons may not be truly functional; i.e., their communications may be faulty. We first noted that an early exposure to general anesthesia causes long-term impairment in synaptic transmission in the hippocampus of adolescent rats (postnatal day 27–33) exposed to anesthesia at the peak of their synaptogenesis (postnatal day 7) (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003). In particular, long-term potentiation was impaired significantly despite the presence of robust short-term potentiation. This observation suggested a long-lasting disturbance in neuronal circuitries in the young hippocampus, a brain region that is crucial for proper learning and memory development. A deficit in long-term potentiation was confirmed when synaptic transmission was examined using patch clamp recordings of evoked inhibitory post synaptic current (eIPSC) and evoked excitatory post synaptic current (eEPSC) by recording from the pyramidal layer of control and anesthesia-treated rat subiculum, an important component of the hippocampal complex. Again it was noted that anesthesia-treated animals suffered from impaired synaptic transmission with inhibitory transmission affected significantly (Sanchez et al., 2011).

**Figure 14.2.** Isoflurane causes immediate effects on axonal growth cone sensitivity to Semaphorin3A but requires a longer exposure for effects on axon guidance.

A time-response curve is shown depicting the percent axonal growth cone collapse to Semaphorin3A at increasing time of exposure to isoflurane, which shows a loss of collapse in as little as 15 min (A). Trajectory diagrams from a slice overlay assay conducted at 5h, the minimum time for appropriate targeting of controls (B) shows that a disruption of axon guidance is seen with 1.8% isoflurane at this time point. Axes in (B) and (C) in µm. [Reproduced with permission from *Anesthesiology*, 2013; 118(4): 825–833].
Although the precise mechanisms responsible for the long-lasting changes in synaptic communication post-anesthesia remain to be deciphered, some recent findings suggest that anesthetics impair axon targeting and inhibit axonal growth cone collapse, resulting in lack of proper response to guidance cues, thus causing errors in axon targeting (Mintz et al., 2013) (Figure 14.2).

Is there a Correlation between Excessive Modulation of Neurotransmitters during Critical Stages of Brain Development and Long-term Effects on Animal Behavior?

The above pathomorphological findings make it clear that anesthesia exposure results in neuronal deletion (Nikizad et al., 2007; Rizzi et al., 2008) and long-lasting impairment of synapses in vulnerable brain regions (Briner et al., 2010; Briner et al., 2011; Head et al., 2009; Lunardi et al., 2010). The ultimate question is whether and how these observations translate to lasting effects on behavior. The short answer appears to be that they do. Development of cognitive abilities of animals exposed to general anesthetics at the peak of synaptogenesis, lagged behind those of controls, with the gap widening into adulthood (Figure 14.3). Even intravenous general anesthetics like propofol or thiopental in combination with ketamine (but not singly), at post-natal day 10, alters mouse behavior later in young adulthood (Fredriksson et al., 2007). Similar adult behavioral deficits were noted when mice were exposed at post-natal day 10 to a cocktail containing ketamine and diazepam (Fredriksson et al., 2004).

Although anesthesia cocktails seem to be most detrimental, ketamine given alone during early stages of brain development in rats also caused later deficits in habituation, and in learning and memory (Fredriksson et al., 2004). When anesthetic agents with GABAergic and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonist properties are combined, which is done frequently in the clinical setting (for example, nitrous oxide and volatile anesthetics or propofol and ketamine), cognitive deficits are more profound (Fredriksson et al., 2004; Fredriksson et al., 2007; Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003). Although causality is difficult to establish, it is reasonable to propose that anesthesia-induced neuroapoptosis (discussed above), is at least in part, responsible for the observed cognitive deficits. It remains to be established whether multiple, longer-lasting exposures to anesthesia cocktails during vulnerable periods have greater effects on neurocognitive development than were initially predicted.

General anesthesia is rarely administered in the absence of surgery, and its associated pain and tissue injury. Thus, the reported neurotoxic potential of general anesthesia during brain development needs to be confirmed in the setting of surgical stimulation. Using clinically relevant concentrations of nitrous oxide and isoflurane Shu and his colleagues found that nociception enhanced neuroapoptosis and worsened long-term cognitive impairments when compared to anesthesia alone (Figure 14.4) (Shu et al., 2012). These results would seem to undermine the hope that surgical stimulation may somehow be ‘protective’ against anesthesia-induced neurotoxicity.

Results from rodent studies are known to translate poorly to humans, but newly emerging behavioral studies with non-human primates are beginning to suggest that translation is likely. Dr. Paule and his colleagues have examined the effects of
Neurotransmitters and Receptors 295

continuous neonatal (age 5 or 6 days) ketamine infusion (24 h) sufficient to maintain a light surgical plane of anesthesia on behavioral development in primates (Paule et al., 2011). They observed that ketamine-treated primates exhibit long-term disturbances in all important aspects of cognitive development, such as learning, psychomotor speed, concept formation and motivation. These effects occurred despite an absence of physiological or metabolic trespass. Although 24 hours of anesthetic exposure would be considered unusual, it certainly occurs, especially in critically ill patients of all ages. These results suggest further translation to humans.
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Is there any Relevance to Cognitive and Behavioral Development in Humans after Childhood Surgery?

The effects of perioperative events on the psychological and emotional development of children have been known for many decades. In 1945 Levy’s retrospective study was the first to make an association between relatively short surgical procedures, such as tonsillectomy, adenoidectomy, or appendectomy, in otherwise healthy children and the development of new behavioral problems such as terrors, dependency, destructiveness, and disobedience within the first six postoperative months (Levy, 1945). Most of the sensitive children were in the youngest age group; 33%–58% of them were between 0 and 2 years of age. Several studies over the succeeding decades reported that the incidence of surgery-associated psychological disturbances ranged from 9% to 20%, and that children under 2 years of age were at most risk. Further, the risk was

Figure 14.3. contd.

Figure 14.3. Effects of neonatal triple anesthetic cocktail treatment on spatial learning.

(a) Rats were tested at P32 for their ability to learn the location of a submerged (not visible) platform. ANOVA analysis of data on the length of escape paths yielded a significant main effect of treatment ($p = 0.032$) and a significant treatment by blocks of trials interaction ($p = 0.024$). These results indicated that the place-training performance of rats given an anesthetic cocktail (0.75-vol% isoflurane with midazolam at 9 mg/kg, s.c., and nitrous oxide at 75-vol% for 6 hrs) was significantly inferior to that of control rats. Subsequent pairwise comparisons indicated that differences were greatest during blocks 4, 5, and 6 ($p = 0.003, 0.012, \text{and} \ 0.019$, respectively). However, rats given the anesthetic cocktail improved their performance to control-like levels during the last four blocks of trials. (b) Rats were retested as adults (P131) for their ability to learn a different location of the submerged platform. The graph on the left shows the path-length data from the first five place trials when all rats were tested. ANOVA analysis of these data yielded a significant main effect of treatment ($p = 0.013$), indicating that the control rats, in general, used significantly shorter paths in swimming to the platform than did rats treated with the anesthetic cocktail. Subsequent pairwise comparisons showed that differences were greatest during block 4 ($p = 0.001$).

The graph on the right shows the data from rats given 5 additional training days as adults. During these trials, rats in the control group improved their performance and appeared to reach asymptotic levels, whereas the rats given the anesthetic cocktail showed no improvement. ANOVA analysis of these data yielded a significant main effect of treatment ($p = 0.045$), as well as a significant treatment by blocks of trials interaction ($p = 0.001$). Additional pairwise comparisons showed that group differences were greatest during blocks 7, 8, and 10 ($p = 0.032, 0.013, \text{and} \ 0.017$, respectively). (c) Probe trial performance of rats given the anesthetic cocktail and control rats during adult testing. Search behavior of the rats was quantified when the submerged platform was removed from the pool after the last place trials in blocks 5 and 10. The histogram on the left presents data for rats in both studies 1 and 2 combined after five blocks of place trials were completed. The histogram on the right presents data for rats in study 2 alone, after 10 blocks of place trials were completed. The dotted line represents the amount of time that animals would be expected to spend in the target quadrant based on chance alone. Both histograms show that the control rats spent significantly more time in the target quadrant than did the anesthesia-exposed rats, regardless of whether the probe tests were done on both study groups after five blocks or only on the study 2 rats after 10 trials. (d, e) Data are shown from the radial arm maze test done on P53 to evaluate spatial working memory capabilities. (d) This histogram shows that rats given the anesthetic cocktail rats required significantly more days to reach a criterion demonstrating learning (8 correct responses out of the first 9 responses for 4 consecutive days) compared with controls. (e) This plot shows the days to criterion data as the cumulative percentage of rats reaching criterion in each group as a function of blocks of training days. The acquisition rate of rats given the anesthetic cocktail began to slow around the fourth block of trials and remained slower throughout the rest of the experiment. Numbers in parentheses in each graph indicate sample sizes. *$p < 0.05$; Bonferroni corrected level: † $p < 0.005$ in a; †$p < 0.01$ in b. [Reproduced with permission from Journal of Neuroscience, 2003; 23(3): 876–882].
Seven day old rat pups received 70% N₂O + 0.75% isoflurane anesthesia with or without the plantar surface of left paw injection with 5% formalin or skin incision for 6 h. Other cohorts received 6 h of 70% nitrogen balanced with oxygen (naïve control), or combined with left paw plantar surface injection of 5% formalin (formalin group) or skin incision (incision group). They were then allowed to live up to the age of 40 days for cognitive function test. (A) The mean data of percentage of freezing time after context testing. (B) The mean data of percentage of freezing time after tone testing. (C) The average data of the area under curve (AUC) derived from panel B. Mean ± SD, n = 6. **p < 0.05 vs. Naïve; ++p < 0.01 vs. Anesthesia. [Reproduced with permission from Neurobiology of Disease, 2012; 45: 743–750.]
independent of the anesthetic drug used (Backman and Kopf, 1986; Eckenhoff, 1953; Jackson, 1951; Standley et al., 1978), and in some cases, the behavioral disturbances persisted for months and even years. In a prospective multi-center survey of 551 children, Campbell et al. found a 47% overall incidence of new behavioral problems, including anxiety, nightmares, and attention seeking, and again noted a relatively higher risk in those having procedures in the first two years of life (Campbell, 1988).

Because the behavioral changes were independent of drug or technique, it was initially assumed that the emotional shocks of hospitalization, separation from family, and the physical traumas of surgical intervention, including pain, fluid imbalance, nutritional changes, and blood loss, caused the regressive behavioral changes. However, the relationship between anesthesia and acute personality changes was suggested in 1953, when Eckenhoff published a retrospective study of 612 patients under the age of 12 years, having either tonsillectomy or appendectomy under a variety of anesthetic drugs (cyclopropane, nitrous oxide, morphine, and pentobarbital). Behavioral changes and new-onset bed-wetting, occurred, on average, two months after surgery with highest incidence (57%) in those younger than 3 years, and lowest incidence (8%), in those older than 8 years.

Backman and Kopf were the first to suggest a relationship between anesthesia and long-term cognitive delay (Backman and Kopf, 1986). In this report children were anesthetized with ketamine and halothane for removal of congenital nevocytic nevi, a fairly minor procedure. Nevertheless, the authors reported an increased incidence of cognitive impairment, described as regressive behavioral changes, lasting up to 18 months after the procedure. Again, children younger than 3 years were the most sensitive. These authors clearly voiced concern that general anesthetics may cause long-term cognitive effects.

Clinical investigations are still at an early stage, but the evidence that has emerged over the last few years consistently points to detrimental effects of anesthetic exposure in young children on subsequent behavioral and cognitive development.

In a population-based retrospective birth-cohort study of 5,357 children, Wilder et al. found that children who received two or more general anesthetics before the age of 4 years were at increased risk of learning disability as adolescents (Figure 14.5) (Wilder et al., 2009). Moreover, the risk increased with longer cumulative exposure duration (more than 2 hours). Of particular concern is their finding that the cohort exposed to anesthesia before the age of 4 years had cognitive scores that were two standard deviations below predicted. This implies that early exposure to general anesthesia may have prevented achievement of full cognitive potential. In an even larger population study, Sun et al. assessed learning disabilities in 228,961 individuals (Sun et al., 2008). Children who had procedures requiring anesthesia before the age of 3 years required more Medicaid services for learning disability than did children who did not have these procedures. Procedures in premature infants have also been associated with an excess of behavioral disabilities later in life. For example, surgically treated premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus (Chorne et al., 2007; Rees et al., 2007) or necrotizing enterocolitis (Hintz et al., 2005) had worse neurological outcomes than did premature infants who were treated medically.

A recent retrospective clinical study examined the association between procedures requiring general anesthesia prior to the age of 2 and the occurrence of attention deficit
hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) up to the age of 19 years (Sprung et al., 2012). As found in the prior Wilder et al. study, only the cohort having two or more exposures before the age of 2 years was associated with ADHD (Wilder et al., 2009); the lack of association in single exposures was not explained by anesthetic type or comorbidities (Figure 14.6).

Despite the limitations inherent to retrospective clinical studies, such as lack of randomization, unmatched controls, and numerous uncontrolled (and unknown) variables, this study points to yet another behavioral impairment that could be associated with early exposure to anesthesia. But association does not imply causality. A measure of caution is advisable since the effects of surgery, or the illness requiring surgery, cannot be clearly separated from the effects of anesthesia in retrospective clinical studies. However, the complex issues associated with the design of randomized, double-blinded prospective clinical studies of very young patients cannot be underestimated. These issues include, but are not limited to: ethical considerations; the lack of biomarkers of apoptosis that can be used safely in a living organism; the complexity and significance of various clinical outcomes, especially neurocognitive ones; and the lack of appropriate controls.
Figure 14.6. Cumulative percentage of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder diagnosis by age shown separately for those that had 0, 1, or multiple procedures performed with general anesthesia under the age of 2 years.

The number of individuals at risk at 5, 10, and 15 years of age is 4995, 4009, and 3576 for those with no exposure; 284, 230, and 214 for those with 1 exposure; and 64, 50, and 44 for those with 2 or more exposures. [Reproduced with permission from Mayo Clin Proc, 2012; 87(2): 120–129.]

Conclusion Remarks

Modern neuroscience reminds us of the importance of proper functioning and fine modulation of neurotransmitters not only in fully developed brain but importantly during various stages of brain development. Any degree of ‘tempering’ with timely neurotransmitter synthesis, release, receptor activation or inhibition could have substantial consequences on synaptogenesis, neuronal network formation and on proper cognitive and behavioral development. Although it might be hard to accept that commonly used drugs such as general anesthetics are perhaps neurotoxins, it shows us how powerful modulators of major inhibitory and excitatory neurotransmitters which govern all the key elements of neuronal development could permanently alter the course of normal brain development.
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Neuronal Ion Channels
Slobodan M. Todorovic

Introduction
Neuronal signaling can be conveyed over long distances within the central and peripheral nervous system because neurons generate and conduct action potentials that propagate away from the site of initiation. In essence, action potentials in the nervous system and other excitable cells (e.g., cardiac) are generated by the flow of different ions through voltage-gated and ligand-gated channels that are formed by specific proteins that form pores within lipid domains of neuronal membranes.

Voltage-gated ion channels
On the basis of the membrane potentials at which they activate, as well as on the basis of their specificity for certain ions, voltage-gated ion channels are subdivided into three large families such as voltage-gated sodium channels, voltage-gated potassium channels and voltage-gated calcium channels. In the resting state, potassium ions are mostly confined to the intracellular space while sodium and calcium ions are more prevalent in the extracellular space. Our knowledge of the function of various ion channels depends mainly on the use of the patch-clamp variant of voltage-clamp techniques for measuring ionic currents (Hamill et al., 1981). When the patch-clamp technique is used it is possible to deliver strong depolarizing stimuli to the neurons of interest and evoke the flow of sodium, calcium and potassium ions through the neuronal membrane that will in turn induce specific waveforms that comprise action potentials.
as described in classical experiments in giant squid axons (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952). At resting state, the neuronal membrane potential is largely determined by the activity of background potassium channels. Typically, the initial event to depolarizing pulse is the activation of conductances carried by inward sodium and calcium ions whose flow tends to depolarize membrane potential by increasing the positive charge inside and reducing the positive charge outside the neuron (Katz and Miledi, 1970). This depolarization is terminated by the activation of outward voltage-gated potassium currents in the neuronal membrane which tend to hyperpolarize membrane potential by reducing the positive charge inside and increasing the positive charge outside the neuronal membrane. This will generate counterbalance to the movement of sodium and calcium ions and eventually reset the original resting membrane potential. Figure 15.1 depicts typical events during action potential in neurons and the sequence of activation of voltage-gated sodium channels which generate a fast upstroke of action potentials, voltage-gated calcium channels which generate a plateau of action potentials and underlie repetitive spike firing, and finally voltage-gated potassium channels that terminate membrane depolarization.

Figure 15.1. Action potential waveform in thalamic neurons. The figure illustrates a representative action potential waveform in patch-clamp recordings from nRT (reticular thalamic neurons) in acute thalamic slices. Values of membrane potentials are plotted over time as a response to brief depolarization via recording electrode. Note that different parts of action potential are carried by different ionic conductances.
Ligand-gated ion channels

In contrast to the superfamily of voltage-gated ion channels, these proteins are not sensitive to voltage but are activated upon the binding of specific ligands that are typically released during synaptic activity. In general these receptor-channel complexes are made of transmembrane heterooligomers of five subunits arranged around a central ion channel. Two major families of ligand-gated ion channels that are expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) can be activated by main inhibitory neurotransmitters such as γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) or main excitatory neurotransmitters such as glutamate and N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (NMDA). Activation of GABA<sub>A</sub> subtypes of receptors induces the hyperpolarization of the neuronal membrane and the inhibition of neuronal activity by inducing movement of negatively charged chloride ions from outside to the inside of the membrane. In contrast, the activation of NMDA receptors induces neuronal excitation by depolarizing membrane potential due to increased influx of sodium and calcium ions through the non-selective cation channel. Thus, through the modulation of the function of GABA<sub>A</sub> and NMDA receptors in concert with voltage-gated ion channel, it is possible to regulate neuronal excitability and consequently fine tune neuronal activity.

In this chapter we will focus on the expression of voltage-gated and ligand-gated ion channels in the cortical and thalamic circuitry and their modulation with general anesthetics. We will particularly focus on the subclass of voltage-gated calcium channels that play a crucial role in neuronal oscillation. Furthermore, we will discuss long-term plasticity of the channels in the thalamus that can contribute to the neurotoxic effects of general anesthetics in the developing brain.

Neuronal ion Channels as Cellular Targets for the Acute Effects of General Anesthetics

General anesthetics have been clinically used for nearly two centuries, but the mechanisms whereby different classes of these agents achieve different clinical effects are not well understood. A complete anesthetic state involves the loss of consciousness (hypnosis) and movement (immobilization), as well as the loss of both pain sensation (analgesia) and recollection of the event (amnesia). An early theory proposed that nonspecific alteration of the lipid membrane in nerve cells accounts for the anesthetic state (Meyer, 1899; Overton, 1901). However, research advances in the last few decades suggest that general anesthetics act through specific sites on the neuronal membrane and that different ion channels mediate their effects. Potentiation of inhibitory GABA<sub>A</sub> currents occurs when most anesthetic agents (e.g., barbiturates, propofol, etomidate, halothane, and isoflurane) are administered in clinically relevant concentrations (Franks, 2008; Franks and Lieb, 1994; Rudolph and Antkowiak, 2004; Urban, 2002). However, a group of agents called dissociative anesthetics, like ketamine and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O, laughing gas) (Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 1998; Mennerick et al., 1998), as well as xenon (Franks et al., 1998), do not significantly affect GABA<sub>A</sub> currents but inhibit a major excitatory drive in the CNS via the blockade of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDAr). Anesthetics can affect not only these ligand-gated
ion channels, but also neuronal voltage-gated ion channels. An increase in $K^+$ leak conductance ($I_{\text{LEAK}}$) and the ensuing hyperpolarization of resting membrane potentials (RMP) in motor neurons by volatile anesthetics (Franks, 2008; Sirois et al., 1998) could contribute to the loss of movement during general anesthesia. In addition, it has been shown that clinically relevant concentrations of ketamine can also inhibit cortical HCN1 pacemaker channels that underlie a neuronal hyperpolarization-activated cationic current ($I_{\text{h}}$) (Chen et al., 2009). It was recognized more than three decades ago that volatile anesthetics block cardiac calcium currents (Bosnjak et al., 1991; Lynch et al., 1981; Terrar and Victory, 1988). Furthermore, several studies (Camara et al., 2001; Eckle et al., 2012; Herrington and Lingle, 1992; Herrington et al., 1991; McDowell et al., 1996; Orestes et al., 2009; Ries and Puil, 1999; Study, 1994; Takenoshita and Steinbach, 1991; Todorovic and Lingle, 1998; Todorovic et al., 2000) indicate that voltage-gated calcium channels in both central and peripheral neurons are inhibited by some general anesthetics at concentrations that occur under clinical conditions.

**Calcium Current Inhibition may Contribute to the Spectrum of Clinical Effects of Some General Anesthetics**

Voltage-gated calcium channels, which are heteromeric complexes found in the plasma membrane of virtually all cell types, show a high level of electrophysiological and pharmacological diversity. These channels consist of a pore-forming alpha 1 subunit and ancillary subunits $\beta$, $\gamma$, and $\alpha_2\delta$ (Catterall, 2000; Khosravani and Zamponi, 2006; Miller, 1998). Figure 15.2 shows the complexity and diversity of these channels with multiple subtypes of $\alpha_1$ and ancillary subunits. On the basis of the membrane potential at which they activate, these channels are subdivided into high voltage-activated (HVA) and low voltage-activated (LVA) or transient T-type calcium channels (T-channels). These channels in nerve tissue have a central function in sensory, cognitive, and motor pathways, and in controlling cell excitability and neurotransmitter release. Recent studies have shown that, in general, T-channels are particularly sensitive to inhibition by clinically relevant concentrations of general anesthetics (Eckle et al., 2012; Orestes et al., 2009). An important issue is that even a small blockade of a particular calcium channel may produce profound physiological effects. Where this has been examined, even small changes in calcium influx into presynaptic terminals can result in profound changes in transmitter release and synaptic efficacy (Wu and Saggau, 1997; Zucker and Regehr, 2002). Indeed, presynaptic transmitter release is proportional up to the 4th power of calcium entry (Catterall and Few, 2008). Therefore, even if calcium channels are only partially inhibited by anesthetics in the clinically relevant range, this can profoundly alter neuronal signaling.

**There are Multiple Subtypes of HVA Calcium Currents**

Pharmacological and physiological experiments on native calcium currents (Catterall, 2000; Khosravani and Zamponi, 2006) support the existence of at least five types of HVA calcium currents (L-, N-, P-, Q-, R-currents). These channels, which are products
of different genes, give rise to α1 subunits that form the pores of the neuronal calcium channels (Figure 15.2). They are members of different families: CaV,1 (former α1C) encoding L-type, CaV,2.1 (α1A) encoding P/Q-type, CaV,2.2 (α1B) encoding N-type, and CaV,2.3 (α1E) encoding R-type HV A current (Ertel et al., 2000). Most HV A current components activate over a similar voltage range and are completely blocked with divalent metals such as cadmium. Thus, subtype identification relies on the selectivity of particular pharmacological agents. L-current is blocked reversibly by 5 µM nifedipine; N-current is irreversibly blocked by ω-conotoxin GVIA; P-current is blocked essentially irreversibly (recovery time constant ~ 50 min) by ω-agatoxin (Aga) GVIA (< 100 nM) (Mintz et al., 1992); Q-current is blocked by ω-conotoxin MVIIIC (McDonough et al., 1996). With all the other currents blocked, there remains a cadmium-sensitive inward current, the R-(resistant) current. SNX-482 is a peptide isolated from tarantula toxin that selectively and irreversibly blocks CaV,2.3 currents in mammalian cell lines (Newcomb et al., 1998). The usefulness of this toxin in studies of native R-type currents was suggested by some studies and it was considered for a while to be the only selective blocker of neuronal CaV,2.3 currents (Breustedt et al., 2003; Tottene et al., 2000; Williams et al., 1994). However, a recent study reported that this drug inhibits A-type potassium currents with a greater potency than CaV,2.3 currents (Kimm and Bean, 2014).

Depending on the synaptic system being examined, P-, L-, N- and Q-subtypes of HV A currents may contribute to the calcium influx and support transmitter release (Catterall, 2000; Miller, 1998). Because of the paucity of selective blockers, the role
of R-current remains poorly understood, but it was shown that this subtype can support excitatory transmitter release in brainstem neurons (Wu et al., 1998) and has a role in synaptic plasticity (Breustedt et al., 2003). Mice lacking the Ca₂.3 gene show abnormal pain responses (Saegusa et al., 2000) and altered sensitivities to general anesthetics (Takei et al., 2003a). Furthermore, mice lacking Ca₂.2 gene also show altered sensitivity to general anesthetics (Takei et al., 2003b). The diversity of HVA calcium channels in neurons is complicated by the presence of ancillary subunits that can modulate the channels’ functions. Associated with the pore-forming α1 subunit is the membrane-anchored, largely extracellular α2-δ, the cytoplasmic β, and sometimes a transmembrane γ subunit; these subunits dramatically influence the properties and surface expression of these channels (Catterall, 2000; Khosravani and Zamponi, 2006).

**T-channels are a Family of Distinct Voltage-gated Calcium Channels**

Whereas it has been known that HVA calcium currents arise from multiple forms of calcium channels having distinct pharmacological properties, the extent to which T-current arises from multiple calcium channel subtypes became clear more recently. The cloning of α1 subunits of T-channels has revealed the existence of at least three subtypes, G (Ca₃.1) (Perez-Reyes et al., 1998), H (Ca₃.2) (Cribbs et al., 1998) and I (Ca₃.3) (Lee et al., 1999), which are likely to contribute to the heterogeneity of T-currents observed in native cells (Herrington and Lingle, 1992; Todorovic and Lingle, 1998). T-currents are thought to have a unique function in neuronal excitability (Huguenard, 1996; Llinas, 1988; Perez-Reyes, 2003). The major functions of T-channels in neurons include promoting calcium-dependent burst firing, regulating low-amplitude intrinsic neuronal oscillations, promoting calcium entry, and boosting synaptic signals. In cardiac pacemaker cells, T-channels contribute towards maintaining control of the firing rate. In vascular smooth muscle cells, T-currents have a role in calcium-dependent contractions and cell proliferation under pathological conditions (Ertel et al., 1997). T-currents in the thalamus appear to function in seizure susceptibility and initiation (Kim et al., 2001; Tsakiridou et al., 1995). T-currents typically share several properties. First, while barium ions permeate HVA channels better than calcium ions, permeation by calcium and barium is similar in T-channels. Second, T-currents are activated at relatively negative potentials (i.e., ~60 mV), deactivate slowly, and inactivate completely and relatively rapidly, although there are differences in kinetic behavior among T-currents (Perez-Reyes, 2003). Although T-currents are relatively easy to study in isolation from other calcium current components, by virtue of their unique activation, deactivation, and inactivation properties, there are limited pharmacological tools for the identification and investigation of T-currents. Unlike the family of HVA calcium currents, no natural toxins or venom components have been identified that selectively block T-currents. A scorpion toxin, kurtoxin, blocks potently recombinant T-type but not HVA currents; however, the usefulness of kurtoxin is limited because it also blocks sodium currents (Chuang et al., 1998) and multiple subtypes of native HVA calcium currents (Sidach and Mintz, 2002). Of the compounds with known effects on T-currents, including ethosuximide (Coulter et al., 1989), amiloride (Tang et al., 1988), and valproic acid (Kelly et al., 1990), most are reported to block with IC₅₀s.
(concentrations that produce 50% inhibition of current amplitude) in excess of 100 µM. At these concentrations, effects on other ion channels also occur, reducing the usefulness of such agents as specific probes of T-current function. Among the available pharmacological agents, nickel (Perez-Reyes, 2003) and N2O (Todorovic et al., 2001a) are probably the most useful for the definition of T-currents over HVA currents since both block T-currents in several-fold higher concentrations. Furthermore, both nickel and N2O are preferential blockers of the CaV3.2 isoform of T-channels, making these agents valuable tools for use in studies of native calcium channels. One exception to this rule is that nickel also blocks potently native and recombinant R-type calcium channels (Zamponi et al., 1996).

The different sensitivity of distinct T-current variants may underlie the potential clinical effects of T-current blockers. In this regard, the action of some anticonvulsants is thought to result from partial inhibition of T-current in specific CNS neurons in the thalamus (Coulter et al., 1989), but this finding has been challenged by a study reporting the insensitivity of thalamic relay neurons to ethosuximide (Leresche et al., 1998). Some newer agents promise to provide more selective and potent blockade of T-channels. A neuroactive steroid with a 5α configuration at the steroid A,B ring fusion [(+)-ECN] [(3β,5α,17β)-17-hydroxyestrone-3-carbonitrile], is a potent voltage-dependent partial blocker of T-channels in rat sensory neurons (IC50 of 300 nM). This channel blocker does not affect voltage-gated Na+, K+, or HVA calcium channels or glutamate and GABA-gated channels, even in concentrations several-folds higher than those that block isolated T-currents in rat sensory neurons (Todorovic et al., 1998). Also, another novel steroid was described as a voltage-dependent blocker of T-channels in rat sensory neurons, which has a 5β configuration at the steroid A,B ring fusion (3β-OH). This steroid completely blocks T-currents with an IC50 of 0.8 µM, but, at 10–20-fold higher concentrations, has little effect on HVA calcium channels and voltage-gated Na+ and K+ channels (Todorovic et al., 2004b). Furthermore, it was reported that 3β-OH also blocks native T-currents and underlying burst firing in thalamic neurons without affecting background potassium conductance (Joksovic et al., 2007). Therefore, neuroactive steroids that selectively block neuronal T-channels may be used as new tools in studies of the functions of these channels in native systems. The recent identification of novel T-channel antagonists such as 4-aminomethyl-4-fluoropiperdine is also very promising. This agent completely blocks recombinant T-currents with high potency (IC50 of about 100 nM) and has a minimal effect on recombinant HVA calcium currents at 10 µM (Shipe et al., 2008). Furthermore, the selectivity and potency of this blocker in native thalamic and peripheral sensory neurons has been validated (Choe et al., 2011; Dreyfus et al., 2010).

T-channels are new considerations in anesthesia research, but the part they play in pain transmission and the neuronal sleep pathway make them important targets for further exploration. T-channels were initially discovered in peripheral sensory neurons (Carbone and Lux, 1984) but their role in pain transmission was not discovered until recently. Pharmacological (Kim et al., 2003; Nelson et al., 2005; Nelson et al., 2007a; Nelson et al., 2007b; Pathirathna et al., 2005a; Pathirathna et al., 2005b; Todorovic et al., 2001b; Todorovic et al., 2004a; Todorovic et al., 2004b), gene knockout (Choi et al., 2007), and in vivo knock-down studies (Bourinet et al., 2005) have firmly established a prominent role for CaV3.2 T-channels in amplifying nociceptive signals
in the periphery and identified them as possible contributors to the development of central sensitization in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord (Ikeda et al., 2003; Ikeda et al., 2006). It is therefore not surprising that anesthetic agents that inhibit T-channels, such as isoflurane, also often have notable analgesic qualities. In agreement with this, a decrease in MAC (minimal alveolar concentration) requirements for isoflurane in CaV3.2 knock-out mice likely results from the prominent function of these channels in pain signaling (Orestes et al., 2009). In contrast, studies of CaV3.1 knock-out mice found no changes in MAC for isoflurane or other volatile anesthetics (Petrenko et al., 2007). Although CaV3.1 and CaV3.2 isoforms are similarly inhibited by isoflurane (Orestes et al., 2009), and their mRNA transcripts are expressed in peripheral sensory neurons and the dorsal horn of the spinal cord (Talley et al., 1999), it appears that CaV3.2 is a more important contributor to anesthetic-induced analgesia. These results strongly suggest that any future pharmaceutical development of drugs that selectively inhibit CaV3.2 T-channels would be a useful adjuvant for general anesthesia since they would reduce MAC.

**Ion Channels in the Thalamus as Major Targets of Hypnotic effects of General Anesthetics**

There is growing recognition that thalamic nuclei are important for awareness and cognitive functions (Alkire et al., 2000; Kinney et al., 1994; Llinas et al., 2005; McCormick and Bal, 1997). The rhythmicity of this complex circuitry depends to a great extent on the ability of thalamic cells to burst in oscillatory patterns. The bursting firing mode is largely supported by abundant T-channels in the various thalamic nuclei (Destexhe et al., 1996; Destexhe et al., 1998). A key element in rhythm generation within the thalamus is the GABAergic nucleus reticularis thalami (nRT), which is not only reciprocally connected to the thalamocortical (TC) relay neurons of dorsal thalamic nuclei, but also receives collateral excitatory connections from corticothalamic and thalamocortical fibers (Cox et al., 1996; Jones, 1985). In addition, nRT neurons create numerous axonal and dendro-dendritic synapses that can inhibit one another (Sanchez-Vives et al., 1997; Shu and McCormick, 2002) and may receive GABAergic inputs from other forebrain structures as well (Asanuma, 1994; Jourdain et al., 1989; Pare et al., 1990). Earlier in vivo extracellular recordings have shown that volatile general anesthetics modulate synaptic transmission and depress the excitability of thalamic neurons, which in turn causes blockade of thalamo-cortical information transfer (Angel, 1991; Detsch et al., 2002; Vahle-Hinz et al., 2007a; Vahle-Hinz et al., 2007b). At least part of this inhibitory effect of anesthetics on the thalamic sensory processing can be attributed to the direct inhibition of thalamic T-channels (Eckle et al., 2012; Joksovic et al., 2005a; Joksovic et al., 2005b). Furthermore, functional imaging studies in humans and other animals have led to the theory that the direct and indirect depression of thalamo-cortical neurons provides a convergent point for neural pathways of anesthetic action leading to a sleep-like state (Alkire et al., 2000).

Mutually interconnected cortical, nRT, and TC relay neurons exhibit phasic behaviors such as tonic and burst firing that represent different functional modes (Contreras and
Steriade, 1996; McCormick and Bal, 1997). During tonic firing, which predominates during conscious states, there is a faithful transfer of sensory information to cortical neurons with characteristic low-amplitude, high-frequency electroencephalographic (EEG) patterns. In contrast, during slow oscillations that occur with a T-channel-dependent burst firing pattern of these neurons, there is impairment of sensory transfer and gradual transition to sleep or unconscious states. This is manifested on EEG recordings by slow-frequency, high-amplitude wave patterns such as sleep spindles and δ-waves. Figure 15.3 illustrates the current theory that during natural sleep and early stages of anesthesia, burst firing in the thalamo-cortical loop indicates impairment of sensory transfer. In agreement with this, Ca\(_{\text{v}}\)3.1 T-channel knockout mice not only show slower anesthetic induction (Petrenko et al., 2007), but also have an abnormal natural sleep phenotype that likely results from the lack of T-channels and underlying burst firing in TC neurons (Anderson et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2004). Furthermore, it was shown that, similarly, Ca\(_{\text{v}}\)3.2 knockout mice display delayed anesthetic induction with isoflurane (Orestes et al., 2009). This is likely due to the fact that at sub-anesthetic concentrations, the activation of GABA\(_{\text{A}}\) receptors and resting potassium I\(_{\text{LEAK}}\) sufficiently hyperpolarizes TC neurons, which in turn deinactivate T-channels, thus

Figure 15.3. Thalamic oscillation. (a) During wakefulness ascending excitatory input from brainstem nuclei to thalamo-cortical (TC) neurons (red) provides a depolarizing drive that causes TC and reticular (nRT) neurons (blue) to exhibit single-spike tonic firing and allows faithful transfer of sensory information from the periphery up to cortical (Ctx) neurons (black). (b) During deep or rapid-eye-movement (NREM) sleep and/or early stages of anesthesia, TC and RT neurons switch to a burst-firing mode that they adopt by default in the absence of external depolarizing input. Because of extensive connectivity of neurons in this loop, synchrony of burst-firing neurons induces characteristic slow δ waves (7–14 Hz) and sleep spindles (1–4 Hz) on EEG. This pattern is associated with an impairment of sensory information transfer. (Used with permission, Franks, 2008.)
promoting a burst firing pattern. However, with the progressive hyperpolarization of
the neuronal membrane and the consequent shunting of action potentials associated
with deeper anesthetic states, this could contribute to a burst-suppression pattern and
eventually lead to a completely flat line on EEG, which generally correlates with
a complete block of sensory information at the level of the thalamic sensory gate
(Akrawi et al., 1996; Franks, 2008; Steriade et al., 1994; Steriade et al., 1990). This
effect would be consistent with a strong direct inhibition of T-channels and more
profound hyperpolarization of the neuronal membrane, both of which would lead to
eventual cessation of thalamo-cortical rhythmicity.

Furthermore, a prominent role of isoflurane in inhibition of presynaptic
Ca\textsubscript{2.3} channels which support GABAergic transmission in nRT cells was reported
(Joksovic et al., 2009). It was hypothesized that, given that nRT neurons are uniformly
inhibitory, it is likely that decreasing feedback inhibition to nRT cells with isoflurane
with a concomitant enhancement of inhibitory transmission in TC cells would initially
favor a slow oscillatory mode of thalamic neurons, which could subsequently contribute
to a suppression pattern on EEG. In support of this view, decrease in the duration of
burst suppression episodes in EEG under in vivo isoflurane anesthesia was reported
in Ca\textsubscript{2.3} knockout mice (Joksovic et al., 2009). Thus, it is important to understand
how general anesthetics affect different classes of voltage-gated calcium channels that
contribute to different functional modes of thalamic neurons.

**Hyperexcitability of Thalamocortical Networks after Exposure to
General Anesthesia during Brain Development**

As mentioned above, most currently used general anesthetic agents either have
NMDA current-blocking or/and GABA\textsubscript{A} current-enhancing properties, which
are thought to be essential for their sedative/hypnotic properties (Franks, 2008;
Rudolph and Antkowiak, 2004). Unfortunately, it has been well-documented that
increased activation of GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors and/or blockade of NMDA receptors can
trigger widespread neurodegeneration in developing rodent and non-human primate
brains including the thalamus (Brambrink et al., 2012; Brambrink et al., 2010;
Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003; Rizzi et al., 2008; Zou et al., 2011; Zou et al., 2009).
Although human studies addressing the issue of safety of clinical anesthesia in the
developing brain still are not conclusive, at least some concerns have been raised
based on the findings that children exposed to general anesthesia at an early age show
increased incidence in learning disability later in life (Wilder et al., 2009).

In addition to well-documented evidence that general anesthetics cause
neurodegenerative changes in the developing brain, recent evidence supports
the idea that early exposure to general anesthetics may alter the function of ion
channels that control neuronal excitability as well. For example, it has been shown
that exposure to general anesthetics at postnatal day 7 (P7) produces persisting
alterations in brain structure and function, including diminished long-term potentiation
(Jevtovic-Todorovic et al., 2003) and decreased hippocampal inhibitory transmission
(Sanchez et al., 2011). In another more recent study the authors provided the first
description of synaptic and intrinsic ion channel plasticity in the nRT after single
exposure to clinically relevant anesthetics during brain development (DiGrupp et al., 2015). The authors exposed for 6 hours P7 rat pups to anesthesia with a clinically relevant cocktail consisting of 0.75% isoflurane, 75% N₂O and 9 mg/kg midazolam since these agents often are used in combination in clinical anesthesia in order to provide hypnosis, analgesia and amnesia, respectively. The single 6-hour long treatment with anesthetic cocktail in P7 rat pups induced lasting alterations (2–3 weeks) in several aspects of neuronal signaling such as: (1) decrease in synaptic inhibition mediated by GABA_receptors; (2) increase in glutamate-mediated excitatory synaptic transmission as evidenced by increased strength in both evoked and action potential-independent synaptic currents; (3) up-regulation of T-type calcium currents with associated increase in intrinsic excitability manifested by an increased ability to fire tonic action potentials and bursts of action potentials; and (4) increased intensity of pharmacologically induced spike-and-wave discharges (SWDs) in intact thalamocortical circuits as measured by EEG recordings in vivo. The SWD discharges are important since they are a hallmark of absence seizures. Surprisingly, similar alterations in inhibitory synaptic currents in the thalamus are described in a rat model of absence seizures (Bessaih et al., 2006). One possible explanation for the changes is that they represent adaptive responses to neuronal loss induced by general anesthetics. It is reasonable to hypothesize that nRT neurons increase their activity to compensate for neuronal loss induced by general anesthetics in order to maintain balance in the circuitry. Unfortunately, this homeostatic plasticity may become maladaptive and could contribute to chronic hyperexcitability of the affected networks. Further comprehensive preclinical and clinical studies are needed to establish a possible link between anesthetic-induced plasticity of synaptic and intrinsic ion channels in the nRT neurons and abnormal oscillations in thalamocortical networks that underlay many neurological disorders such as tinnitus, absence seizures, sleep disturbances and cognitive impairment collectively termed “thalamocortical dysrhythmias” (Linas et al., 2005). These and future studies may provide a rationale for new strategies to prevent abnormalities and/or to normalize function of the nRT, the main inhibitory structure in the thalamus, and thus to provide safer anesthesia.

**Summary/Conclusions**

Recent work indicates that studies of ion channels expressed in the thalamus, as well as the neuronal circuits that regulate its activity, can advance our knowledge of how anesthetics cause loss of consciousness. Specifically, voltage-gated calcium channels have the important function of controlling cellular excitability and transmitter release in CNS neurons. General anesthetics are particularly volatile agents that depress currents arising from these channels in the thalamus at clinically relevant concentrations and this effect may contribute to the useful hypnotic, amnesic, anticonvulsant and analgesic effects of general anesthetics. Furthermore, voltage-gated calcium channels expressed in nRT and TC neurons in concert with inhibitory GABA_A receptors and excitatory ion channels activated by glutamate have a central role in the generation of rhythmic thalamocortical oscillations, which in turn control consciousness and cognition (Contreras and Steriade, 1996; Kinney et al., 1994; Krnjevic and Puil, 1997; McAlonan and Brown, 2002; Sherman, 2005; Steriade et al., 1990), as well
as abnormal excitability that can contribute to seizures, neurogenic pain, and other neuropsychiatric disorders termed “thalamo-cortical dysrhythmias” (Llinas et al., 2005). Hence, knowledge of the functions of different classes of ligand-gated and voltage-gated ion channels in thalamocortical circuitry and their lasting alterations with general anesthetics during brain development can be used to reduce the potentially serious side effects of clinical anesthesia.
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Brain Injury—Neuroprotection

Koichi Yuki,1 Mary Ellen McCann2 and Sulpicio G. Soriano3,*

Introduction

Brain protection during the perioperative period has historically been the focus of research in anesthesiology. Maintaining a balance between the metabolic demands of cellular homeostasis with the supply of substrates is essential in preventing neurological injury (Fukuda and Warner, 2007). A clear distinction between neuroprotection and neuroresuscitation should be established in the context of a patient undergoing surgery. This review will focus on neuroprotection, which implies optimizing physiological conditions to prevent neurological injury.

On the other hand, neuroresuscitation refers to limiting the progression of and enhancing recovery from a primary neurological injury. Traumatic brain injury, cardiac arrest, perinatal asphyxia and acute stroke are common conditions that have published evidence-based guidelines on neuroresuscitation that are beyond the scope of this review (Brain Trauma et al., 2007; Jauch et al., 2013; Kochanek et al., 2012). Given its clinical relevance, the issue of the potential neurotoxic effects of anesthetic and sedative drugs will also be addressed.
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Patients undergoing surgery and interventional procedures typically receive general anesthetic drugs to render them insensate and amnestic. However, these procedures place patients at risk for central nervous system (CNS) injury (Mashour et al., 2015). Cerebral ischemia, hypoxia and procedure-induced trauma can occur during neurological, cardiac and vascular surgery. Despite these known catastrophic possibilities, evolving neurological injuries are extremely difficult to be detected under general anesthesia. Therefore, it is essential that cellular homeostasis is maintained despite the lack of feedback from an anesthetized patient. This chapter will review the etiology of brain injury in surgical patients receiving general anesthesia. Compelling preclinical evidence demonstrates the neuroprotective properties of anesthetic drugs and induced hypothermia. The neurodepressant effects of anesthetic drugs and hypothermia make them reasonable candidates for reducing the catabolic processes leading to and during an evolving CNS injury. However it should be noted that to date, clinical trials assessing the neuroprotective impact of anesthetic drugs and hypothermia on surgical patients have yet to demonstrate clinical efficacy (Warner et al., 2014).

**Etiology**

**Neuronal metabolism**

The CNS has the highest requirement for oxygen and glucose of all the organ systems (Zauner et al., 2002). Neuronal function is fueled by adenosine triphosphate (ATP) produced by oxidative metabolism of glucose. The brain has high metabolic demand (7 mg glucose/100 g brain tissue/min in infants and 5 mg glucose/100 g brain tissue/min in adults) and requires a continual supply of glucose, since the brain has extremely limited glycogen storage. Likewise, cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen (CMRO$_2$) of the pediatric and adult brain is 5.2 and 3.2 ml/100 g brain tissue/min, respectively (Udomphorn et al., 2008). It is this high demand and limited storage for the substrates that make the cellular components of the CNS extremely vulnerable to hypoxic and ischemic events. Of note, the majority of glucose is converted into ATP, but approximately 10% of glucose goes through aerobic glycolysis to be converted to lactate instead, despite the presence of oxygen (Vaishnavi et al., 2010). How the brain differentially uses these two pathways for glucose metabolism is an interesting issue to understand from the standpoint of brain protection, but this may need further investigation. The basal metabolic rate of a neuron is approximately 40% of total cellular energy expenditure and fuels the electrochemical gradient across the cell membrane, intracellular activity and maintenance of cellular structure. The transmembrane gradient of the major physiologic cations sodium, potassium and calcium, controls the generation of neuronal action potentials. Functional neuronal activity that drives neurotransmitter and cellular protein synthesis consumes the remaining 60%. Failure to maintain the electrochemical gradient leads to disruption of the cell membranes and cell death. Given these energy requirements, senescent neurons can tolerate a theoretical reduction of 60% of substrate delivery. Therefore any attempts to reduce cerebral metabolic rate for glucose (CMRglu) or CMRO$_2$ is
limited to 60%. The potential to reduce activation and synaptic transmission in neurons serves as the basis of neuroprotective strategies.

**Etiology of neuronal injury**

Neuronal injury primarily is the result of mismatching delivery and utilization of substrate. Hypoxia and ischemia lead to a reduction in cellular membrane ion pump function due to impaired oxidative phosphorylation. This leads to neuronal depolarization and ion gradient collapse (i.e., an increase in intracellular calcium concentration via voltage-gated calcium channel) and release of glutamate. Unregulated release of glutamate further depolarizes nutrient-deprived neurons. This results in oxidative mitochondrial stress with increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and calcium into the cytosol via N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDA receptor). This excitotoxic state ultimately impairs protein and lipid production and activates inflammatory cascade, which ultimately triggers necrotic and apoptotic cell death pathways. Suppression of these processes should also increase the survivability of neurons.

Inadequate delivery of substrate and pathological increases in cellular metabolism are precipitating conditions for neuronal injury. Oxygen delivery is a product of the cardiac output and arterial blood oxygen content. In adults, the brain takes approximately 15% of the cardiac output. In the pediatric population, the number is higher. Tissue delivery of glucose follows in parallel fashion. Pathological conditions that impair cardiac output, glucose levels or oxygenation will ultimately cause neuronal cell death. Likewise, pathological increases in neuronal activation, with seizures as the prototype, will increase metabolic demand and overwhelm substrate reserve. Therefore, neuroprotective strategies should focus on mitigating pathologic conditions in order to maintain cellular homeostasis.

Despite the restoration of tissue perfusion and sufficient delivery of substrates, activation of parallel signaling pathways worsens the primary insult during this reperfusion phase. Aply named reperfusion injury, activation of inflammation, liberation of ROS and apoptosis all lead to worsening of the primary injury. Neuroresuscitative management strategies are designed to mitigate these secondary processes.

**Ischemia**

Cerebral ischemia is one of the leading causes of brain injury. Cessation or interruption of blood flow to the brain initiates a cascade of processes that trigger CNS damage. There are two distinct patterns of cerebral ischemia. Global cerebral ischemia occurs during a cardiac arrest or transient periods of cerebral hypoperfusion. Systemic hypotension can occur during surgery and general anesthesia and may account for most neurological morbidity in the intraoperative period. Several factors can mediate intraoperative hypotension. Massive blood loss due to trauma or inadequate hemostasis is a clinically significant cause for intraoperative hypotension. Despite the reestablishment of blood flow to the brain, district regions such as the hippocampus are more susceptible to neuronal damage.
Focal ischemia follows disruption of blood flow to specific vascular beds. This may occur after an embolic occlusion or vasospasm of the proximal segment of the artery. Restoration of regional blood flow does not completely reverse the primary ischemic injury because the anoxia may trigger apoptotic cell death (Du et al., 1996). Mild hypotension can also cause focal ischemia in brain regions supplied by fixed vascular lesions, such as the case in patients with carotid artery disease.

**Prevention**

Prevention of acute brain injury in the clinical setting is primarily based on two tenets, delivery of substrate and reduction of CMRO₂ and CMRglu. Maintaining this delicate balance between supply and demand is difficult to gauge under general anesthesia (Figure 16.1). Brain function can be assessed with electroencephalography (EEG), near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), and transcranial Doppler (TCD) ultrasonography. However, the positive impact of these experimental monitors on neurological outcomes is yet to be determined (Hirsch et al., 2012). Given this lack of physiological feedback, meticulous maintenance of cerebral perfusion pressure, glucose, carbon dioxide and temperature is essential in the intraoperative management of patients at risk for neurological injury. Nonphysiologic levels of glucose and oxygen can independently worsen cerebral ischemic changes. Hyperthermia can also increase the CMRO₂, which can injure the brain in a low perfusion state.
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The Flick equation stipulates that the supply of oxygen, and other substrates, should match the metabolic demand of the end organ (Brain Trauma et al., 2007).

**Cerebral perfusion**

The risk of intraoperative cerebral hypoperfusion leading to CNS injury during routine general anesthesia is unknown. Cerebral autoregulation maintains that adequate cerebral blood flow (CBF) is preserved over a range of blood pressures and arterial concentrations of oxygen and carbon dioxide (Figure 16.2). This cerebral autoregulatory range is regulated by intrinsic vascular factors that preserve flow-metabolism coupling. Endothelium and smooth muscle work in tandem to dilate when blood pressure is low and conversely constrict when it is elevated. Below the lower
The theoretical concept of cerebral autoregulation dictates that cerebral blood flow (CBF) stay constant over a range of blood pressure. Since neonatal blood pressures are lower than adult, the neonatal cerebral autoregulatory range is shifted to the left.

A review of the impact of intraoperative blood pressure in adult patients undergoing non-cardiac, non-neurosurgical surgery revealed that 30% reduction in mean blood pressure from baseline, was associated with postoperative stroke (Bijker et al., 2012). Therefore maintenance of appropriate blood pressure is paramount.

Appropriate management of intraoperative arterial pressure is hampered by assumptions on a range of blood pressures that maintain adequate CBF and cerebral autoregulation. This hypothetical range of blood pressure is simplified and not necessarily supported by the original reports (Drummond, 1997). Cerebral autoregulatory range in adults is also affected by coexisting disease processes that may impair cerebral perfusion due to vasculopathies, hypertension and congestive heart failure. Furthermore, investigations in adults on cardiopulmonary bypass reveal startling heterogeneity of the lower limit of autoregulation (Joshi et al., 2012). Recognition of the heterogeneity and potential absence of cerebral autoregulation is critical in the physiologic management of patients under general anesthesia.
This variability also exists in pediatric patients. Although the resting blood pressures are lower in infants and young children, TCD studies demonstrate that the lower limit of cerebral autoregulation is equivalent in these age groups (Vavilala et al., 2003). Children younger than 2 years may have lower autoregulatory reserve because of their relatively low baseline mean arterial pressures and may be at greater risk of cerebral ischemia. Multimodal analysis of cerebral perfusion in infants and children undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass surgery reveals a wide range of lower limits of autoregulation (Brady et al., 2010). This observation highlights the limitations of current monitors to optimize cerebral perfusion (Lee, 2014).

**Oxygen**

Impaired oxygen delivery to tissue beds initiates a cascade of energy failure and subsequent activation of cell death pathways. Therefore, it is imperative to maintain adequate oxygenation as a neuroprotective measure. However, cerebral reperfusion after a period of ischemia and hypoxia may also worsen the primary insult. Hyperoxia activate inflammation, reduces nitric oxide release and increases ROS production, which increases secondary ischemic injury. Clinical trials of hyperoxia in brain injured patients have not demonstrated any clinical benefit (Longhi and Stocchetti, 2004).

**Glucose**

Glucose is a major fuel for brain metabolism and hypoglycemia clearly poses a risk for neuronal injury. However, abundance of this energy source is also considered to enhance brain injury (Lipshutz and Gropper, 2009). Hyperglycemia is a common stress response to surgery and critical illness and may be associated with worse neurological outcome. More severe ischemic lesions were seen in hyperglycemic than in normoglycemic patients following stroke (Parsons et al., 2002). Furthermore, normalization of blood glucose during the first 48 hours of hospitalization following acute stroke demonstrated a better survival, suggesting that there is a role in glycemic control (Gentile et al., 2006). The underlying mechanism to link hyperglycemia with worsening neurological outcome is unclear. One potential explanation is that relative abundance of glucose over oxygen leads to anaerobic glycolysis and tissue acidosis. Regardless of the mechanism, intensive insulin therapy to maintain tight glucose control (80–110 mg/dL) favors improved survival in surgical intensive care unit patients (van den Berghe et al., 2001). However, tight glucose control is not innocuous because of the additional risk of hypoglycemia. Symptoms from hypoglycemia are not appreciated under general anesthesia. Tight glucose control has been tried in cardiac surgery patients without any apparent benefit (Gandhi et al., 2007). The data from non-cardiac surgeries are quite limited. The guidelines from the American Diabetes Association and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists suggest maintaining a glucose range of 140–180 mg/dL in critically ill patients (Moghissi et al., 2009).
Carbon dioxide

Cerebral blood flow is sensitive to the partial arterial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂) (Meng and Gelb, 2015). While the impact of PaO₂ on CBF is likely insignificant because very little changes in CBF occur at PaO₂ > 50 mmHg (Udomphorn et al., 2008), there is a linear relationship between CBF and PaCO₂. Within physiologically relevant range, CBF increases by 2–4% per mmHg PaCO₂. Hyperventilation can induce reduction of cerebral blood volume due to cerebral vasoconstriction. This may provide a temporary benefit in the presence of intracranial hypertension, but prophylactic hyperventilation is not advocated. In the setting of traumatic brain injury, hyperventilation can also increase the ischemic burden on the injured brain (Coles et al., 2007).

Temperature

Hypothermia reduces cellular metabolic rates and oxygen consumption linearly and is an effective way to protect organ function (Gravlee et al., 2007). Metabolic rates are determined by temperature sensitive enzyme-controlled chemical reactions. The Q₁₀ temperature coefficient is the ratio of metabolic rates at two temperatures 10°C apart, and is 3.6 for infants and 2.6 for adults, suggesting that hypothermia more effectively reduces metabolic rates in children versus adults. Intracellular pH and enzyme activity are preserved and metabolic demand is reduced at low temperature. While cerebral blood flow decreases linearly with the decrease in temperature, cerebral metabolic demand (CMRO₂) drops exponentially with decreasing temperature so the metabolic demands of the brain are met. At normothermia, the mean ratio of CBF to CMRO₂ is 20:1 and at deep hypothermia, the ratio increases to 75:1.

Additional effects of hypothermia include preservation of high-energy phosphate stores, reduction of excitatory neurotransmitter release, suppression of microglial activation and attenuation of neutrophil migration into ischemic tissue (Busto et al., 1989). Attenuation of the ischemia-mediated release of excitatory neurotransmitters such as glutamate reduces the downstream activation of lytic enzymes that lead to further tissue injury (Rothman and Olney, 1986). Hypothermia reduces apoptosis and tissue necrosis by decreasing cytochrome-c release and caspase-3 activation.

Hypothermia has shown clear benefit against brain injury in the laboratory (Yenari and Hemmen, 2010). In patients, deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA) (15–22°C) clearly protects the brain, allowing cessation of cerebral circulation for about 40 minutes. In addition, systemic hypothermia reduces metabolic demand in other organ systems.

Unfortunately, hypothermia is not without disadvantages. These include the induced dysfunction of the blood-brain barrier, which increases cerebral hydrostatic pressure leading to cerebral edema, impaired coagulation system, and increase in systemic vascular resistance and reduction in blood flow to organs. Blood flow is reduced to the greatest extent in skeletal muscle and the extremities, followed by the kidney, and the splanchnic bed. Given these disadvantages, mild hypothermia (32–35°C) is often implemented in non-cardiac procedures. Unfortunately, the evidence of mild hypothermia in the intraoperative period is rather elusive. The neuroprotective
effect of prophylactic hypothermia was tested in a randomized control trial (RCT) comparing mild hypothermia (33°C) to normothermia (36.5°C) in patients undergoing aneurysm surgery (Todd et al., 2005). Mild hypothermia did not improve neurological outcome in this patient population. A recent Cochrane report confirmed the lack of improved neurological outcome in a meta analysis of 4 similarly conducted RCTs (Galvin et al., 2015). In contrast, phase III clinical trials demonstrated that there was a clear benefit of mild hypothermia in post-cardiac arrest survivors and neonatal hypoxic encephalopathy (Ramani, 2006). Although there is no convincing evidence to suggest that mild hypothermia should be used to prevent or attenuate potential brain injury under general anesthesia, it is clear that hyperthermia is detrimental in the post-ischemic brain. In fact, spontaneous hyperthermia is associated with poor outcomes following acute stroke (Kammersgaard et al., 2002). Therefore, hyperthermia should be quickly recognized and aggressively treated.

**Brain protection strategies during cardiac and neurological surgery**

Cardiac surgery has a relatively association with brain injury (Hogue et al., 2006). Several attempts have been made to mitigate this devastating complication. A landmark intervention in cardiac surgery was the use of DHCA, but was unfortunately linked to poor neurologic outcomes. Since then, the technique of DHCA has improved by maintaining the hematocrit greater than 30%, uniform brain cooling by actively cooling for longer than 20 minutes, using pH-stat acid-base management and placing ice on the head. In pH-stat management, the addition of carbon dioxide to the cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) circuit preserves a constant partial pressure of carbon dioxide and neutral pH at decreasing temperatures. This approach allows cerebral vasodilation and increased cerebral blood flow, more homogenous cooling, and a greater reduction in cerebral oxygen consumption compared with alpha-stat management. Patients undergoing surgical correction of d-transposition of the great arteries with pH-stat management under DHCA were shown to have shorter endotracheal intubation times, shorter length of intensive care unit (ICU) stay and lower mortality (du Plessis et al., 1997). However, children who underwent reparative surgeries using either alpha-stat or pH-stat during DHCA had no detectable differences in early neurodevelopmental outcomes (Bellinger et al., 2003).

Alternatives to DHCA include intermittent reperfusion and regional cerebral perfusion (RCP). RCP refers to the technique of directing arterial pump blood flow to the innominate artery, thus selectively perfusing the right subclavian and right common carotid arteries. The flow rates necessary to provide adequate cerebral and somatic perfusion during RLFP have yet to be completely elucidated although flow rates greater than 30 ml/kg/min are generally used. In pediatric literature, there was no difference in neurodevelopmental outcomes in single ventricle patients undergoing the Norwood procedure who received RCP as compared to DHCA (Goldberg et al., 2007).

In neurosurgery, the neuroprotective effect of prophylactic hypothermia was tested in a randomized control trial (RCT) comparing mild hypothermia (33°C) to normothermia (36.5°C) in patients undergoing aneurysm surgery without clear benefit (Todd et al., 2005). A recent Cochrane report also supported this observation (Galvin et al., 2015).
Pharmacology

Reduction of neuronal activation metabolism is the goal of neuroprotective strategies. General anesthetics suppress neuronal activity by inhibiting brain activation (Brown et al., 2011; Rudolph and Antkowiak, 2004). Deep levels of general anesthesia produce a characteristic pattern of a burst of sharp waves followed by isoelectric periods on the electroencephalogram (EEG) (Swank and Watson, 1949). The phenomenon is called burst suppression and is associated with a diminution in neural activity during suppressed brain function and neuronal metabolism (Ching et al., 2012). The EEG is utilized in the operating room and intensive care units to monitor burst suppression in patients with or who are at risk for brain injury. The most recognized molecular targets of general anesthetics are the gamma-amino butyric acid (GABA) and N-methyl D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. Several classes of general anesthetics and sedatives activate or antagonize these receptors respectively. GABA agonists include the volatile anesthetics (isoflurane, sevoflurane and desflurane) and intravenous drugs (phenobarbital, thiopental and propofol). Ketamine is the clinically available NMDA antagonist. Suppression of activity-dependent CRMO2 by these anesthetic drugs are the primary mode of neuroprotection for vulnerable neural cells (Nellgard et al., 2000). However, if neuronal activity is completely suppressed, as in the case of global ischemia and anoxia, pharmacological and hypothermic interventions are not neuroprotective. These drugs also activate and suppress other biological processes that exacerbate the primary neurological insult.

Barbiturates

Barbiturates produce an isoelectric EEG and reduce the CMRO2 in laboratory animals and humans. The administration of thiopental resulted in a reduction in ATP and lactate concentrations in dogs subjected to a progressive arterial hypoxemia, which is model of global cerebral ischemia (Michenfelder and Theye, 1973). These findings demonstrate that barbiturates reduce requirement for energy substrates (ATP) and accumulation of lactate, which are consistent with a suppressed CMRO2 (Michenfelder and Theye, 1973). Barbiturates also have a protective effect on rodent models focal cerebral ischemia (Warner et al., 1996; Warner et al., 1991). However, barbiturates have no efficacy in protecting against global or anoxic brain injury (Steen et al., 1979; Todd et al., 1982).

Multiple clinical investigations have examined the clinical efficacy of prophylactic barbiturate administration on the neurocognitive outcomes in patients undergoing surgical procedures that are associated with intraoperative neurological morbidity. Patients undergoing open-heart surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) have long been associated with postoperative neurocognitive deficits. The incidence of new neurological deficits following CPB was common and was attributed to microemboli and low CBF. An initial report demonstrated an improvement in neurocognitive scores in patients receiving thiopental (Nussmeier et al., 1986). However, subsequent reports showed no substantial impact of thiopental when compared to hypothermia or volatile anesthetics (Newman et al., 1998; Zaidan et al., 1991). A notable difference in these
reports is the use of membrane oxygenation on the cardiopulmonary bypass circuit to reduce microemboli and the standard use of induced hypothermia in the later studies.

**Propofol**

Propofol is a hypnotic sedative drug and is primarily a GABA receptor agonist. It reduces CMRO₂ by inhibiting synaptic transmission. However, it can inhibit NMDA receptor at high concentrations (Grasshoff and Gillessen, 2005). Propofol also optimizes CBF-CRMO₂ coupling by maintaining CBF reactivity to changes in PaCO₂ (Newman et al., 1995). Several reports attribute the neuroprotective properties of propofol to inhibition of mitochondrial swelling, apoptosis and inflammation (Adembri et al., 2006; Engelhard et al., 2004; Yuki et al., 2011).

Experimental models of transient focal cerebral ischemia in rodents have consistently demonstrated reductions in infarct volumes and neurobehavioral deficits (Engelhard et al., 2004; Gelb et al., 2002).

**Volatile anesthetics**

Volatile anesthetics, isoflurane, sevoflurane and desflurane, activate inhibitory and inhibit of excitatory neurotransmission by direct interaction with GABA and NMDA receptors respectively. These pleotropic agents also regulate ligand-gated ionotropic receptors and co-transporter systems on the cell membrane and mitochondrial function, which ultimately leads to suppression on neuronal activity and reduction in CMRO₂. Volatile anesthetics have been shown to protect against focal cerebral ischemia and improve neurological outcome in rodents (Sakai et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2007). However, there is a lack of neuroprotection for anoxic injury and when the volatile agent is administered after the inciting injury.

Volatile anesthetics may be neuroprotective by inducing cellular preconditioning. Anesthetic-induced preconditioning activates sarcolemmal and mitochondrial K<sub>ATP</sub> channels, adenosine receptors and cell survival pathways (PKC, Akt, ERK1/2 and p38) (Kitano et al., 2007). Volatile anesthetics have inherent anti-inflammatory properties, which hold the potential of attenuating the inflammatory injury that ensues after an ischemic event (Soriano et al., 1999; Soriano et al., 1996; Yuki et al., 2010; Yuki et al., 2008; Yuki et al., 2011).

Prior to these preclinical investigations, the use of a general anesthetic regiment of cyclopropane, thiopental, hypercarbia and high inspired concentrations of oxygen in patients undergoing temporary occlusion of the carotid artery resulted in a reduction on ischemic patterns on the EEG and postoperative neurological morbidity (Wells et al., 1963). This observation suggests that anesthetic increases tolerance to cerebral ischemia during temporary carotid artery occlusion by reducing CMRO₂ while hypercarbia and hyperoxia maximized oxygen delivery. Subsequent clinical reports on the neuroprotective impact of the modern volatile anesthetics have been inconclusive (Kitano et al., 2007).

Taken together, preclinical data support the notion that specific anesthetic drugs are neuroprotective in the setting of transient focal ischemia where neuronal activity
is still present at low levels. However, in conditions where anoxia and global cerebral ischemia occur as in the case of cardiac arrest, or profound hypotension, neuronal activity is completely nonexistent, and anesthetic drugs do not offer any protection.

**Neurotoxicity**

General anesthetics in vivo and in vitro experiments have long been shown to have neurotoxic effects on developing central nervous system (Loepke and Soriano, 2008; Steen and Michenfelder, 1979). These effects include decreased neurogenesis, abnormal dendrite formation, decreased glial cell formation and increase in neuroapoptosis in both the brain and spinal cord (Brambrink et al., 2010; De Roo et al., 2009; Loepke and Soriano, 2008). Neuroapoptosis or programmed cell death occurs normally during fetal development as part of cerebral and neuronal maturation. It differs histologically from ischemic cell death in that there is no inflammation and it tends to occur in isolated cells rather than regionally. However, anesthetic exposure during vulnerable periods in laboratory animal has been shown to lead to a marked increase in apoptotic cell death and subsequent learning deficits especially in the domain of executive function in animals allowed to mature. Parallel investigations in older rodents link anesthetic exposure to Alzheimer Disease (Baranov et al., 2009; Xie et al., 2007). Extrapolations of these preclinical studies to humans are fraught with uncertainty because of physiological differences between species and difficulties in physiologic monitoring for glucose, blood pressure and respiration in very young and small mammals.

Retrospective epidemiologic evidence clearly supports an association between surgery at a young age and later poor neurodevelopmental outcomes in humans (DiMaggio et al., 2009; Ing et al., 2012; Wilder et al., 2009). However, the patient’s underlying pathology, the type, duration and scope of the surgery and the conduct of the general anesthetics, inevitably confound these studies (Blakely et al., 2006; Hintz et al., 2005; Rees et al., 2007; Simon et al., 1993; Tobiansky et al., 1995; Walsh et al., 1989). Given the inconclusive nature of these reports, the Food and Drug Administration published an advisory highlighting the need for additional investigations into the impact of anesthetics on neurocognition (Rappaport et al., 2015).

**Conclusion**

The public burden of brain injury will continue to fuel both preclinical and clinical investigations on neuroprotection. The initial success of anesthetic drugs and hypothermia in laboratory models of neural injury is compelling and points to the multiple molecular pathways and cellular processes involved in this phenomenon. However, extrapolation of these findings to the bedside is not supported by subsequent clinical trials. Furthermore the adverse effects of anesthetic drugs on neurodevelopment also provide insight to the yet unknown mechanisms of anesthetic drug action. Only rigorous clinical trials will determine the clinical efficacy of the various applications of anesthetic drugs and management strategies in the vulnerable surgical patients.
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